	Case 3:17-cv-03753-SK Document 1 Filed 06/29/17 Page 1 of 15		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JACOB D. FLESHER – SBN 210565 JASON W. SCHAFF – SBN 244285 JEREMY J. SCHROEDER – SBN 223118 <b>FLESHER SCHAFF &amp; SCHROEDER, INC.</b> 2202 Plaza Drive Rocklin, CA 95765 Telephone: (916) 672-6558 Facsimile: (916) 672-6602 Attorneys for plaintiff, B. DOE, a minor, by and through his Guardian, KERRIE WAGNER		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * *		
11	B. DOE, a minor, by and through his Guardian, KERRIE WAGNER, CASE NO. Complaint filed: 6/29/17		
12	Plaintiff,		
13	vs.		
14	NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES; DEMAND		
15 16	a public entity; ANNIE PETRIE, an individual; TROY MOTT, an individual; GERALD HARRIS, an individual; JESUS MARTINEZ, an individual; J.T., an individual minor; D.O., an individual		
16 17			
17	minor; B.B., an individual minor; J.Z., an individual minor; R.J., an individual minor; JOHN TORRES, an individual; FLORA TORRES, an		
19	individual; and, DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
20	Defendants.		
21	Plaintiff, B. DOE, by and through his Guardian, KERRIE WAGNER, complains of defendants,		
22	NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, TROY MOTT, GERALD HARRIS, JESUS		
23	MARTINEZ, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., JOHN TORRES, FLORA TORES, and DOES 1 through 100,		
24	and each of them, and alleges:		
25	COMMON ALLEGATIONS		
26	1. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff, B. DOE, a minor, resided in the City of Napa,		
27	County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the		
28	Northern District of California, and was a student and football player at Napa High School, a public		
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

1 school within the jurisdiction of defendant, NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. KERRIE 2 WAGNER is the natural mother and general guardian of B. DOE.

2. Defendant, NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT ("NVUSD"), is, and at all times mentioned was, a public entity and school district maintaining the ownership, maintenance, administration, control and supervision of Napa High School, located at 2475 Jefferson Street, Napa, CA 94558. As such, the California Education Code requires a reasonable, proper and safe education for students in the ninth through twelfth grades at Napa High School. At all times material herein, NVUSD received federal financial assistance, within the meaning of 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a), both generally and specifically with respect to the programs and activities at Napa High School. With regard to the California law claims, NVUSD has statutory liability pursuant to California Government Code §§ 815.2, 815.6 and 820.

3. Defendant, ANNIE PETRIE, is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual over the age of 18, residing in the County of Napa, State of California, within the boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was an employee of NVUSD as the Principal at Napa High School.

4. Defendant, TROY MOTT, is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual over the age of 18, residing in the County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was an employee of NVUSD as a football coach at Napa High School.

5. 20 Defendant, GERALD HARRIS, is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual over the age of 18, residing in the County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was an employee of NVUSD as a football coach at Napa High School.

24 6. Defendant, JESUS "CHUY" MARTINEZ ("MARTINEZ"), is, and at all times mentioned 25 was, an individual over the age of 18, residing in the County of Napa, State of California, within 26 boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was an 27 employee of NVUSD as a football coach at Napa High School.

28

///

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

7. Defendant, J.T. ("JT"), is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual under the age of 18, residing in the City of Napa, County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United 3 States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was a student and football player at Napa High School. 4

8. Defendant, D.O., is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual under the age of 18, residing in the City of Napa, County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was a student and football player at Napa High School.

9. Defendant, B.B, is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual under the age of 18, residing in the City of Napa, County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was a student and football player at Napa High School.

10. Defendant, J.Z., is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual under the age of 18, residing in the City of Napa, County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was a student and football player at Napa High School.

11. Defendant, R.J., is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual under the age of 18, residing in the City of Napa, County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was a student and football player at Napa High School.

12. Defendant, JOHN TORRES, is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual over the age of 18, residing in the County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was the parent of defendant, JT.

13. Defendant, FLORA TORRES, is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual over the age of 18, residing in the County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and was the parent of defendant, JT.

27 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that at all times mentioned, 28 defendants, DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, were minor children students at Napa High School

26

1

2

5

6

7

residing in the City of Napa, County of Napa, State of California, within boundaries of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, defendants, DOES 51 through 75, and each of them, were adult teachers, administrators and/or coaches at Napa High School residing within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

16. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, defendants, DOES 76 through 100, and each of them, were the parents of minor children identified herein as defendants, D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., DOES 1 through 50, residing within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

17. At all times mentioned herein, defendants, and DOES 1 through 100, and each of them, were the agents, servants and employees of each of the remaining defendants and were at all times mentioned herein acting within the course and scope of that agency or employment.

