February 19, 2015

The Honorable Thomas M. Bakk
Majority Leader
Minnesota State Senate
232 Capitol Building
St. Paul, MN 55155
The Honorable David W. Hann
Minority Leader
Minnesota State Senate
147 State Office Building
St. Paul, MN 55155

The Honorable Kurt Daudt
Speaker of the Minnesota House
463 State Office Building
St. Paul, MN 55155

The Honorable Paul Thissen
Minority Leader
Minnesota House of Representatives
267 State Office Building
St. Paul, MN 55155

Dear Legislative Leaders:
I am transmitting a copy of the Compensation Study ("Total Compensation Market Analysis"), completed by the Hay Group last year. The 2013 Compensation Council recommended completion of a market analysis of compensation and the 2013 legislation required it.

Compensation Council: 1983 to 2013
Beginning 32 years ago, the legislature directed the creation of a Compensation Council to develop recommendations for salaries for the State's top officials. The Compensation Council is a $16-\mathrm{member}$ body, formed biennially. Two members are appointed by the speaker of the house, two by the senate majority leader, one each by the house and senate minority leaders, two by the Supreme Court chief justice, and eight by the governor. No more than four of the governor's appointees can be from the same political party.

Over the years, the Council has made recommendations to the legislature on appropriate salary levels for constitutional officers, Supreme Court justices, court of appeals judges, district court judges, and legislators, as well as state and metropolitan agency heads. The Council's enabling statute expressly directs the Council to "consider the amount of compensation paid in government service and the private sector to persons with similar qualifications, the amount of compensation needed to attract and retain experienced and competent persons, and the ability of the state to pay the recommended compensation."

Each Compensation Council expires after it makes its recommendations to the legislature.

## 2013 Compensation Council: Recommendations

In 2013, the Compensation Council recommended that the statutory limits on the salaries of state agency heads be increased and that the governor should have the authority to set salaries for agency heads (generally titled commissioners) within those statutory limits, without approval by the Legislative

Coordinating Commission. The Compensation Council also recommended that Minnesota Management and Budget engage a consultant to prepare a compensation market analysis study for executive branch leadership positions "in order to better align compensation for these positions with comparable positions in the private sector and with other relevant public sector employers" and to assist the governor in setting the new agency head salaries.

## 2013 Omnibus State Government Law

In 2013, the legislature passed the Compensation Council recommendations as part of the Omnibus State Government, Veterans and Military Affairs Appropriations Bill. The bill was signed on May 23, 2013. An amendment to the law was passed in 2014 and directed the governor to report changes in the salary of agency heads to the legislature within 30 days of the effective date. In January 2015, Governor Dayton established new salaries for agency heads as directed by the 2013 law and notified the legislature of those salary changes on February 4, 2015.

## 2014 Compensation Study: Process

Minnesota Management and Budget contracted with the Hay Group to conduct a job evaluation process to assess the knowledge required to perform the responsibilities of the State's agency head and managerial positions, as well as the problem-solving challenges and accountability inherent in those positions. The State of Minnesota has used this system, referred to as the Hay System, since the 1970s.

The Compensation Study used two different approaches for making external comparisons. The first approach, used to evaluate compensation comparisons with the private sector, involves use of the job evaluations to compare positions of equal or similar value regardless of the kind of work performed. The second approach, a review of actual job responsibilities, was used to match the State's positions with comparable positions in selected other states. The Hay Group also attempted to make comparisons with positions in local government, but was not able to make enough matches to provide useful data. The Hay Group did not have Hay evaluations on local government positions and there were few job-tojob comparisons because of the significant differences in the "size" of jobs, as determined by financial and employment figures. On April 25, 2014, the Hay Group submitted the Compensation Study containing the job evaluations and salary and benefit comparisons for the agency heads, and other positions. The Compensation Study was amended in February 2015 to (1) reflect corrections to the early retirement reduction percentage for the State's pension plan, and (2) recognize the existence of COLA provisions in the pension plans of other states. A list of all the pages affected by these amendments is included as the last page of the Compensation Study.

## 2014 Compensation Study: Results

- The 2014 salary for most agency heads was $\$ 119,517$, which was $35 \%$ to $69 \%$ below the private sector median base salary for positions with comparable Hay ratings.
- The median 2014 private sector salary for positions with Hay ratings comparable to the commissioner of human services was $\$ 390,100$. The salary for the commissioner was $63 \%$ below the private sector median in 2014, and after the change in the commissioner's salary in January, the commissioner is still $60 \%$ below the 2014 median private sector salary.
- Also, the median private sector salary for positions with Hay ratings comparable to the commissioners of natural resources and revenue was $\$ 336,300$. The salaries for those commissioners were $65 \%$ below the private sector median in 2014 and after the change in salary in January of 2015 are still $54 \%$ below the 2014 median private sector salary.
- Likewise, the median private sector salary for positions with Hay ratings comparable to the commissioners of administration, agriculture, and veterans affairs was $\$ 252,300$. The salaries for those commissioners were $53 \%$ below the private sector median in 2014 and after the change in salary in January of 2015 are still $43 \%$ below the 2014 median private sector salary.
- Please see the attached table, Summary of Compensation Study Findings, for similar data on all agency head positions. The private sector salary figures do not include any incentive payments or bonuses.
- As indicated in the rightmost column on the attached table, the gap between the State's agency head salary structure and the base salaries in the private sector grows as the "size" of the position (as measured by Hay points) grows. In other words, in Minnesota state government, the bigger the job, the bigger the pay gap with the private sector.
- The salaries of Minnesota's state agency heads and other positions covered by the study are generally comparable to the base salaries of similar positions in the other states when positions are at the lower end of the spectrum of Hay ratings, but falls below the other states at the high end of the spectrum. (See graph on page 11 of the Compensation Study, copy attached.)


## Kenning Consulting Report: Analysis

In addition, after receiving the Compensation Study from the Hay Group, Minnesota Management and Budget contracted with Kenning Consulting for advice on how to implement the Compensation Study in order to revise the salaries for agency heads consistent with the Compensation Study. Mr. Kenning developed recommended advisory salary ranges for the agency heads and other positions covered by the Compensation Study. Kenning's recommended salary ranges recognize that the statutory salary limits would not permit any governor to match the private sector salaries referenced above. Instead, the governor was establishing salaries for agency heads within the statutory salary limits and in proportion to the Hay ratings for the positions, as required by the 2013 law. An attachment compares the governor's final decisions on salaries for agency heads to (1) the maximum rates for the salary ranges recommended by Kenning Consulting and (2) to the statutory salary limits.

- Twenty-three of the twenty-seven agency heads were set by the governor's salary within $10 \%$ of the top of the salary ranges recommended by the consultant.
- For those three positions that were set more than $10 \%$ above of Mr. Kenning's recommended ranges, those salaries were $6.2 \%$ to $17.7 \%$ below the statutory limits.
- For the Chair of the Metropolitan Council, where the salary was set 11.4 \% below Mr. Kenning's recommendation, the salary is $2.6 \%$ below the applicable statutory limit.

The governor's recent salary decisions were made after the 2013 legislative changes which state:

## Determining individual salaries.

When the governor is the appointing authority, the governor must establish salaries within the salary limits for the positions listed in subdivisions 2 to 4. Before establishing a salary, the governor must consult with the commissioner of management and budget concerning the salary. In establishing the salary, the governor shall consider the criteria established in section 43 A.18, subdivision 8 , and the performance of individual incumbents. The performance evaluation must inchude a review of an incumbent's progress toward attainment of affirmative action goals. The governor shall establish an objective system for quantifying knowledge, abilities, duties, responsibilities, and accountabilities, and in determining recommendations rate each position by this system. Section 15A.0815, Subd. 5.
Governor Dayton set the salaries of his appointed agency heads based on market data and professional advice in order to retain and attract qualified leaders to provide Minnesotans with the very best government services.

I am available to discuss questions that you may have about the Compensation Study.


Attachments:
Compensation Study ("Total Compensation Market Analysis"), February 2015
Recommendations of 2013 Compensation Council
Summary of Compensation Study Findings
Comparison of Kenning Consulting's Recommendations and the Governor's Decisions
M.S. 15A. 0815

# Legislative Coordinating Commission 

72 State Office Building St. Paul, MN 55155-1201 (651) 296-9002 TDD (651) 296-9896

## COMPENSATION COUNCIL

March 11, 2013

The Honorable Paul Thissen
Speaker of the House of Representatives

The Honorable Sandy Pappas
President of the Senate

Dear Mister Speaker and Madam President:

In accordance with the duties assigned to it by Minnesota Statutes, section 15A.082, the Minnesota Compensation Council held four hearings, received testimony from interested parties, and engaged in extended discussions about salaries for Minnesota's elected state officials.

## Background

The Compensation Council made specific note of the recent history of salaries of the governor and legislators. The 2005 Council noted that when the governor's salary was increased in 1998, our governor's salary was ranked sixth in the nation. Currently that salary, now unchanged in 15 years, is ranked $32^{\text {nd }}$. We are not saying that we are competing nationally for our governors, for we recognize this is a political office. The point is that other states have recognized the value of this office in managing a multibillion dollar enterprise and, as a result, these other states have increased the salary paid for those serving in these critical positions.

Although salaries of the members of the judiciary have been increased more regularly than constitutional officers and legislators, they have not received increases in five years. A study conducted for the Minnesota District Judges Association found that:

- Most Minnesota judges are paid below the national average;
- District court judges earn $13 \%-22 \%$ less than the county attorneys who argue cases in their courts;
- District court judges are paid only $8 \%$ more than new associates at the top ten law firms in the Twin Cities.

According to the study, the long-run implication is that talented candidates will be less likely to run or accept appointments for judicial positions. The study's author is concerned that we may end up with a judiciary in which only the wealthy, or those with fewer private sector opportunities, will be willing to serve. The Compensation Council echoes that concern.

The salaries of legislators have not changed since 1999. Although intended to be a part-time position, the legislator's role is increasingly complex, requiring more and more time for legislative duties, with less time available to devote to a second job. We think that salaries of legislators should be high enough so that potential candidates for these policy-making positions are not deterred by loss of income from running for office. Otherwise, we face the prospect of a Legislature that is not representative of Minnesota's citizenry.

We face a critical challenge in being able to attract and retain top management for our state agencies, starting with commissioners. Current law sets the salary limit of most commissioners at $95 \%$ of the governor's salary (which, as noted above, has not changed in a decade and a half). Another debilitating impact on our state's ability to attract top-quality employees results from the state statute that requires the salary of the agency head to be a cap on the salaries for all employees in that agency.

These limits are problematic for our state agencies as an employer:

- Salaries of commissioners are often less than those of managers of local government agencies carrying out that state agency's programs. As a result, top state employees can move to local governments and receive substantial improvements in compensation;
- Although agency-head salaries have not changed in 15 years, because salaries of other employees have continued to increase over time, many staff earn salaries that are little different from those of their commissioner;
- Because agency heads have different salary limits, an employee in a small agency may be performing the same work as an employee in a larger agency, but have a lower salary limit.

Members spoke of the public service motivation of Minnesota citizens who run for and serve in elective office. Council members understand and appreciate elected officials' tremendous commitment to public service.

The Council also understands that the State continues to find itself in tight fiscal times. However, the function of the Compensation Council is to make recommendations to the Legislature on the appropriate levels of salaries for its top elected officials. The law that establishes our Council specifically provides that we consider "the amount of compensation paid in government service and the private sector to persons with similar qualifications [and], the amount of compensation needed to attract and retain experienced and competent persons."

## Recommendations

In accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 15A.082, the Compensation Council makes the following recommendations:

1. Salaries of judges. With respect to the judges of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, and District Court, to increase salaries of Judges as follows:
a) by $4 \%$ on July 1,2013
b) by $4 \%$ on July 1, 2014
c) by $4 \%$ effective July 1,2015 ; and
d) by $4 \%$ effective July 1, 2016.