18. Plaintiff does not know the true names and/or capacities of DOES 1 through 100, and upon information and belief alleges Defendants were in some way negligently or carelessly responsible for the injuries and damages herein alleged. Plaintiff prays leave to amend this Complaint to insert the true name of defendants when their identities are ascertained. Each reference herein to "Defendant," "Defendants," or a specifically named defendant refers also to all defendants sued as DOES. Defendants are individuals, corporations, partnerships and other entities which are engaged in, joined in, and conspired with the other wrongdoers in carrying out the events and the negligent and/or unlawful conduct described in this Complaint, or ratified the acts of the other defendants described herein.

22 19. At all times material herein, defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, 23 MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, and each of them, owned and possessed a legal duty to provide 24 reasonable and necessary supervision, control and observation over the students in their custody, care 25 and/or control, including Plaintiff, who was a student and football player at Napa High School. NVUSD 26 is required to employ properly educated, trained, credentialed, certified and responsible teachers, 27 instructors, supervisors, aides, coaches, security and/or other staff and/or personnel at Napa High School to instruct and supervise students, NVUSD is legally required to provide proper and safe education, and

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

reasonable training during all times when the school is open and while school sports activities are ongoing. This duty is reflected, in part and without limitation, by the following:

**a.** Government Code § 815.2 provides in relevant part that "[a] public entity is liable for injury proximately caused by an act or omission of an employee of the public entity within the scope of his employment if the act or omission would, apart from this section, have given rise to a cause of action against that employee or his personal representative." Under Government Code § 815.2(a), a school district is vicariously liable for injuries proximately caused by the negligent failure of its employees to adequately supervise student conduct. NVUSD employees, specifically those involved in supervising the victim student plaintiff and student perpetrators involved herein, and/or or those involved in the training, instructing and supervising those personnel who were in a position to observe, control and/or prevent such assault from occurring herein breached their legal duties to plaintiff;

**b.** A special relationship exists between a school district and its students, imposing an affirmative duty on the part of NVUSD to take all reasonable steps to protect them. Either a total lack of supervision or ineffective supervision may constitute a lack of ordinary care on the part of those responsible for supervising Napa High School student athletes;

**c.** Pursuant to California Constitution, Article I, § 28(f)(1), all students and staff of public primary, elementary, junior high, and senior high schools have an inalienable right to attend campuses that are safe, secure, and peaceful;

**d.** Pursuant to California Education Code § 44807, every teacher in the public school system must hold pupils to strict account for their conduct on the way to and from school, on the playgrounds, or during recess;

e. When a public entity, such as NVUSD, is under a mandatory duty imposed by California Constitution, Article I, § 28(f)(1) and/or California Education Code § 44807, that is designed to protect against the risk of a particular kind of injury, the public entity is liable for an injury of that kind proximately caused by its failure to discharge its duty, unless the entity establishes that it exercised reasonable diligence to discharge the duty. California Government Code § 815.6; and,

f.

1

2

3

4

5

6

21

22

23

24

25

California Code of Regulations, Title 5, §§ 5530, 5531, 5550 and 5551.

**20.** Plaintiff started for Napa High School's freshman football team for most of the 2016 season with great success. Shortly before the "Big Game" against crosstown rival Vintage High School, the coaches for Napa High School promoted Plaintiff and several other freshman players to the junior varsity squad. J.T. served as the starting quarterback and leader of the junior varsity team. D.O., B.B., J.Z. and R.J. were also junior varsity players.

7 21. On October 31, 2016, as a regular football practice concluded leading up to the Big Game, 8 J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. and several teammates approached Plaintiff in "the Jock Block." The Jock 9 Block was an area of the boys' locker room reserved for members of the school's athletic teams and 10 referred to as such by school staff to promote a culture of entitlement among the athletes. Plaintiff had 11 disrobed down to his underwear, when J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. and several teammates grabbed him and 12 forced him to the ground. J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. and several teammates then held Plaintiff down, 13 grabbed his genitals, beat him, and penetrated his anus through his underwear with their fingers. During 14 this sexual assault and battery, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. and several teammates also hurled various 15 verbal epithets and threats, creating ample commotion to warn any nearby staff member if they were 16 present. Plaintiff eventually freed himself from his assailants and attempted to escape, only to be dragged 17 back into the Jock Block by J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. and several teammates. J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. 18 and several teammates then continued to assault Plaintiff. At one point during the attack, one of the 19 attackers shoved his hand into Plaintiff's underwear while saying words to the effect: "it will hurt less if 20 you stop struggling."

**22.** At the same time J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. and several teammates assaulted Plaintiff, other freshmen players were also attacked in a similarly aggressive manner. The attacks occurred in the absence of any supervision by school staff, teachers or coaches, while encouraged and cheered on by onlooking football players without intervening. The attacks were eventually halted by the appearance of a school maintenance worker.

26 23. The aggressive, violent and brutal attack was part of a tradition of ritualistic hazing of
27 football players at Napa High School. There is a long-standing pervasive culture, spanning multiple years
28 within the football and related cheerleading athletic programs at Napa High School that defendants,

NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, knew about, condoned,
and encouraged. These defendants allowed this culture to progress year after year without intervention or
protection of student victims, because of the success enjoyed by the football program. As a result of the
failures of defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, to
supervise students and prevent hazing, harassment and intimidation, plaintiff is among as many as 16
victims of the hazing rituals, including physical and sexual assaults, within the Napa High School football
program over several years.

24. As a direct and proximate result of the above acts, plaintiff sustained serious and permanent emotional and psychological harm, even requiring that he transfer from Napa High School to attend another school within NVUSD, Vintage High School, in an effort to be free of the ongoing humiliation, stress and emotional harm caused by encountering his attackers, and the NVUSD employees who failed to protect him, at Napa High School on a daily basis. Despite these efforts, Plaintiff continues to be harassed and intimidated by his attackers and their parents, while subject to similar treatment at Vintage High School during school sanctioned events.

**25.** This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the sexual violence claims asserted herein by plaintiff under Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972 (20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688.), and supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law claims also asserted herein by plaintiff.

**26.** Venue is appropriate in this Court because it is where each of the parties reside and where the assault which gives rise to this action occurred.

27. In full compliance with the requirements of the California Tort Claims Act (California Government Code § 900, *et seq.*), plaintiff presented a claim for damages to NVUSD on March 20, 2017.
NVUSD took no action in response to plaintiff's tort claim so it was rejected by operation of law.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### TITLE IX (20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688)

Against Defendants NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75
28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

///

**29.** At all times alleged in this Complaint, Plaintiff was a student at Napa High School and was a member of Napa High School's football program.

**30.** In engaging in and performing the acts, omissions and conduct alleged above, officials of defendant, NVUSD, who at a minimum had authority to address the culture of hazing, and particularly the acts of male-on-male sexual violence which became part of the ritualistic hazing of student athletes, and to institute corrective and preventative measures on behalf of NVUSD, including, but not limited to, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, who had actual or constructive knowledge of the hazing practices, and particularly the hazing associated with the Big Game. Despite their knowledge of the heinous acts of male-on-male physical and sexual assault which were part of the football program's hazing rituals, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, condoned the rituals and left the football players unsupervised so they could undertake the them, and thereby failed to protect students such as plaintiff, and plaintiff specifically, from male-on-male sexual violence on campus.

31. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS,
MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, had and exercised substantial control over those harassed,
including B. DOE, and the context in which the known harassment occurred. This included the school grounds on which the assault and harassment occurred and, specifically, the Jock Block and the male locker room.

**32.** The sexual violence described above was so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it deprived plaintiff access to one or more educational opportunities or benefits.

33. NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75 knew or should have known of the numerous acts of objectively offensive touching involving multiple student victims as they occurred over a number of years. Those defendants had actual knowledge of the ritualistic hazing or knew of the substantial risk of sexual abuse on the Napa High School campus as part of the hazing rituals at Napa High School.

34. NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75 exhibited
deliberate indifference, acquiescence and ratification of the hazing culture and practices at Napa High
8 School, including the incident involving Plaintiff.

35. Defendant, NVUSD's, response to the reporting of the sexual violence was itself 1 2 harassing, negligent and indifferent to the harm to plaintiff.

3 36. The acts and omissions of defendants are actionable against them under 20 U.S.C. §§ 4 1681-1688.

37. The aforementioned conduct of the individual defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, was done maliciously, oppressively and with a conscious disregard for the rights, safety and well-being of plaintiff.

38. As an actual, direct, proximate and legal result of the wrongful conduct of defendant, NVUSD, alleged herein, plaintiff suffered and incurred, and will suffer and incur in the future, significant educational detriment, humiliation, disability, pain, suffering, fear, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of quality of life, emotional distress, medical expenses, loss of future earnings and loss of earning capacity, and other general and special damages in an amount according to proof.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# **NEGLIGENCE**

# Against defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

40. Defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, and each of them, had a duty to take all reasonable steps to protect their students, including plaintiff. 41. In engaging in and performing the acts, omissions and conduct alleged above, defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, and each of them,

22 negligently breached their duty to take all reasonable steps to protect plaintiff.