We endorse the Minnesota District Judges Association's proposal for legislation to reform the Judicial Pension Fund.
2. Salaries for constitutional officers. We recommend that the salary of the governor be increased by $3 \%$ effective January 1, 2015 and 3\% effective January 1, 2016. Under existing law, the salaries of other constitutional officers would also increase accordingly.
3. Salaries of legislators. We recommend that effective January 1, 2015, the salaries of legislators be set by statute at $33 \%$ of the salary authorized for the governor.
4. Salaries of agency heads. With respect to salaries of heads of state agencies, we recommend that effective January 1, 2013:
a) The salary ranges of heads of state agencies be adjusted as follows:

Group I: $133 \%$ of the salary of the governor;
Group II: $120 \%$ of the salary of the governor;
Group III: remain at $25 \%$ of the salary of the governor.
b) The salary ranges for heads of state agencies be adjusted annually for inflation in the same manner as is done for local government employees under Minnesota Statutes 43A.17, subd. 9 (b);
c) The governor be given authority to set the salary of an agency head anywhere within the respective salary range;
d) The use of the salary of the agency head as the limit on salaries of employees should be repealed, so that an employee's salary is limited by the salary range in an approved collective bargaining agreement or compensation plan.
e) Minnesota Management and Budget be directed to contract with an independent consultant to conduct a comprehensive market analysis of compensation for all unrepresented positions in the Executive Branch in order to better align compensation for these positions with comparable positions in the private sector and with other relevant public sector employers. The analysis
should evaluate total compensation, including insurance, retirement, and performance pay. If necessary, the Legislature should appropriate sufficient funds to pay for this analysis.

I have included a list of the members of the Council at the end of this recommendation. The members worked diligently and thoughtfully to develop these recommendations for consideration by the Legislature.

Respectfully submitted,


Tom Fraser
Chair, Compensation Council
cc: Governor Mark Dayton
Lt. Governor Yvonne Prettner-Solon
Chief Justice Lorie S. Gildea
Attorney General Lori Swanson
State Auditor Rebecca Otto
Secretary of State Mark Ritchie
Senator Tom Bakk
Senator David Hann
Representative Erin Murphy
Representative Kurt Daudt

## Appointed by the Governor

Ann Glumac
Bob Hoffman
Jefferson Johnston
Ann Mulholland
Susan Rani
Khani Sahebjam
Michael Scully
Daniel Wenner

## Appointed by the Senate

## Senator Richard Cohen

Senator Jeremy Miller
Senator Ann Rest

# Appointed by the House of Representatives 

Representative Susan Allen
Representative Mike Benson
Representative Mary Liz Holberg

Appointed by the Supreme Court

Tom Fraser
Bob Schroeder

## Summary of Compensation Study Findings

Minnesota State Agency Head Base Salaries Related to Private Sector Medians Source: Total Compensation Market Analysis, April 2014

| Minnesota State Agency | Total <br> Hay <br> Points | 2014 MN State Agency Salary (in thousands) | 2014 MN Private <br> Sector Median (in thousands) | \% 2014 MN State <br> Salary below 2014 Private Sector Median | Page in Comp. Study | 2015 MN State Agency Salary (in thousands) | \% 2015 MN <br> Salary below 2014 Private Sector Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human Services Dept | 3720 | \$143.3 | \$390.1 | -63\% | 40 | \$155.0 | -60\% |
| Transportation Dept | 3720 | \$119.1 | \$390.1 | -69\% | 62 | \$155.0 | -60\% |
| Metropolitan Council | 3232 | \$61.4 | \$349.6 | -82\% | * | \$145.0 | -59\% |
| Employ \& Econ Development Dept | 3072 | \$119.1 | \$336.3 | -65\% | 33 | \$150.0 | -55\% |
| Natural Resources Dept | 3072 | \$119.1 | \$336.3 | -65\% | 50 | \$155.0 | -54\% |
| Revenue Dept | 3072 | \$119.1 | \$336.3 | -65\% | 61 | \$155.0 | -54\% |
| Mn Management \& Budget | 2936 | \$119.1 | \$323.7 | -63\% | 55 | \$155.0 | -52\% |
| Corrections Dept | 2676 | \$119.1 | \$302.8 | -61\% | 32 | \$150.0 | -50\% |
| Education Department | 2676 | \$119.1 | \$302.8 | -61\% | 35 | \$150.0 | -50\% |
| Health Department | 2676 | \$119.0 | \$302.8 | -61\% | 57 | \$150.0 | -50\% |
| State Chief Information Officer | 2676 | \$133.2 | \$302.8 | -56\% | 43 | \$150.0 | -50\% |
| Public Safety Dept | 2584 | \$119.1 | \$297.0 | -60\% | 53 | \$155.0 | -48\% |
| Pollution Control Agency | 2328 | \$119.1 | \$280.8 | -58\% | 50 | \$150.0 | -47\% |
| Labor \& Industry Dept | 2028 | \$119.1 | \$261.7 | -54\% | 42 | \$145.0 | -45\% |
| Agriculture Dept | 1868 | \$119.1 | \$252.3 | -53\% | 29 | \$145.0 | -43\% |
| Veterans Affairs Dept | 1868 | \$119.1 | \$252.3 | -53\% | 34 | \$145.0 | -43\% |
| Administration Dept | 1868 | \$119.1 | \$252.3 | -53\% | 55 | \$145.0 | -43\% |
| Commerce Dept | 1628 | \$119.1 | \$238.4 | -50\% | 30 | \$145.0 | -39\% |
| Housing Finance Agency | 1628 | \$119.1 | \$238.4 | -50\% | 33 | \$145.0 | -39\% |
| Iron Range Resources \& Rehabilitation Board | 1418 | \$105.1 | \$224.0 | -53\% | 33 | \$140.0 | -38\% |
| Office of Higher Education | 1358 | \$119.1 | \$218.4 | -45\% | 35 | \$145.0 | -34\% |
| Public Utilities Commission | 1358 | \$97.1 | \$218.4 | -56\% | 52 | \$125.0 | -43\% |
| Bureau of Mediation Services | 1182 | \$105.1 | \$196.2 | -46\% | 39 | \$140.0 | -29\% |
| Ombud Mental Health \& Dev Disabilities | 1182 | \$97.1 | \$196.2 | -51\% | 40 | \$120.0 | -39\% |
| Human Rights Dept | 1096 | \$119.1 | \$184.4 | -35\% | 55 | \$140.0 | -24\% |
| Racing Commission | 994 | \$113.6 | \$170.0 | -33\% | 61 | \$116.0 | -32\% |
| Gambling Control Board | 864 | \$99.1 | \$147.6 | -33\% | 61 | \$120.0 | -19\% |

*Comparable private sector salary estimated by MMB, based on relationship of Hay ratings and associated private sector data for other positions.

Comparison of Kenning Consulting's Recommendations and The Governor's Salary Decisions

| Position Title | Total <br> Hay <br> Points | $\begin{gathered} \text { HRL/ } \\ \text { New Pay } \\ \text { Range } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Range Maximum <br> Recommended By <br> Kenning <br> Consulting | $\begin{gathered} \text { New Salary } \\ \text { As Of } \\ \text { January } 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Above or Below Consultant's Recommended Maximum | January 2015 <br> Statutory Limit | Dollars <br> Below <br> Statutory <br> Limit | Percent <br> Below <br> Statutory <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commissioner-Human Services | 3720 | 129 | \$171,445 | \$154,992 | -9.6\% | \$164,803 | -\$9,811 | -6.3\% |
| Commissioner-Transportation | 3720 | 129 | \$171,445 | \$154,992 | -9.6\% | \$164,803 | -\$9,811 | -6.3\% |
| Chair, Metropolitan Council | 3232 | 128 | \$163,651 | \$144,991 | -11.4\% | \$148,694 | -\$3,703 | -2.6\% |
| Commissioner-Natural Resources | 3072 | 128 | \$163,651 | \$154,992 | -5.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$9,811 | -6.3\% |
| Commissioner-Revenue | 3072 | 128 | \$163,651 | \$154,992 | -5.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$9,811 | -6.3\% |
| Commissioner-Empl \& Econ Dev | 3072 | 128 | \$163,651 | \$150,002 | -8.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$14,801 | -9.9\% |
| Commissioner-MN Mgmt \& Budget | 2936 | 127 | \$156,823 | \$154,992 | -1.2\% | \$164,803 | -\$9,811 | -6.3\% |
| Commissioner-Corrections | 2676 | 127 | \$156,823 | \$150,002 | -4.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$14,801 | -9.9\% |
| Commissioner-Education Dept | 2676 | 127 | \$156,823 | \$150,002 | -4.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$14,801 | -9.9\% |
| Commissioner-Health | 2676 | 127 | \$156,823 | \$150,002 | -4.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$14,801 | -9.9\% |
| State Chief Information Officer * | 2676 | 127 | \$156,823 | \$150,002 | -4.3\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Commissioner-Public Safety | 2584 | 127 | \$156,823 | \$154,992 | -1.2\% | \$164,803 | -\$9,811 | -6.3\% |
| Commissioner-Pollution Control | 2328 | 126 | \$149,995 | \$150,002 | 0.0\% | \$164,803 | -\$14,801 | -9.9\% |
| Commissioner-Labor \& Industry | 2028 | 125 | \$143,167 | \$144,991 | 1.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$19,812 | -13.7\% |
| Commissioner-Administration | 1868 | 125 | \$143,167 | \$144,991 | 1.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$19,812 | -13.7\% |
| Commissioner-Agriculture | 1868 | 125 | \$143,167 | \$144,991 | 1.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$19,812 | -13.7\% |
| Commissioner-Veterans Affairs | 1868 | 125 | \$143,167 | \$144,991 | 1.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$19,812 | -13.7\% |
| Commissioner-Commerce | 1628 | 124 | \$136,338 | \$144,991 | 6.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$19,812 | -13.7\% |
| Commissioner-Housing Finance | 1628 | 124 | \$136,338 | \$144,991 | 6.3\% | \$164,803 | -\$19,812 | -13.7\% |
| Commissioner-IRR\&RB | 1418 | 123 | \$129,510 | \$140,000 | 8.1\% | \$148,694 | -\$8,694 | -6.2\% |
| Director, Office of Higher Ed | 1358 | 123 | \$129,510 | \$144,991 | 12.0\% | \$164,803 | -\$19,812 | -13.7\% |
| Commissioner-Public Utilities | 1358 | 123 | \$129,510 | \$125,009 | -3.5\% | \$148,694 | -\$23,685 | -18.9\% |
| Commissioner-Mediation Service | 1182 | 122 | \$122,559 | \$140,000 | 14.2\% | \$148,694 | -\$8,694 | -6.2\% |
| Ombudsman Mntl Hlth \& Dev Dis | 1182 | 122 | \$122,559 | \$119,997 | -2.1\% | \$148,694 | -\$28,697 | -23.9\% |
| Commissioner-Human Rights | 1096 | 122 | \$122,559 | \$140,000 | 14.2\% | \$164,803 | -\$24,803 | -17.7\% |
| Exec Dir Pari-Mutuel Racing | 994 | 121 | \$115,995 | \$115,988 | 0.0\% | \$148,694 | -\$32,706 | -28.2\% |
| Exec Dir Gambling Control | 864 | 120 | \$109,431 | \$119,997 | 9.7\% | \$148,694 | -\$28,697 | -23.9\% |

* State Chief Information Officer is in the Managerial Plan, but included in this list for comparison to the other agency heads.


## 15A. 0815 SALARY LIMITS FOR CERTAIN EMPLOYEES.

Subdivision 1. Salary limits. The governor or other appropriate appointing authority shall set the salary rates for positions listed in this section within the salary limits listed in subdivisions 2 to 4 . If the appointing authority is not the governor, the appointing authority's action is subject to approval of the Legislative Coordinating Commission and the legislature as provided by subdivision 5 and section 3.855 .

Subd. 2. Group I salary limits. The salary for a position listed in this subdivision shall not exceed 133 percent of the salary of the governor. This limit must be adjusted annually on January 1. The new limit must equal the limit for the prior year increased by the percentage increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers from October of the second prior year to October of the immediately prior year. The commissioner of management and budget must publish the limit on the department's Web site. This subdivision applies to the following positions:

Commissioner of administration;
Commissioner of agriculture;
Commissioner of education;
Commissioner of commerce;
Commissioner of corrections;
Commissioner of health;
Commissioner, Minnesota Office of Higher Education;
Commissioner, Housing Finance Agency;
Commissioner of human rights;
Commissioner of human services;
Commissioner of labor and industry;
Commissioner of management and budget;
Commissioner of natural resources;
Commissioner, Pollution Control Agency;
Executive director, Public Employees Retirement Association;
Commissioner of public safety;
Commissioner of revenue;
Executive director, State Retirement System;
Executive director, Teachers Retirement Association;
Commissioner of employment and economic development;

Commissioner of transportation; and
Commissioner of veterans affairs.
Subd. 3. Group II salary limits. The salary for a position listed in this subdivision shall not exceed 120 percent of the salary of the governor. This limit must be adjusted annually on January 1 . The new limit must equal the limit for the prior year increased by the percentage increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers from October of the second prior year to October of the immediately prior year. The commissioner of management and budget must publish the limit on the department's Web site. This subdivision applies to the following positions:

Executive director of Gambling Control Board;
Commissioner, Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board;
Commissioner, Bureau of Mediation Services;
Ombudsman for Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities;
Chair, Metropolitan Council;
School trust lands director;
Executive director of pari-mutuel racing; and
Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission.
Subd. 4. Group III salary limits. The salary for a position in this subdivision may not exceed 25 percent of the salary of the governor:

Chair, Metropolitan Airports Commission.
Subd. 5. Determining individual salaries. (a) When the governor is the appointing authority, the governor must establish salaries within the salary limits for the positions listed in subdivisions 2 to 4 . Before establishing a salary, the governor must consult with the commissioner of management and budget concerning the salary. In establishing the salary, the governor shall consider the criteria established in section 43A.18, subdivision 8, and the performance of individual incumbents. The performance evaluation must include a review of an incumbent's progress toward attainment of affirmative action goals. The governor shall establish an objective system for quantifying knowledge, abilities, duties, responsibilities, and accountabilities, and in determining recommendations rate each position by this system.
(b) An appointing authority other than the governor may submit to the Legislative Coordinating Commission recommendations for salaries within the salary limits for the positions listed in subdivisions 2 to 4 .

Before submitting the recommendations, the appointing authority shall consult with the commissioner of management and budget concerning the recommendations.

In making recommendations, the appointing authority shall consider the criteria established in section 43A.18, subdivision 8 , and the performance of individual incumbents. The performance evaluation must include a review of an incumbent's progress toward attainment of affirmative action goals. The appointing
authority shall establish an objective system for quantifying knowledge, abilities, duties, responsibilities, and accountabilities, and in determining recommendations, rate each position by this system.

Before the appointing authority's recommended salaries take effect, the recommendations must be reviewed and approved, rejected, or modified by the Legislative Coordinating Commission and the legislature under section 3.855 , subdivisions 2 and 3 .
(c) The governor or other appointing authority may propose additions or deletions of positions from those listed in subdivisions 2 to 4 .
(d) The governor or other appointing authority shall set the initial salary of a head of a new agency or a chair of a new metropolitan board or commission whose salary is not specifically prescribed by law after consultation with the commissioner, whose recommendation is advisory only. The amount of the new salary must be comparable to the salary of an agency head or commission chair having similar duties and responsibilities.
(e) The salary of a newly appointed head of an agency or chair of a metropolitan agency listed in subdivisions 2 to 4 who is appointed by someone other than the governor, may be increased or decreased by the appointing authority from the salary previously set for that position within 30 days of the new appointment after consultation with the commissioner. If the appointing authority increases a salary under this paragraph, the appointing authority shall submit the new salary to the Legislative Coordinating Commission and the full legislature for approval, modification, or rejection under section 3.855 , subdivisions 2 and 3 .
(f) Within 30 days of approving a change in a salary for a position in subdivisions 2 to 4 , the governor must inform the Legislative Coordinating Commission of the change in salary and its effective date.

History: 2Sp1997 c 3 s 5; 1998 c 351 s 1; 2000 c 501 s 1,2; 1Sp2001 c 4 art 6 s 6; 1Sp2001 c 10 art 2 s 18,19; 2003 c 130 s 12; 1Sp2003 c 2 art 5 s 1; 1Sp2003 c 4 s 1; 2004 c 206 s 6; 2005 c 55 s 3; 2005 c 56 s 1; 2005 c 107 art 2 s 60; 2008 c 204 s 3,42; 2008 c 363 art 13 s 15,16; 2009 c 101 art 2 s 23,109; 2012 c 249 s 1; 2013 c 99 art 2 s 29; 2013 c 125 art 1s 8; 2013 c 142 art 6 s 2-5; 2013 c 99 art 2 s 29; 2014 c 151 s 1
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Project Summary

## Project Summary

## The State of Minnesota (the "State") has requested a comprehensive market analysis of the competitiveness of the State's compensation and benefits programs for executive and manager classifications

- The State has conducted periodic reviews of salary levels to the market in the past; however, an analysis of both compensation and benefits has not been conducted for some time
- The market analysis of compensation and benefits will enable the State to do the following:
- Compare the level and mix of the State's total compensation program to the external market
- Understand the internal equity of the State's compensation programs
- Provide the foundation for making changes to the State's salary and benefits programs


## Project Summary

- With recent legislative changes, statutory restrictions on the salaries of top managers in agencies have been revised or removed, providing the opportunity to realign the current compensation structure
- Alignment will allow the State to compete more appropriately in the external market as well as improve internal equity and alleviate compression within the State
- The Legislature's 2014-2015 budget bill require Management and Budget to contract for a market analysis of managerial employees in the executive branch
- The State has recently undergone a review of job evaluations for 222 classifications including 36 that had not been previously evaluated. The review also resulted in modifications to 119 existing evaluations
- Analysis in this report reflects the most recent job evaluation as provided by MMB, as well as evaluation changes due to Hay Group's audit recommendations
- For positions that weren't reviewed, a previous evaluation was used
- Using the job evaluation total points, the analysis groups jobs within a defined point range referred to as Hay Reference Levels (HRLs)
- Currently the State has no single point to grade conversion, with unique structures using different grades and grade points
- Data are reflected throughout the report using the HRL designation

This report presents the findings of the market competitiveness study

## Project Summary

## The following steps have been undertaken:

- Initial planning and scoping meeting
- Mutual agreement on the positions, the constituency of the comparator market and data to be collected
- Collection of current State of Minnesota salary and benefits data
- Analysis of salary and benefits data
- Analysis of overall outcomes
- Delivery of preliminary report
- Working session to review preliminary report
- Revisions to finalize full report
- Executive summary section for MMB to use when presenting to leadership


Executive Summary Results

# Executive Summary Results Project Overview 

## Hay Group conducted a comprehensive review of compensation and benefits provided to approximately 1,500 State employees in 388* Executive and Managerial classifications

- 388* classifications were compared to the Private Sector (MN) market, which represents 97\% of the managerial population
- 59 classifications had matches with sufficient market data in the Public Sector (Other States) market, which represents $18 \%$ of the managerial population Survey sources used for the salary and benefits analysis include:
- Private Sector (MN) Market: Hay Group survey of compensation and benefits data from private sector organizations with employees in Minnesota
- Public Sector (Other States) Market: NCASG - 12 peer states (CO, IA, IL*, MI**, MO, MT, ND, NE, SD, WA*, WI and WY)
- IL and WA did not provide benefits data, while MI did not provide salary data
- Additional sources were reviewed to validate the market rates shown in this study:
- League of Minnesota Cities
- Towers Watson (Minnesota, North Central, and National data cuts were reviewed)
- Bureau of Labor Statistics - Minnesota
- Economic Research Institute Salary Assessor for Minnesota
*Six vacant classifications are included in this count. These six classifications are not included in the summary data in this report


## Executive Summary Results Base Salary

The State's base salary market position varies significantly depending on market. The State is competitive with the Public Sector market median, but well below the Private Sector market median

- On average, the State's market position for actual base salaries is $27.1 \%$ below the Private Sector (MN) market median while midpoints are $35.7 \%$ below the median
- Compared to the Public Sector (Other States) market median, the positioning is near median for salaries and 10.8\% below median for midpoints
- The State is below the 25th Percentile of the Private Sector (MN) market, but near the Public Sector (Other States) market 25th Percentile for midpoints
- The State's range maximum is also below the Private Sector (MN) market, though typically in compensation, midpoints are set to market

|  | Minnesota vs. <br> Private Sector (MN) <br> P50 | Minnesota vs. <br> Public Sector <br> (Other States) P50 | Minnesota vs. <br> Private Sector (MN) <br> P25 | Minnesota vs. <br> Public Sector <br> (Other States) P25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Base Salaries | $-27.1 \%$ | $+0.2 \%$ | $-14.3 \%$ | $+13.7 \%$ |
| Range Midpoint | $-35.7 \%$ | $-10.8 \%$ | $-24.4 \%$ | $+1.2 \%$ |
| Range Maximum | $-23.8 \%$ | $+7.8 \%$ | $-10.4 \%$ | $+22.2 \%$ |

## Executive Summary Results Base Salary

STATE OF MN AVERAGE ACTUAL PAY COMPARED TO MARKET BASE SALARY


## Executive Summary Results Benefits

The benefits programs available to the State's managerial employees is above the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile of both the Private Sector (MN) and Public Sector (Other State) markets, if the State were paying at the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| Benefit Area | Minnesota vs. <br> Private Sector (MN) Market | Minnesota vs. <br> Public Sector (Other States) <br> Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Benefits | P 75 | P 75 |
| Retirement | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ | P 50 |
| Healthcare | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ |
| Disability | P 75 | P 50 |
| Life Insurance | P 75 | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ |
| Other | P 50 | $\mathrm{P} 50+$ |

- All of Minnesota's benefits programs offered to managers are at or above the market median, with health care and retirement the two primary drivers of total benefits competitiveness
- A market position including " + " designates more than $10 \%$ above the market percentile indicated


## Executive Summary Results Benefits

## STATE OF MN TOTAL BENEFITS COMPARED TO MARKET TOTAL BENEFITS



## Executive Summary Results Total Compensation

In aggregate, the State's Total Compensation (Base Salary + Benefits) is above the Public Sector market median (+11.2\%) but below the Private Sector market median (-16.0\%)

| Component | Minnesota vs. Market P50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private Sector (MN) <br> Market | Public Sector (Other States) <br> Market |
| Base Salary | $-27.1 \%$ | $+0.2 \%$ |
| Benefits * | $+22.8 \%$ | $+26.4 \%$ |
| Benefits at P50 Salary Level ** | $+41.5 \%$ | $+26.8 \%$ |
| Total Compensation | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 1 . 2 \%}$ |

* Market position of the State's benefits program based on the ACTUAL base salary market position
${ }^{* *}$ Market position of the State's benefits program IF the State's base salary was at the median of the market
- The influence of the State's better benefits position does not offset the low salary position relative to the Private Sector Market, as the State is below the Private Sector market median on a total compensation basis
- Because the State's salary position is at the market median of the Public Sector market, the strong benefits position does enhance the State's total compensation position relative to this market