23 42. Defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 24 75, and each of them, were negligent and unreasonable in their hiring, screening, control, supervision, counseling, monitoring, discipline, retention and otherwise failing to take adequate precautions with 25 26 respect to teachers, coaches and related staff.

#### 27 43. Defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, and each of them, were negligent and unreasonable in permitting, allowing, granting, approving,

18

19

20

21

5

6

7

8

9

encouraging and/or ratifying a culture of hazing, harassment and intimidation at Napa High School. This
additionally constituted a negligent and unreasonable breach of their affirmative duty to maintain,
manage, control and operate Napa High School premises and staff to provide necessary and adequate
supervision and security on the Napa High School premises for students and student athletes such as
plaintiff. Defendants, NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, and
each of them, failed to perform one or more mandatory duties, within the meaning of California
Government Code § 815.6, including but not limited to:

a. Their duty to protect students generally under California Education Code § 44807 and California Code of Regulations, Title 5, §§ 5530, 5531, 5550 and 5551;

**b.** Their duty to protect students generally under Section 28(f) of Article I of the California Constitution;

c. Their duty under California Education Code § 32261; and,

**d.** Their duty pursuant to the special relationship between them and plaintiff within the compulsory nature of education under California Education Code § 48200.

44. Defendant, NVUSD, is liable for the negligence of defendants, PETRIE, MOTT,

HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, and each of them, pursuant to California Government Code § 815.2(a).

**45.** After the incident defendant, NVUSD, took a coerced statement from the victim plaintiff, then gave that confidential information, along with his identity, to JOHN TORRES, FLORA TORRES and/or JT, who used that information in an effort to intimidate and coerce plaintiff and his family.

46. As an actual, direct, proximate and legal result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants,
NVUSD, PETRIE, MOTT, HARRIS, MARTINEZ and DOES 51 through 75, and each of them, alleged
herein, plaintiff suffered and incurred, and will suffer and incur in the future, significant educational
detriment, humiliation, disability, pain, suffering, fear, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of quality of
life, emotional distress, medical expenses, loss of future earnings and loss of earning capacity, and other
general and special damages in an amount according to proof.

///

////

#### 

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

### NEGLIGENCE

### Against Defendants, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50

**47.** Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

**48.** Defendants, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, negligently and carelessly failed to control their bodies, and with the direct aid of one another, while engaging in aggressive, forceful, violent, belligerent, hostile, intimidating, humiliating and otherwise physically and emotionally destructive conduct in the form of grabbing, striking, assaulting, and otherwise brutalizing plaintiff about his body, or encouraging other to so do, so as to cause plaintiff severe and permanent personal injuries and damages.

49. As an actual, direct, proximate and legal result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants,
J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, alleged herein, plaintiff suffered and incurred, and will suffer and incur in the future, significant educational detriment, humiliation,
disability, pain, suffering, fear, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of quality of life, emotional distress,
medical expenses, loss of future earnings and loss of earning capacity, and other general and special damages in an amount according to proof.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

SEXUAL BATTERY

Against Defendants, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50

**50.** Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

**51.** Defendants, JT, DO, BB, JZ, RJ, and DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, touched, or caused to be touched, plaintiff, in a sexual manner, with the intent to harm, offend and/or humiliate plaintiff.

**52.** Plaintiff did not consent to the harmful and offensive touching.

///

////

1 53. J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, acted maliciously, 2 oppressively and with a conscious disregard for the rights, safety and well-being of Plaintiff, such that an 3 award of exemplary and punitive damages should be imposed against these defendants. 54. As an actual, direct, proximate and legal result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants J.T, 4 5 D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, Plaintiff suffered and incurred, and will suffer and incur in 6 the future, significant educational detriment, humiliation, disability, pain, suffering, fear, anxiety, loss of 7 enjoyment of life, loss of quality of life, emotional distress, medical expenses, loss of future earnings and 8 loss of earning capacity, and other general and special damages in an amount according to proof. 9 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 10 11 Against defendants, JOHN TORRES, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50 55. 12 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though set forth 13 fully herein. 56. 14 The conduct of defendants, JOHN TORRES, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 15 through 50, was extreme and outrageous. 57. Defendants, JOHN TORRES, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, 16 intended to cause plaintiff emotional distress. 17 58. 18 Defendant JOHN TORRES furthered the emotional distress by stalking the minor Plaintiff 19 at his home after news of the sexual battery went public. TORRES attempted to intimidate the minor 20 Plaintiff from testifying against his son, J.T., despite his son acting as the ringleader. 21 59. The conduct of defendants, JOHN TORRES, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 22 through 50, and each of them, was done maliciously, oppressively and with a conscious disregard for the 23 rights, safety and well-being of plaintiff, such that an award of exemplary and punitive damages should be 24 imposed against these defendants. 25 60. As an actual, direct, proximate and legal result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, 26 JOHN TORRES, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, alleged herein, 27 plaintiff suffered and incurred, and will suffer and incur in the future, significant educational detriment, 28 humiliation, disability, pain, suffering, fear, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of quality of life, 12 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