## Executive Summary Results Total Compensation

STATE OF MN TOTAL COMPENSATION COMPARED TO MARKET TOTAL COMPENSATION


## Executive Summary Results Total Compensation

The State's TOTAL COMPENSATION market competitiveness varies by HRL to the Private Sector Market. The higher the reference level, the less competitive the State is relative to the Private Sector Market

| Reference Level (HRL) | Number of Employees | Base Salary |  |  | Total Benefits |  |  | Total Compensation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minnesota | Private Sector (MN) Market | MN vs. Market | Minnesota | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Private Sector } \\ \text { (MN) Market } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { P50 } \end{gathered}$ | MN vs. Market | Minnesota | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Private Sector } \\ \text { (MN) Market } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { P50 } \end{gathered}$ | MN vs. MarketP50 |
|  |  |  | P50 | P50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 2 | \$131,165 | \$390,063 | -66\% | \$55,100 | \$76,181 | -28\% | \$186,265 | \$466,244 | -60\% |
| 28 | 3 | \$119,059 | \$336,341 | -65\% | \$52,579 | \$68,129 | -23\% | \$171,639 | \$404,470 | -58\% |
| 27 | 10 | \$123,766 | \$308,070 | -60\% | \$53,573 | \$63,891 | -16\% | \$177,339 | \$371,961 | -52\% |
| 26 | 6 | \$120,910 | \$272,304 | -56\% | \$52,983 | \$58,531 | -9\% | \$173,894 | \$330,834 | -47\% |
| 25 | 15 | \$124,180 | \$253,617 | -51\% | \$53,658 | \$55,573 | -3\% | \$177,838 | \$309,190 | -42\% |
| 24 | 20 | \$123,988 | \$237,610 | -48\% | \$53,618 | \$52,716 | 2\% | \$177,606 | \$290,326 | -39\% |
| 23 | 41 | \$118,664 | \$214,698 | -45\% | \$52,489 | \$49,647 | 6\% | \$171,153 | \$264,345 | -35\% |
| 22 | 65 | \$116,619 | \$188,875 | -38\% | \$52,020 | \$45,896 | 13\% | \$168,638 | \$234,771 | -28\% |
| 21 | 125 | \$112,138 | \$167,589 | -33\% | \$50,992 | \$42,955 | 19\% | \$163,130 | \$210,544 | -23\% |
| 20 | 404 | \$103,684 | \$138,053 | -25\% | \$48,806 | \$38,931 | 25\% | \$152,489 | \$176,984 | -14\% |
| 19 | 455 | \$93,592 | \$117,142 | -20\% | \$46,096 | \$36,292 | 27\% | \$139,688 | \$153,434 | -9\% |
| 18 | 237 | \$86,639 | \$105,681 | -18\% | \$44,230 | \$34,341 | 29\% | \$130,869 | \$140,022 | -7\% |
| 17 | 29 | \$76,017 | \$90,831 | -16\% | \$41,378 | \$31,952 | 30\% | \$117,395 | \$122,783 | -4\% |
| 16 | 26 | \$73,028 | \$77,198 | -5\% | \$40,576 | \$29,589 | 37\% | \$113,604 | \$106,787 | 6\% |
| 15 | 12 | \$66,664 | \$61,218 | 9\% | \$38,867 | \$26,868 | 45\% | \$105,531 | \$88,086 | 20\% |
| Total | 1,450 |  |  | -27\% |  |  | 23\% |  |  | -16\% |

## Executive Summary Results Total Compensation

The State's TOTAL COMPENSATION market competitiveness varies slightly by HRL to the Public Sector (Other States) Market. The State is at or above the Public Sector market median at all Reference Levels

| Reference Level (HRL) | Number of Employees | Base Salary |  |  | Total Benefits |  |  | Total Compensation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minnesota | Public Sector (Other States) Market | MN vs. Market | Minnesota | Public Sector (Other States) Market | MN vs. Market | Minnesota | Public Sector (Other States) Market | MN vs. Market |
|  |  |  | P50 | P50 |  | P50 | P50 |  | P50 | P50 |
| 29 | 2 | \$131,165 | \$133,975 | -2\% | \$55,100 | \$45,853 | 20\% | \$186,265 | \$179,828 | 4\% |
| 28 | 3 | \$119,059 | \$128,318 | -7\% | \$52,579 | \$44,857 | 17\% | \$171,639 | \$173,175 | -1\% |
| 27 | 10 | \$123,766 | \$123,598 | 0\% | \$53,573 | \$44,026 | 22\% | \$177,339 | \$167,623 | 6\% |
| 26 | 3 | \$119,323 | \$119,557 | 0\% | \$52,640 | \$43,129 | 22\% | \$171,962 | \$162,686 | 6\% |
| 25 | 9 | \$118,225 | \$116,104 | 2\% | \$52,388 | \$42,505 | 23\% | \$170,613 | \$158,609 | 8\% |
| 24 | 2 | \$121,472 | \$113,202 | 7\% | \$53,099 | \$41,981 | 26\% | \$174,571 | \$155,183 | 12\% |
| 23 | 6 | \$100,773 | \$110,722 | -9\% | \$48,024 | \$41,533 | 16\% | \$148,797 | \$152,255 | -2\% |
| 22 | 15 | \$118,938 | \$108,647 | 9\% | \$52,552 | \$40,691 | 29\% | \$171,489 | \$149,338 | 15\% |
| 21 | 55 | \$110,815 | \$99,585 | 11\% | \$50,688 | \$38,923 | 30\% | \$161,504 | \$138,507 | 17\% |
| 20 | 21 | \$105,442 | \$92,952 | 13\% | \$49,278 | \$37,343 | 32\% | \$154,720 | \$130,295 | 19\% |
| 19 | 31 | \$90,226 | \$87,473 | 3\% | \$45,193 | \$36,039 | 25\% | \$135,419 | \$123,512 | 10\% |
| 18 | 108 | \$84,974 | \$83,024 | 2\% | \$43,783 | \$34,979 | 25\% | \$128,757 | \$118,003 | 9\% |
| Total | 265 |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 26\% |  |  | 11\% |

State of MN did not have market matches to the Public Sector (Other States) market below HRL 18

## Executive Summary Results Methodology

## Survey Methodology

- State of MN classifications were matched to relevant jobs in the NCASG survey by job title/job duties using matches provided by MMB in the survey submission
- State of MN positions were also compared to Hay Group's database for Minnesota, referred to in this report as Private Sector (MN) market
To determine the current competitiveness of salaries and midpoints:
- State of Minnesota's average pay and midpoint for each job were compared to survey median of the Public Sector (Other States) and Private Sector (MN)
- In order to have a more comprehensive market database, data has been gathered from a number of sources. The following additional sources were reviewed to validate the market rates shown in this study:
- League of Minnesota Cities
- Towers Watson (Minnesota, North Central, and National data cuts were reviewed)
- Bureau of Labor Statistics - Minnesota
- Economic Research Institute Salary Assessor for Minnesota

Market data were effective or projected to January 1, 2014

# Executive Summary Results Methodology 

## Benefits Methodology

- Hay Group utilizes a proprietary actuarial valuation methodology to evaluate benefit plans in terms of the cash equivalence of the benefits
- The utilization of "standard or common cost assumptions" provides a uniform quantitative evaluation method which produces values based solely on the level of the benefit provided
- To facilitate the uniform comparison of benefit plan provisions, a straw person with the following characteristics is used in the valuation methodology: A married male, age 45 with 15 Years of Service and a family
- The valuation method is applied to a full range of employee benefits including:
- Healthcare Insurance (medical, dental, RX, vision, physical exams)
- Retirement Plans (defined benefit and defined contribution plans)
- Life Insurance (employer paid and voluntary life insurance plans)
- Disability and Sick Leave (sick leave, short-term, long-term disability plans)
- Other benefits such as Tuition Reimbursement, Flex Plans, Statutory Benefits, etc.
- Benefit values are calculated on an "Employer-paid" basis and are discounted to reflect the relationship of any required employee contributions to the program's total value


## Executive Summary Results Methodology

Total compensation analysis combines base salary, annual incentive and total benefits and compares to corresponding market levels

- State of MN actual base salaries are weighted by the number of MN incumbents within each classification and HRL, and include only those classifications that were matched to the market
- State of MN average base salaries may vary for public sector and private sector market comparisons, depending on jobs matched
- Please refer to the appendix for a more detailed explanation of the methodologies used in conducting the analysis


## Executive Summary Results Definition of terms

## The following terms are used throughout this report:

- Classification - Refers to a job within the State of MN
- Position - For State of MN, a number of positions are allocated to a classification
- Employees - This term refers to incumbents or "bodies" holding positions
- Job Title/Job Duties - Where data were available, State of MN classifications were matched to market by job title and job duties. Job duties are outlined in the survey job descriptions and the State of MN job descriptions
- Job Size - Hay Group's proprietary methodology, the Hay Group Guide Chart - Profile Method of Job Evaluation ${ }^{\text {SM }}$, considers the knowledge, scope, complexity and accountability of each position as valued within the State of MN. The resulting total evaluation points reflect the size of each classification
- Hay Reference Level (HRL) - Using the total job evaluation points, jobs are grouped within a defined point range, referred to as Hay Reference Level (HRL)
- Average Pay - The current average pay for multi-incumbent positions, or the actual pay for single incumbent positions. The employee data are effective February 13, 2014
- Current Midpoint - The midpoint of the current salary range associated with the position


## Executive Summary Results Definition of terms

## Definition of terms (continued)

- Private Sector (MN) Market - Private Sector data includes Hay Group's market for all jobs in MN in the private sector. Market data is an average of market match by job title/job duties where available and market data by job size (all positions in the market which are of similar size)
- Public Sector (Other States) Market - State Government Peer Group, NCASG 12 States (CO, IA, IL*, MI**, MO, MT, ND, NE, SD, WA*, WI, WY). IL and WA did not provide benefits data, while MI did not provide salary data
- P25 or 25th Percentile - 75\% of the market pays above this point and $25 \%$ pays below
- P50 or the Median - $50 \%$ of the market pays above this point and $50 \%$ pays below
- P75 or 75th Percentile - $25 \%$ of the market pays above this point and $75 \%$ pay below
- Hay Group considers pay comparisons (pay element divided by market comparison point) to be at a specific quartile (or within acceptable range of a market level) if:
- Base salary is $+/-10 \%$ of the market data
- Benefits is $+/-10 \%$ of the market data
- Total Compensation is $+/-10 \%$ of the market data
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Market Competitiveness Base Salary

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary

## This section provides comparisons of the State's base salary to the markets

- Table 1: The State's actual base salary compared to the Private Sector (MN) market by Hay reference level (HRL)
- Table 2: The State's actual base salary compared to the Public Sector (Other States) market by HRL
- Table 3: Summary of the distribution of State of MN classifications average pay percent from market median
- The remaining slides in this section show the State of MN average actual pay and current midpoints compared to market median by classification
- The tables are grouped by career family and sorted descending by evaluation points
- Shading indicates actual average pay for the classification is "at market" compared to the Private Sector (MN) market (+/-10\%)


# Market Competitiveness Base Salary - State vs. Private Sector 

Table 1

| Reference Level (HRL) | Point Range | HRL Middle Points | \# of Employees | \% of Population | MN Weighted Salary | Private Sector (MN) Market P50 | MN vs. Market P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 3581-4250 | 3916 | 2 | 0.1\% | \$131,165 | \$390,063 | -66\% |
| 28 | 3021-3580 | 3300 | 3 | 0.2\% | \$119,059 | \$336,341 | -65\% |
| 27 | 2551-3020 | 2786 | 10 | 0.7\% | \$123,766 | \$308,070 | -60\% |
| 26 | 2141-2550 | 2346 | 6 | 0.4\% | \$120,910 | \$272,304 | -56\% |
| 25 | 1801-2140 | 1970 | 15 | 1.0\% | \$124,180 | \$253,617 | -51\% |
| 24 | 1508-1800 | 1654 | 20 | 1.4\% | \$123,988 | \$237,610 | -48\% |
| 23 | 1261-1507 | 1384 | 41 | 2.8\% | \$118,664 | \$214,698 | -45\% |
| 22 | 1056-1260 | 1158 | 65 | 4.5\% | \$116,619 | \$188,875 | -38\% |
| 21 | 880-1055 | 968 | 125 | 8.6\% | \$112,138 | \$167,589 | -33\% |
| 20 | 735-879 | 807 | 404 | 27.9\% | \$103,684 | \$138,053 | -25\% |
| 19 | 614-734 | 674 | 455 | 31.4\% | \$93,592 | \$117,142 | -20\% |
| 18 | 519-613 | 566 | 237 | 16.3\% | \$86,639 | \$105,681 | -18\% |
| 17 | 439-518 | 478 | 29 | 2.0\% | \$76,017 | \$90,831 | -16\% |
| 16 | 371-438 | 404 | 26 | 1.8\% | \$73,028 | \$77,198 | -5\% |
| 15 | 314-370 | 342 | 12 | 0.8\% | \$66,664 | \$61,218 | 9\% |
| Overall |  |  | 1,450 | 100\% |  |  | -27\% |

- The competitive position for the State is close to median for smaller jobs (HRL 15 and 16)
- Market position decreases as jobs get larger, reflecting Minnesota's pay levels do not increase to the extent of the market as job size increases
- The MN salaries and market medians are weighted by the number of MN incumbents within each classification


## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - State vs. Public Sector

Table 2

| Reference Level <br> (HRL) | \# of Employees | \% of Population | MN Weighted <br> Salary | Public Sector (Other <br> States) Market P50 | MN vs. Market <br> P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | $\$ 131,165$ | $\$ 133,975$ | $-2 \%$ |
| 28 | 3 | $0.2 \%$ | $\$ 119,059$ | $\$ 128,318$ | $-7 \%$ |
| 27 | 10 | $0.7 \%$ | $\$ 123,766$ | $\$ 123,598$ | $0 \%$ |
| 26 | 3 | $0.2 \%$ | $\$ 119,323$ | $\$ 119,557$ | $0 \%$ |
| 25 | 9 | $0.6 \%$ | $\$ 118,225$ | $\$ 116,104$ | $2 \%$ |
| 24 | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | $\$ 121,472$ | $\$ 113,202$ | $7 \%$ |
| 23 | 6 | $0.4 \%$ | $\$ 100,773$ | $\$ 110,722$ | $-9 \%$ |
| 22 | 15 | $1.0 \%$ | $\$ 118,938$ | $\$ 108,647$ | $9 \%$ |
| 21 | 55 | $3.8 \%$ | $\$ 110,815$ | $\$ 99,585$ | $11 \%$ |
| 20 | 21 | $1.4 \%$ | $\$ 105,442$ | $\$ 92,952$ | $13 \%$ |
| 19 | 31 | $2.1 \%$ | $\$ 90,226$ | $\$ 87,473$ | $3 \%$ |
| 18 | 108 | $7.4 \%$ | $\$ 84,974$ | $\$ 83,024$ | $2 \%$ |
| Overall | 265 | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |

- Compared to the Public Sector (Other States), Minnesota has a strong position based on job size, with most levels within $+/-10 \%$ of the median
- State of MN salaries and market medians are weighted by the number of MN incumbents within each classification. State of MN average base salaries may vary for public sector and private sector market comparisons, depending on jobs matched
- State of MN did not have market matches to the Public Sector market below HRL 18


## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - Distribution of Market Position

Table 3

| $\%$ from Market Median | Private Sector (MN Market) |  | Public Sector (Other States) <br> Market |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of <br> Classifications | \% of <br> Classifications | \# of <br> Classifications | of <br> Classifications |
| 20\%+ above market | 0 | $0 \%$ | 11 | $19 \%$ |
| 10\% to 19\% above market | 4 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $17 \%$ |
| 0\% to 9\% above market | 8 | $2 \%$ | 16 | $27 \%$ |
| 1\% to 9\% below market | 20 | $5 \%$ | 12 | $20 \%$ |
| 10\% to 19\% below market | 70 | $18 \%$ | 9 | $15 \%$ |
| 20\% to 29\% below market | 125 | $33 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ to 39\% below market | 76 | $20 \%$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ to 49\% below market | 42 | $11 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 50\%+ below market | 37 | $10 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 382 | $100 \%$ | 59 | $100 \%$ |

- Percent of classifications includes only those with market matches within each data source, and excludes six vacant classifications


## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Agriculture Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN AvgPay fromPublic Sector(OtherStates) P50 | \% MNMidpointfrom PublicSector (OtherStates) P50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% MN Avg } \\ \text { Pay from } \\ \text { Private } \\ \text { Sector (MN) } \\ \text { P50 } \end{gathered}$ | \% MNMidpointfrom PrivateSector (MN)P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | \# of EEs | Average Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Agriculture | 25 | 1868 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$110.1 | \$252.3 | 8\% | 3\% | -53\% | -55\% |
| Deputy Commr Agriculture | 23 | 1418 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | -48\% | -54\% |
| Asst Commr Agriculture | 22 | 1142 | 2 | \$96.3 | \$99.6 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -50\% | -48\% |
| Agronomy Asst Dir Environ Reg | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -22\% | -34\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Commerce Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) <br> P50 <br> . | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Commerce | 24 | 1628 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 |  | \$238.4 |  |  | -50\% | -52\% |
| Deputy Commr Commerce-Chief of Staff | 23 | 1418 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$103.1 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | -46\% | -54\% |
| Asst Comm - Enforcement (Commerce) | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 | \$115.7 | \$170.0 | -12\% | -25\% | -40\% | -49\% |
| Deputy Comm - Commerce | 21 | 994 | 3 | \$117.2 | \$103.1 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -31\% | -39\% |
| Dir Actuarial \& Reg Plcy Analy | 21 | 920 | 3 | \$159.7 | \$140.8 |  | \$157.7 |  |  | 1\% | -11\% |
| Weights \& Measures Div Direct | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$95.9 | \$89.5 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -35\% | -39\% |
| Asst Commr Commerce | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -28\% | -39\% |
| Asst Comm - Energy Resources | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -14\% | -27\% |
| Energy Program Director | 18 | 611 | 2 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -14\% | -27\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Corrections Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% MN Avg } \\ \text { Pay from } \\ \text { Private } \\ \text { Sector (MN) } \\ \text { P50 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current } \\ \text { MP } \end{gathered}$ | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Corrections | 27 | 2676 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$134.3 | \$302.8 | -11\% | -15\% | -61\% | -62\% |
| Deputy Commr Corrections | 25 | 1960 | 2 | \$121.5 | \$110.6 | \$111.9 | \$257.5 | 9\% | -1\% | -53\% | -57\% |
| Asst Commr Corrections | 23 | 1372 | 2 | \$121.5 | \$106.9 |  | \$220.2 |  |  | -45\% | -51\% |
| Chief Exec Officer-Corr Facilt | 22 | 1192 | 9 | \$117.2 | \$106.9 | \$94.5 | \$197.4 | 24\% | 13\% | -41\% | -46\% |
| Corr Field Serv Dir | 22 | 1192 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$106.9 |  | \$197.4 |  |  | -41\% | -46\% |
| Corr Minncor CEO | 22 | 1192 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$197.4 |  |  | -41\% | -48\% |
| Chief Exec Officer-Juv/Min Cor | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$93.7 | \$92.8 | \$91.5 | \$147.6 | 2\% | 1\% | -36\% | -37\% |
| Corr Facility Oper Dir | 20 | 864 | 17 | \$98.3 | \$89.5 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -33\% | -39\% |
| Corr Adult Fac Exec Asst Dir | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$77.5 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -43\% | -37\% |
| Corr Community Svcs Reg Di | 20 | 805 | 3 | \$99.4 | \$89.5 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -27\% | -35\% |
| Corr Dir Admin Programs | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$95.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -30\% | -35\% |
| Corr Exec Of Hrngs \& Re | 20 | 782 | 1 | \$98.2 | \$83.3 |  | \$133.7 |  |  | -27\% | -38\% |
| Corr Facility Admin Dir | 19 | 677 | 12 | \$89.9 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -24\% | -32\% |
| Corr Alternative Prog Mgr | 18 | 611 | 8 | \$89.0 | \$77.4 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -19\% | -30\% |
| Corr Interstate Dep Cmpct Admn | 18 | 588 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -11\% | -25\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Diversity and EEO Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Agency Affirmative Action Mgr | 18 | 551 | 2 | \$81.7 | \$72.0 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -20\% | -29\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Economic Development Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Public Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN AvgPay fromPrivateSector (MN)P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint <br> from Private <br> Sector (MN) <br> P50 <br> $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Empl \& Econ Dev | 28 | 3072 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$128.6 | \$336.3 | -7\% | -11\% | -65\% | -66\% |
| Deputy Commr Empl \& Econ Devel | 25 | 1868 | 2 | \$111.6 | \$110.6 | \$116.5 | \$252.3 | -4\% | -5\% | -56\% | -56\% |
| Commissioner-Housing Finance | 24 | 1628 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 |  | \$238.4 |  |  | -50\% | -52\% |
| Commissioner-IRR\&RB | 23 | 1418 | 1 | \$105.1 | \$104.5 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | -53\% | -53\% |
| Deputy Commr Housing Finance | 22 | 1074 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$110.6 |  | \$181.3 |  |  | -33\% | -39\% |
| Dir Explore Minnesota Tourism | 21 | 954 | 1 | \$115.1 | \$103.1 | \$100.5 | \$163.8 | 15\% | 3\% | -30\% | -37\% |
| Housing Finance Agency Exec | 20 | 830 | 7 | \$116.1 | \$99.6 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -18\% | -30\% |
| Economic Development Mgr | 19 | 702 | 6 | \$88.1 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -28\% | -34\% |
| Housing Finance Agency Dir | 19 | 677 | 7 | \$101.5 | \$86.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -14\% | -27\% |
| Housing Finance Agency Exec (Chief Risk Officer) | 19 | 654 | 1 | \$115.1 | \$99.6 |  | \$115.6 |  |  | 0\% | -14\% |
| IRRRB Administrative Manager | 19 | 634 | 2 | \$91.5 | \$77.4 |  | \$113.0 |  |  | -19\% | -31\% |
| Housing Finance Agency Exec (Credit Risk Officer) | 18 | 588 | 1 | \$113.7 | \$99.6 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | 6\% | -7\% |
| Business Community Dev Dir | 18 | 551 | 1 | \$88.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -13\% | -26\% |
| Exec Sec Cosmetologist Bd Exam | 16 | 417 | 1 | \$76.7 | \$64.6 |  | \$78.3 |  |  | -2\% | -17\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Economic Security Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint <br> from Public <br> Sector (Other <br> States) P50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% MN Avg } \\ \text { Pay from } \\ \text { Private } \\ \text { Sector (MN) } \\ \text { P50 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | \# of EEs | Average <br> Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Veterans Affairs | 25 | 1868 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$94.1 | \$252.3 | 27\% | 21\% | -53\% | -55\% |
| Deputy Comm - Veterans Affairs Homes | 23 | 1418 | 1 | \$127.8 | \$103.1 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | -43\% | -54\% |
| Deputy Commr Veterans Affairs | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$103.1 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -36\% | -46\% |
| Veterans Home Admin | 20 | 805 | 5 | \$108.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -21\% | -32\% |
| Dir Employment Programs | 20 | 775 | 5 | \$100.8 | \$86.3 |  | \$132.7 |  |  | -24\% | -35\% |
| Exec Dir Gov Job Training Offc | 20 | 750 | 1 | \$96.1 | \$86.3 |  | \$129.1 |  |  | -26\% | -33\% |
| Rehabilitation Area Director | 19 | 634 | 3 | \$89.5 | \$77.4 |  | \$113.0 |  |  | -21\% | -31\% |
| Emp \& Econ Devel Staff Dir | 18 | 611 | 4 | \$90.9 | \$80.3 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -17\% | -27\% |
| Emp \& Econ Devel Youth Pro Dir | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -14\% | -27\% |
| Emp \& Trng Director | 18 | 611 | 2 | \$92.8 | \$80.3 | \$107.9 | \$95.8 | -14\% | -26\% | -3\% | -16\% |
| Emp \& Econ Devel Spec Prog Mgr | 18 | 588 | 7 | \$78.3 | \$74.7 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -27\% | -30\% |
| Rehabilitation Program Manager | 18 | 588 | 1 | \$82.2 | \$69.5 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -23\% | -35\% |
| Extended Employment Prog Dir | 18 | 571 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$104.7 |  |  | -10\% | -23\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Education and Teaching Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MNMidpointfrom PublicSector (OtherStates) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MNMidpointfrom PrivateSector (MN)P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { EEs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Pay } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Current } \\ \mathrm{MP} \end{array}$ | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Education Dept | 27 | 2676 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$148.5 | \$302.8 | -20\% | -23\% | -61\% | -62\% |
| Deputy Commr Education | 24 | 1788 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$110.6 |  | \$247.8 |  |  | -51\% | -55\% |
| Dir Higher Ed Services Office | 23 | 1358 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$171.7 | \$218.4 | -31\% | -34\% | -45\% | -48\% |
| OHE L-1 Exec (Deputy Dir Higher Ed Svcs Ofc) | 22 | 1142 | 4 | \$103.4 | \$91.1 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -46\% | -52\% |
| Asst Commr Education | 22 | 1096 | 3 | \$119.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$184.4 |  |  | -35\% | -44\% |
| Dir Perpich Ctr Arts Educ | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$117.3 | \$99.6 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -31\% | -41\% |
| MN Academies Administrator | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$112.9 | \$106.9 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -34\% | -37\% |
| Dir Statewide Assessment | 21 | 920 | 1 | \$115.5 | \$106.9 |  | \$157.7 |  |  | -27\% | -32\% |
| Exec Dir Higher Educ Fac Auth | 21 | 920 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$157.7 |  |  | -35\% | -45\% |
| OHE L-1 Executive | 20 | 864 | 2 | \$106.1 | \$91.1 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -28\% | -38\% |
| Arts School Prog Admin | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$88.4 | \$86.3 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -38\% | -39\% |
| Educ Dir Finance Reform \& Acct | 20 | 830 | 3 | \$108.7 | \$92.8 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -23\% | -34\% |
| Corr Education Director | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -37\% |
| Educ Dir State \& Fed Prog | 20 | 775 | 6 | \$100.4 | \$86.3 |  | \$132.7 |  |  | -24\% | -35\% |
| MN Academies Director | 20 | 755 | 2 | \$88.6 | \$86.3 |  | \$129.8 |  |  | -32\% | -34\% |
| Perpich Ctr Arts Educ Prog Dir | 20 | 755 | 2 | \$92.9 | \$86.3 |  | \$129.8 |  |  | -28\% | -34\% |
| Dir Child Develop Services | 19 | 725 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$125.5 |  |  | -25\% | -36\% |
| Educ Dir Personnel Licensing | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 | \$109.7 | \$122.2 | -7\% | -21\% | -17\% | -29\% |
| OHE L-1 Executive | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$92.5 | \$91.1 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -24\% | -25\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Electronic Installation/Maintenance Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% MN Avg } \\ & \text { Pay from } \\ & \text { Public Sector } \\ & \text { (Other } \\ & \text { States) P50 } \end{aligned}$ | \% MNMidpointfrom PublicSector (OtherStates) P50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% MN Avg } \\ \text { Pay from } \\ \text { Private } \\ \text { Sector (MN) } \\ \text { P50 } \end{gathered}$ | \% MNMidpointfrom PrivateSector (MN)P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | \# of EEs | Average Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Electronic Commun Asst Director | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$105.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -23\% | -35\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Engineer/Architect Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Current } \\ \text { MP } \end{array}$ | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Engineer Princ Admin Transp | 21 | 1040 | 23 | \$112.9 | \$96.0 | \$102.9 | \$176.5 | 10\% | -7\% | -36\% | -46\% |
| Transp Division Engineer | 21 | 994 | 4 | \$119.9 | \$106.9 |  | \$149.5 |  |  | -20\% | -28\% |
| Construction Proj Oprtions Mgr | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$105.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$131.7 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Engineer Senior Administrative | 20 | 805 | 56 | \$104.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -24\% | -35\% |
| Real Estate Mgmt Dir | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$105.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -23\% | -35\% |
| Land Surveyor Senior Admin | 20 | 775 | 1 | \$105.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$132.7 |  |  | -21\% | -33\% |
| Engineer Administrative Mgt | 19 | 702 | 5 | \$98.3 | \$83.3 | \$64.0 | \$125.9 | 54\% | 30\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| Exec Sec Arch Engr L/S Bd | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$91.5 | \$77.4 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -25\% | -37\% |
| Land Surveyor Admin - Mgmt | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$98.2 | \$83.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Transp Metro Right of Way Mgr | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$98.2 | \$83.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Exec Sec Cap Area Arch \& PIng | 17 | 511 | 1 | \$82.4 | \$77.4 |  | \$95.2 |  |  | -13\% | -19\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Facilities Operations/Maintenance Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | \# of | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector (Other States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Plant Mgmt Dir | 20 | 839 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$140.7 |  |  | -28\% | -39\% |
| Physical Plant Mgr | 19 | 636 | 10 | \$82.7 | \$72.0 | \$67.3 | \$113.2 | 23\% | 7\% | -27\% | -36\% |
| Institutional Supp Svcs Dir | 18 | 588 | 2 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -5\% | -19\% |
| Physical Plant Operations Mgr | 18 | 551 | 2 | \$88.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -13\% | -26\% |
| Plant Mgmt Operations Manager | 17 | 496 | 1 | \$88.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$92.7 |  |  | -5\% | -19\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Human Resources Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MNMidpointfrom PublicSector (OtherStates) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Mediation Service | 22 | 1182 | 1 | \$105.1 | \$104.5 |  | \$196.2 |  |  | -46\% | -47\% |
| Human Resources Director 5 | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$206.3 |  |  | -45\% | -53\% |
| Employee Management Div Dir | 22 | 1096 | 3 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$184.4 |  |  | -41\% | -50\% |
| Asst State Negotiator | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$105.5 | \$89.5 | \$87.2 | \$170.0 | 21\% | 3\% | -38\% | -47\% |
| Labor Mediation Mgr | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -28\% | -39\% |
| Human Resources Director 4 | 20 | 800 | 12 | \$102.4 | \$89.5 | \$79.8 | \$137.2 | 28\% | 12\% | -25\% | -35\% |
| Human Resources Director 3 | 19 | 677 | 9 | \$90.0 | \$80.3 |  | \$110.6 |  |  | -19\% | -27\% |
| Merit System Hum Res Mgr | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Human Resources Director 2 | 18 | 588 | 7 | \$87.6 | \$74.7 |  | \$106.4 |  |  | -18\% | -30\% |
| Training \& Development Mgr 2 | 18 | 588 | 3 | \$86.5 | \$74.7 |  | \$106.4 |  |  | -19\% | -30\% |
| Training \& Development Mgr 1 | 17 | 451 | 4 | \$82.0 | \$69.5 |  | \$86.7 |  |  | -5\% | -20\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Human Services/Development Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | $\qquad$ | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { \# of } \\ \text { EEs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Pay } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current } \\ \text { MP } \end{gathered}$ | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Human Services | 29 | 3720 | 1 | \$143.3 | \$113.8 | \$133.0 | \$390.1 | 8\% | -14\% | -63\% | -71\% |
| Deputy Comm - Direct Care and Treatment (DHS) | 27 | 2812 | 2 | \$125.8 | \$110.6 | \$110.8 | \$312.2 | 13\% | 0\% | -60\% | -65\% |
| Deputy Comm - Policy \& Operations (DHS) | 26 | 2148 | 1 | \$125.8 | \$110.6 |  | \$269.3 |  |  | -53\% | -59\% |
| Asst Comm - Health Care Administration (DHS) | 25 | 1868 | 5 | \$136.2 | \$106.9 |  | \$252.3 |  |  | -46\% | -58\% |
| DHS Direct Care Executive Dir | 24 | 1628 | 4 | \$137.2 | \$118.5 |  | \$238.4 |  |  | -42\% | -50\% |
| Asst Commr Human Services | 23 | 1312 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$106.9 |  | \$212.6 |  |  | -43\% | -50\% |
| Ombudsman Mntl Hlth \& Dev Dis | 22 | 1182 | 1 | \$97.1 | \$104.5 |  | \$196.2 |  |  | -50\% | -47\% |
| MSOP Deputy Director | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$106.9 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -36\% | -44\% |
| Dir Child \& Family Services | 21 | 994 | 7 | \$108.5 | \$92.8 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -36\% | -45\% |
| Health Care Program Mgr Sr | 21 | 994 | 8 | \$107.5 | \$92.8 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -37\% | -45\% |
| Residential Prog Svcs Dir 2 | 21 | 924 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$158.4 |  |  | -31\% | -41\% |
| State Oper Svs Chief Qual Ofcr | 21 | 890 | 1 | \$104.3 | \$99.6 |  | \$152.3 |  |  | -32\% | -35\% |
| Deputy Ombuds for MH and DD | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$91.5 | \$77.4 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -35\% | -45\% |
| Chief Exec Officer 3-Human Svc | 20 | 805 | 7 | \$112.7 | \$103.1 | \$108.7 | \$137.0 | 4\% | -5\% | -18\% | -25\% |
| Deputy Div Dir Human Svcs OIG | 20 | 805 | 3 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Dir Child Services Divisions | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$86.6 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -37\% | -37\% |
| Dir Deaf \& Hard Hearing Sv Div | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$99.3 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -28\% | -37\% |
| Health Care Operations Mgr | 20 | 805 |  |  | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  |  | -37\% |
| Residential Prog Svcs Dir 1 | 20 | 805 | 9 | \$96.2 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -30\% | -37\% |
| Health Care Program Mgr | 20 | 775 | 15 | \$93.6 | \$80.3 |  | \$132.7 |  |  | -29\% | -39\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Human Services/Development Careers (continued)