emotional distress, medical expenses, loss of future earnings and loss of earning capacity, and other
 general and special damages in an amount according to proof.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### FALSE IMPRISONMENT

### Against Defendants, J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50

61. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

62. Defendants J.T., D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, intentionally deprived plaintiff of his freedom of movement by use of physical force., which compelled plaintiff to stay on the ground for an appreciable time.

63. Plaintiff did not consent to the restraint and confinement, causing him actual harm.

**64.** Defendants, J.T, D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J., and DOES 1 through 50, acted maliciously, oppressively and with a conscious disregard for the rights, safety and well-being of plaintiff, such that an award of exemplary and punitive damages should be imposed against these defendants.

**65.** As an actual, direct, proximate and legal result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants J.T., DO, BB, JZ, RJ, and DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, alleged herein, plaintiff suffered and incurred, and will suffer and incur in the future, significant educational detriment, humiliation, disability, pain, suffering, fear, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of quality of life, emotional distress, medical expenses, loss of future earnings and loss of earning capacity, and other general and special damages in an amount according to proof.

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# VICARIOUS LIABILITY FOR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF A MINOR

# Against defendants, JOHN TORRES, FLORA TORRES and DOES 76 through 100

66. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

**67.** Defendants, JOHN TORRES, FLORA TORRES, who had a duty to provide proper supervision and control of J.T., a minor child. California Civil Code § 1714.1, are the parents of J.T.

///

1 **68**. Defendants, DOES 76 through 100, who had a duty to provide proper supervision and 2 control of their minor children, are the parents of Defendants, D.O., B.B., J.Z., R.J. and DOES 1 through 3 50.

69. Defendants, JOHN TORRES, FLORA TORRES, and DOES 76 through 100, and each of them, negligently and carelessly failed to provide reasonable custody, control, supervision and/or observation of their minor children, such that in their capacity as parents, they became aware of their child's violent nature, involvement in hazing or planned involvement in hazing, to include the sexual assault of plaintiff and others.

70. Despite their knowledge, defendants, JOHN TORRES, FLORA TORRES, and DOES 76 through 100, negligently and carelessly failed to supervise and instruct their children as to the proper social behavior and the criminal nature of physically assaulting someone, and/or criminal hazing. California Penal Code § 245.6.

71. As an actual, direct, proximate and legal result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, 14 JOHN TORRES, FLORA TORRES, and DOES 76 through 100, and each of them, alleged herein, plaintiff suffered and incurred, and will suffer and incur in the future, significant educational detriment, 16 humiliation, disability, pain, suffering, fear, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of quality of life, emotional distress, medical expenses, loss of future earnings and loss of earning capacity, and other 18 general and special damages in an amount according to proof.

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, plaintiff seeks damages as follows:

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. Special Damages according to proof;
- 2. General Damages according to proof;
- Attorneys' fees by statute (42 U.S.C. § 1988(b).); 3.
- 4. Experts' fees by statute (42 U.S.C. § 1988(c).);
- 5. Costs of Suit; and,
- Such other and further relief as may be proper. 6.

28

///

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

### 14

1	SECOND, THIRD, FOURTH & EIGHTH CAUSES OF ACTION:		
2	1.	Special Damages according to proof;	
3	2.	General Damages according to proof;	
4	3.	Costs of Suit; and,	
5	4.	Such other and further relief as may be proper.	
6	FIFTH, SIXTH & SEVENTH CAUSES OF ACTION		
7	1.	Special Damages according to proof;	
8	2.	General Damages according to proof;	
9	3.	Exemplary Damages;	
10	4.	Costs of Suit; and,	
11	5.	Such other and further relief as may be proper.	
12		JURY DEMAND	
13	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.		
14	DATED: June 29, 2017	FLESHER SCHAFF & SCHROEDER, INC.	
15		/s/ Jacob D. Flesher	
16		By JACOB D. FLESHER JASON W. SCHAFF	
17		JEREMY J. SCHROEDER Attorneys for plaintiff, B. DOE	
18		Autoriteys for plaintin, D. DOL	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		15	
		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	