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Prog Svcs MgrSr | 19 | 702 | 13 | \$90.9 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -26\% | -34\% |
| Continuing Care Program Mgr | 19 | 677 | 2 | \$89.0 | \$86.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -25\% | -27\% |
| Facility Security Director | 19 | 657 | 3 | \$82.5 | \$80.3 |  | \$116.0 |  |  | -29\% | -31\% |
| Residential Prog Svcs Mgr | 18 | 611 | 3 | \$87.5 | \$74.7 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Self-Sufficiency Program Dir | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -14\% | -27\% |
| Planning Dir Develop Disabilit | 18 | 588 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -11\% | -25\% |
| Residential Prog Manager | 17 | 496 | 8 | \$72.3 | \$69.5 |  | \$92.7 |  |  | -22\% | -25\% |
| Exec Dir Social Work Bd | 17 | 479 | 1 | \$82.2 | \$69.5 |  | \$90.0 |  |  | -9\% | -23\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Industrial Safety/Regulation Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MNMidpointfrom PrivateSector (MN)P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Labor \& Industry | 25 | 2028 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$117.4 | \$261.7 | 1\% | -3\% | -55\% | -57\% |
| Deputy Commr Labor \& Industry | 24 | 1628 | 1 | \$121.3 | \$110.6 |  | \$238.4 |  |  | -49\% | -54\% |
| Asst Commr Labor \& Industry | 22 | 1232 | 1 | \$118.9 | \$103.1 |  | \$202.5 |  |  | -41\% | -49\% |
| Asst Dir Constr Codes \& Lic | 19 | 702 | 4 | \$94.0 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -23\% | -34\% |
| Dir Workplace Safety Consult | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$98.2 | \$83.3 |  | \$111.8 |  |  | -12\% | -26\% |
| Labor Standards Director | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$92.6 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -22\% | -32\% |
| Occup Safety \& Hlth Team Dir | 19 | 677 | 2 | \$91.5 | \$77.4 |  | \$106.0 |  |  | -14\% | -27\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Information Technology Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MNMidpointfrom PublicSector (OtherStates) P50 | \% MN AvgPay fromPrivateSector (MN)P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| State Chief Information Offcr | 27 | 2676 | 1 | \$133.2 | \$125.7 | \$135.6 | \$302.8 | -2\% | -7\% | -56\% | -58\% |
| Asst Commr Office of Entp Tech | 24 | 1628 | 1 | \$138.8 | \$117.9 |  | \$238.4 |  |  | -42\% | -51\% |
| OET Executive Manager | 24 | 1628 | 1 | \$131.9 | \$113.9 |  | \$238.4 |  |  | -45\% | -52\% |
| Chief Information Officer | 22 | 1182 | 15 | \$124.9 | \$113.9 |  | \$179.3 |  |  | -30\% | -36\% |
| Information Syst Director | 20 | 864 | 8 | \$124.9 | \$110.1 |  | \$144.4 |  |  | -14\% | -24\% |
| Dir Administrative Info System | 20 | 830 | 4 | \$111.3 | \$95.6 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -21\% | -32\% |
| Information Syst Applic Mgr | 20 | 805 | 14 | \$117.2 | \$102.6 |  | \$139.1 |  |  | -16\% | -26\% |
| MN.IT Division Manger 2 | 20 | 805 | 7 | \$124.0 | \$110.1 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -9\% | -20\% |
| MN.IT Division Manager 1 | 19 | 702 | 9 | \$115.9 | \$102.6 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -5\% | -16\% |
| Asst Dir CJIS (Vacant) | 18 | 611 |  |  | \$77.4 |  | \$110.0 |  |  |  | -30\% |
| Information Mgmt Srvcs Div Dir | 18 | 611 | 5 | \$113.2 | \$95.6 |  | \$111.1 |  |  | 2\% | -14\% |
| MnSCU Information Systems Mgr | 18 | 588 | 4 | \$119.5 | \$102.6 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | 12\% | -4\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Insurance/Benefits Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Exec Dir PERA | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$126.0 | \$113.8 | \$138.6 | \$190.9 | -9\% | -18\% | -34\% | -40\% |
| Exec Dir St Retirement System | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$126.0 | \$113.8 | \$138.6 | \$190.9 | -9\% | -18\% | -34\% | -40\% |
| Exec Dir Teachers Ret Assoc | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$126.0 | \$113.0 | \$138.6 | \$190.9 | -9\% | -18\% | -34\% | -41\% |
| Unemployment Ins Director | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -43\% | -51\% |
| Disability Determ Svc Dir | 20 | 839 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$143.1 |  |  | -29\% | -40\% |
| Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs CI - MSRS | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$90.9 | \$80.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -34\% | -41\% |
| Unemployment Ins Prog Dir | 20 | 775 | 5 | \$96.9 | \$86.3 |  | \$132.7 |  |  | -27\% | -35\% |
| Asst Exec Dir MSRS | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -17\% | -29\% |
| Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs CI - TRA | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -22\% | -34\% |
| Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs Unc | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -22\% | -34\% |
| Dir Workers Comp Prog | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$90.5 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -26\% | -34\% |
| Disability Determ Svc Opns Dir | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$89.9 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -26\% | -34\% |
| EID Program Manager | 19 | 677 | 4 | \$90.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -24\% | -32\% |
| PERA Division Manager | 19 | 677 | 3 | \$93.5 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -21\% | -32\% |
| Disability Determ Svc Asst Dir | 18 | 551 | 2 | \$69.3 | \$74.7 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -32\% | -26\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Laboratory Science Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points |  | Average Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Forensic Laboratory Dir | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$106.4 | \$96.0 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -37\% | -44\% |
| Public Health Lab Div Dir | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$139.8 |  |  | -22\% | -34\% |
| Forensic Laboratory Asst Dir | 20 | 805 | 2 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -37\% |
| Public Health Lab Mgr | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$84.7 | \$83.3 | \$90.2 | \$122.2 | -6\% | -8\% | -31\% | -32\% |
| Asst Dir Lab Services Division | 18 | 571 | 1 | \$88.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$104.7 |  |  | -16\% | -29\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Law Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Corr Dir Policy \& Legal Servic | 22 | 1074 | 1 | \$111.4 | \$106.9 |  | \$181.3 |  |  | -39\% | -41\% |
| Revenue Legal Leg Aff Dir | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -33\% | -44\% |
| Dir Appeals And Contracts | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -23\% | -34\% |
| Revenue Crim Investigation Dir | 18 | 611 |  |  | \$80.3 |  | \$110.0 |  |  |  | -27\% |
| Appeals Examiner Chief | 18 | 588 | 3 | \$87.6 | \$80.3 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -18\% | -25\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Loans and Grants Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | \# of | Average Pay Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Housing Finance Agency Mgr | 19 | 634 | 9 | \$89.8 | \$77.4 |  | \$113.0 |  |  | -20\% | -31\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Manufacturing/Equipment Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Minncor Vice-Pres Operations | 20 | 805 | 2 | \$106.6 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -22\% | -32\% |
| Dir Corrections Industries | 19 | 657 | 7 | \$85.4 | \$80.3 |  | \$116.0 |  |  | -26\% | -31\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Medical/Dental/Nursing Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | \# of | Average Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Veterans Home Admin - Mpls | 22 | 1192 | 1 | \$120.2 | \$103.1 |  | \$197.4 |  |  | -39\% | -48\% |
| Corr Health Svcs Dir | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -39\% | -46\% |
| Nurse Executive | 21 | 890 | 1 | \$117.3 | \$99.6 |  | \$152.3 |  |  | -23\% | -35\% |
| Exec Dir Animal Health Bd | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -23\% | -35\% |
| Exec Dir Medical Practice Bd | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -31\% | -41\% |
| Asst Dir Animal Health Bd | 19 | 702 | 4 | \$96.9 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -21\% | -29\% |
| Corr Health Program Dir | 19 | 702 | 2 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$113.6 |  |  | 0\% | -15\% |
| Dir Nursing | 19 | 702 | 3 | \$109.0 | \$89.5 | \$91.5 | \$119.9 | 19\% | -2\% | -9\% | -25\% |
| Exec Sec Pharmacy Bd | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$125.9 | \$106.9 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | 3\% | -13\% |
| Exec Dir Emer Med Services | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$94.8 | \$86.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -20\% | -27\% |
| Exec Dir Nursing Bd | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$105.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -11\% | -25\% |
| Exec Dir Chiropractic Exam Bd | 18 | 551 | 1 | \$85.2 | \$72.0 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -16\% | -29\% |
| Exec Sec Dentistry Bd | 18 | 551 | 1 | \$85.2 | \$72.0 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -16\% | -29\% |
| Exec Dir Diet \& Nutr Pract Bd | 16 | 406 | 1 | \$73.5 | \$62.3 |  | \$76.2 |  |  | -4\% | -18\% |
| Exec Sec Podiatry Bd | 15 | 353 | 1 | \$71.2 | \$60.0 |  | \$65.1 |  |  | 9\% | -8\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Natural Resources Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Natural Resources | 28 | 3072 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$123.4 | \$336.3 | -4\% | -8\% | -65\% | -66\% |
| Commissioner-Pollution Control | 26 | 2328 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$123.4 | \$280.8 | -4\% | -8\% | -58\% | -59\% |
| Deputy Commr Natural Resource | 26 | 2148 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$110.6 |  | \$269.3 |  |  | -56\% | -59\% |
| Deputy Commr Pollution Control | 25 | 1868 | 1 | \$117.9 | \$103.1 |  | \$252.3 |  |  | -53\% | -59\% |
| Asst Commr NR | 23 | 1418 | 3 | \$117.3 | \$106.9 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | -48\% | -52\% |
| Dir Zoological Gardens | 23 | 1418 | 1 | \$247.4 | \$127.6 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | 10\% | -43\% |
| Asst Commr Pollution Control | 22 | 1142 | 3 | \$104.1 | \$92.8 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -45\% | -51\% |
| Exec Dir Water \& Soil Res Bd | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$115.0 | \$103.1 | \$113.2 | \$190.9 | 2\% | -9\% | -40\% | -46\% |
| NR Dir-Ecological Serv | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -31\% | -39\% |
| NR Dir-Enforcement | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$113.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -33\% | -39\% |
| NR Dir - Fish \& Wildlife | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -31\% | -39\% |
| NR Dir - Forestry | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 | \$105.5 | \$170.0 | 11\% | -2\% | -31\% | -39\% |
| NR Dir - Lands \& Minerals | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -31\% | -39\% |
| NR Dir - Parks \& Rec | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 | \$103.7 | \$170.0 | 13\% | -1\% | -31\% | -39\% |
| Pollution Cont Division Dir | 21 | 994 | 8 | \$108.8 | \$92.8 | \$95.5 | \$170.0 | 14\% | -3\% | -36\% | -45\% |
| Pollution Cont Strat Mgr | 21 | 994 | 2 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -36\% | -45\% |
| Transp Environmental Svcs Dir | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -33\% | -44\% |
| Zoo Animal Programs Director | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -36\% | -45\% |
| Zoo Deputy Director | 21 | 924 | 1 | \$125.9 | \$106.9 |  | \$158.4 |  |  | -21\% | -32\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Natural Resources Careers (continued)

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Zoo Conservation Director | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$81.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -42\% | -37\% |
| NR Asst Division Director | 20 | 805 | 6 | \$100.0 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -27\% | -32\% |
| NR Forestry Asst Dir | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$101.4 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -32\% |
| NR Minerals Asst Dir | 20 | 805 | 2 | \$100.0 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -27\% | -37\% |
| NR Regional Director | 20 | 805 | 4 | \$107.3 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -22\% | -32\% |
| Pollution Cont Asst Div Dir | 20 | 805 | 9 | \$99.1 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -28\% | -37\% |
| Exec Dir Mn Forest Res Council | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -17\% | -29\% |
| NR Forestry Section Mgr | 19 | 702 | 3 | \$94.0 | \$83.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -23\% | -32\% |
| NR Minerals Development Mgr | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$98.2 | \$83.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| NR Prog Mgr | 19 | 702 | 12 | \$92.5 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -24\% | -29\% |
| NR Waters Operation Manager | 19 | 702 |  |  | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  |  | -29\% |
| Pollution Cont Program Admi | 19 | 702 | 27 | \$92.8 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -24\% | -34\% |
| Mineland Reclamation Manager | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$89.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -24\% | -32\% |
| NR Section Manager | 19 | 677 | 9 | \$94.4 | \$83.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -20\% | -30\% |
| Zoo Education Director | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$70.5 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -41\% | -32\% |
| Zoo Strategic Services Dir | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$95.6 | \$92.8 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -19\% | -22\% |
| NR Manager | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$82.2 | \$69.5 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -25\% | -37\% |
| Zoo Conservation Manager | 18 | 588 | 2 | \$80.0 | \$74.7 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -25\% | -30\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Planning/Research Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint <br> from Public <br> Sector (Other <br> States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Public Utilities | 23 | 1358 | 5 | \$97.1 | \$104.5 | \$109.4 | \$218.4 | -11\% | -4\% | -56\% | -52\% |
| Revenue Research Director | 22 | 1096 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$110.6 |  | \$184.4 |  |  | -36\% | -40\% |
| Exec Sec Pub Utilities Comm | 21 | 954 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$163.8 |  |  | -38\% | -47\% |
| Transp Off CPPM Director | 21 | 954 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$163.8 |  |  | -31\% | -41\% |
| Corr Dir Admin Svcs | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -20\% | -30\% |
| Dir Management Analysis | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$105.5 | \$89.5 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -25\% | -37\% |
| Human Svcs Research Director | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$106.1 | \$92.8 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -25\% | -34\% |
| Research Director | 20 | 775 | 3 | \$90.5 | \$76.4 |  | \$132.7 |  |  | -32\% | -42\% |
| Transp Planning Dir | 20 | 775 | 9 | \$104.7 | \$89.5 |  | \$128.1 |  |  | -18\% | -30\% |
| Transp Prog Financial/PIng Dir | 20 | 755 | 2 | \$94.4 | \$83.3 |  | \$129.8 |  |  | -27\% | -36\% |
| Asst Dir Mgt Analysis | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$96.4 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -21\% | -29\% |
| Proj Manager | 19 | 702 | 13 | \$92.5 | \$83.3 |  | \$109.9 |  |  | -16\% | -24\% |
| Pub Util Regulation Unit Mgr | 19 | 702 | 5 | \$101.2 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -17\% | -29\% |
| State Archaeologist | 19 | 700 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$121.9 |  |  | -22\% | -34\% |
| Demographer State | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$93.0 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -22\% | -32\% |
| Research Director, Sent Guid C | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$91.7 | \$89.5 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -23\% | -25\% |
| Transp Planning Mgr | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$111.7 |  |  | -15\% | -28\% |
| Proj Functional Manager | 18 | 611 | 12 | \$86.0 | \$77.4 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -22\% | -30\% |
| Research Plan \& Evaluation Dir | 18 | 588 | 5 | \$84.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -21\% | -30\% |
| Welfare Strat Plcy Analyst Cl | 17 | 464 | 2 | \$76.7 | \$64.6 |  | \$87.2 |  |  | -12\% | -26\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Protective Services Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | $\%$ MNMidpointfrom PublicSector (OtherStates) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{gathered} \# \text { of } \\ \text { EEs } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Pay } \end{gathered}$ | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Public Safety | 27 | 2584 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$116.3 | \$297.0 | 2\% | -2\% | -60\% | -62\% |
| Deputy Commr Public Safety | 26 | 2248 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$110.6 | \$105.8 | \$275.7 | 15\% | 5\% | -56\% | -60\% |
| Asst Commr Public Safety | 24 | 1708 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$106.9 |  | \$243.2 |  |  | -50\% | -56\% |
| Superintendent BCA | 23 | 1262 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$103.1 |  | \$206.3 |  |  | -41\% | -50\% |
| Dir Emergency Services | 22 | 1232 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$202.5 |  |  | -44\% | -53\% |
| State Patrol Chief | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$103.1 | \$111.9 | \$190.9 | 5\% | -8\% | -39\% | -46\% |
| Exec Dir Military Affairs | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$99.8 | \$99.6 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -41\% | -41\% |
| Dir Driver \& Vehicle Services | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$117.3 | \$99.6 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -21\% | -33\% |
| State Fire Marshal | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$92.8 | \$92.8 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -34\% | -34\% |
| NR Asst Dir - Enforcement | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| State Patrol Assistant Chief | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Dir Drug Policy \& Violence Pre | 19 | 725 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$125.5 |  |  | -19\% | -31\% |
| Corr Investigation Manager | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -7\% | -21\% |
| Dir Special Investigations | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -17\% | -29\% |
| NR Prog Mgr 3-Enforcement | 19 | 702 | 2 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -17\% | -29\% |
| Exec Sec Peace Off Tng Bd | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$98.2 | \$83.3 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -11\% | -24\% |
| Exec Dir Ctr Crime Victim Srvs | 18 | 588 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | 2\% | -13\% |
| NR Prog Mgr 2 - Enforcement | 18 | 551 | 8 | \$92.8 | \$80.3 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -9\% | -21\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Psychology/Counseling Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint <br> from Public <br> Sector (Other <br> States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | $\%$ MNMidpointfrom PrivateSector (MN)P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { EEs } \end{gathered}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| MSOP Clinical Director | 22 | 1096 | 1 | \$130.2 | \$110.6 |  | \$184.4 |  |  | -29\% | -40\% |
| Corr Behav Health Svcs Dir | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$110.3 | \$96.0 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -35\% | -44\% |
| Behavioral Med Practitioner Sr | 19 | 702 | 3 | \$145.7 | \$140.8 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | 19\% | 15\% |
| Exec Sec Psychology Bd | 17 | 496 | 1 | \$81.1 | \$69.5 |  | \$92.7 |  |  | -13\% | -25\% |
| Exec Dir Beh Hlth \& Therapy Bd | 16 | 417 | 1 | \$82.2 | \$69.5 |  | \$78.3 |  |  | 5\% | -11\% |
| Exec Sec Mar \& Fam Therapy Bd | 16 | 404 | 1 | \$67.3 | \$64.6 |  | \$75.9 |  |  | -11\% | -15\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Public Administration Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN AvgPay fromPublic Sector(OtherStates) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | ```% MN Avg Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50``` | $\%$ MNMidpointfrom PrivateSector (MN)P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Eval } \\ \text { Points } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { EEs } \end{gathered}$ | Average <br> Pay | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current } \\ \text { MP } \end{gathered}$ | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-MN Mgmt \& Budget | 27 | 2936 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$118.4 | \$323.7 | 1\% | -4\% | -63\% | -65\% |
| Commissioner-Administration | 25 | 1868 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$112.3 | \$252.3 | 6\% | 1\% | -53\% | -55\% |
| Deputy Commr MMB | 24 | 1788 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$110.6 | \$112.3 | \$247.8 | 8\% | -1\% | -51\% | -55\% |
| Transp Chief Admin Officer | 23 | 1418 | 1 | \$120.0 | \$106.9 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | -46\% | -52\% |
| Admin Agency Div Dir Sr (DHS Inspector General) | 23 | 1312 | 1 | \$117.3 | \$99.6 |  | \$212.6 |  |  | -45\% | -53\% |
| Senior Admin Officer | 23 | 1262 | 14 | \$116.3 | \$103.1 |  | \$206.3 |  |  | -44\% | -50\% |
| Admin Svcs Dir-DEED | 22 | 1142 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$190.9 |  |  | -43\% | -51\% |
| Commissioner-Human Rights | 22 | 1096 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$90.1 | \$184.4 | 32\% | 26\% | -35\% | -38\% |
| Admin Agency Div Dir Sr - Admin | 21 | 924 | 7 | \$115.6 | \$99.6 | \$102.4 | \$164.3 | 13\% | -3\% | -30\% | -39\% |
| State Program Admin Manager Prin - MMB | 21 | 920 | 1 | \$102.1 | \$89.5 |  | \$157.7 |  |  | -35\% | -43\% |
| Deputy Commr Human Rights | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$102.3 | \$96.0 | \$90.1 | \$141.5 | 14\% | 7\% | -28\% | -32\% |
| Dir Governmental Relations Unc | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$103.6 | \$96.0 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -27\% | -32\% |
| Exec Dir Mn Amateur Sports Com | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -23\% | -34\% |
| Senior Executive Officer | 20 | 830 | 7 | \$98.4 | \$86.3 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -30\% | -39\% |
| Admin Svcs Bureau Mgr | 20 | 805 | 2 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -37\% |
| Admin Svcs Dir-DLI | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$111.0 | \$92.8 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -19\% | -32\% |
| Management Services Director | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -37\% |
| State Prog Admin Manager Prin - Corrections | 20 | 805 | 81 | \$101.9 | \$89.5 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -35\% |
| Dir Governmental Relations Cl | 20 | 775 | 1 | \$112.9 | \$96.0 |  | \$131.7 |  |  | -14\% | -27\% |
| Civil Rights Director | 19 | 725 | 1 | \$91.6 | \$89.5 |  | \$125.5 |  |  | -27\% | -29\% |
| Exec Dir St Arts Bd | 19 | 725 | 1 | \$92.9 | \$83.3 |  | \$125.5 |  |  | -26\% | -34\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Public Administration Careers (continued)

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint <br> from Private <br> Sector (MN) <br> P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Agency Div Director | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$99.3 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -19\% | -29\% |
| Admin Svcs Dir (CFO/Asst Exec Dir)-PERA | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -11\% | -24\% |
| Dir Community \& Media Rltns | 19 | 702 | 4 | \$93.8 | \$89.5 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -23\% | -27\% |
| Transp Support Svcs Dir | 19 | 702 |  |  | \$83.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  |  | -32\% |
| Admin Mgmt Services Dir | 19 | 677 | 5 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 | \$69.9 | \$118.6 | 35\% | 15\% | -20\% | -32\% |
| State Prog Admin Manager Sr | 19 | 634 | 145 | \$91.6 | \$80.3 |  | \$113.0 |  |  | -19\% | -29\% |
| Exec Dir Asian Pcf Minn Counc | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$61.5 | \$74.7 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -44\% | -32\% |
| Exec Dir Black Minn Counc | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$78.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -29\% | -32\% |
| Exec Dir Chicano Latino Aff Co | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$76.6 | \$74.7 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -30\% | -32\% |
| Exec Dir Indian Affairs Bd | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$86.6 | \$74.7 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -21\% | -32\% |
| Human Rights Division Director | 18 | 611 | 2 | \$77.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -29\% | -27\% |
| Asst To Commr | 18 | 588 | 7 | \$78.7 | \$74.7 |  | \$107.0 |  |  | -26\% | -30\% |
| DVS Program Director | 18 | 571 | 4 | \$86.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$104.7 |  |  | -17\% | -23\% |
| State Prog Admin Manager | 18 | 571 | 98 | \$84.5 | \$74.7 | \$100.3 | \$104.7 | -16\% | -26\% | -19\% | -29\% |
| Admin Mgmt Director 2 | 18 | 551 | 7 | \$88.2 | \$74.7 | \$69.9 | \$101.7 | 26\% | 7\% | -13\% | -26\% |
| Asst Exec Dir Campaign Fin Bd | 17 | 496 | 1 | \$88.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$92.7 |  |  | -5\% | -19\% |
| Exec Assistant Principal | 17 | 479 | 2 | \$82.2 | \$69.5 |  | \$90.0 |  |  | -9\% | -23\% |
| Admin Officer | 16 | 417 | 13 | \$73.4 | \$64.6 |  | \$78.3 |  |  | -6\% | -17\% |
| Exec Assistant | 16 | 404 | 8 | \$70.4 | \$64.6 |  | \$75.9 |  |  | -7\% | -15\% |
| Exec Aide | 15 | 332 | 11 | \$66.2 | \$57.1 |  | \$60.9 |  |  | 9\% | -6\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Public Health Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MNMidpointfrom PublicSector (OtherStates) P50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% MN Avg } \\ \text { Pay from } \\ \text { Private } \\ \text { Sector (MN) } \\ \text { P50 } \end{gathered}$ | \% MNMidpointfrom PrivateSector (MN)P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { EEs } \end{gathered}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Health | 27 | 2676 | 1 | \$119.0 | \$113.8 | \$140.5 | \$302.8 | -15\% | -19\% | -61\% | -62\% |
| Deputy Commr Health | 25 | 1868 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$110.6 | \$117.1 | \$252.3 | 4\% | -6\% | -52\% | -56\% |
| Asst Commr Health | 23 | 1418 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$106.9 |  | \$224.0 |  |  | -46\% | -52\% |
| Asst Comm-Facilities Mgmt (Administration) | 23 | 1312 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$106.9 |  | \$212.6 |  |  | -45\% | -50\% |
| Asst Comm - Administrative Services (Health) | 22 | 1096 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$106.9 |  | \$184.4 |  |  | -34\% | -42\% |
| Asst Comm-Financial Mgmt and Admin (Administration) | 22 | 1096 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$106.9 |  | \$184.4 |  |  | -36\% | -42\% |
| Environmental Hlth Div Dir | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$113.1 | \$96.0 |  | \$137.5 |  |  | -18\% | -30\% |
| Health Care P\&D Syst Div Dir | 21 | 994 | 2 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -36\% | -45\% |
| Disease Prev \& Cont Div Dir | 21 | 954 | 2 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$163.8 |  |  | -33\% | -43\% |
| Health Community Svcs Div Dir | 21 | 924 | 1 | \$109.2 | \$92.8 |  | \$158.4 |  |  | -31\% | -41\% |
| Epidemiologist Program Manager | 20 | 864 | 3 | \$116.4 | \$110.6 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -21\% | -25\% |
| Asst Comm-Strategic Partnership (Administration) | 20 | 830 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$106.9 |  | \$141.5 |  |  | -17\% | -24\% |
| Health Asst Div Director | 20 | 805 | 7 | \$96.5 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -30\% | -37\% |
| Health Survey \& Compliance Mgr | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$98.2 | \$83.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -28\% | -39\% |
| Health Promotion \& Educ Manage | 19 | 725 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$125.5 |  |  | -19\% | -31\% |
| Health Program Manager Senior | 19 | 677 | 17 | \$94.0 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -21\% | -32\% |
| Health Program Manager | 18 | 571 | 2 | \$74.7 | \$74.7 |  | \$104.7 |  |  | -29\% | -29\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Public Relations/Marketing Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Pay } \end{gathered}$ | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector (Other States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Minncor Vice-Pres Business Dev | 19 | 677 | 2 | \$103.0 | \$92.8 |  | \$117.4 |  |  | -12\% | -21\% |
| Information Director | 18 | 551 | 4 | \$84.4 | \$74.7 |  | \$106.9 |  |  | -21\% | -30\% |
| Zoo Sales \& Marketing Manager | 18 | 531 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$98.4 |  |  | -4\% | -18\% |
| Tourism Marketing Manager | 17 | 496 | 3 | \$79.3 | \$69.5 |  | \$92.7 |  |  | -14\% | -25\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Purchasing/Administrative Services Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | \# of EEs | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Materials Mgmt Assist Dir | 19 | 702 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -17\% | -29\% |
| Materials Mgmt Division Mgr | 18 | 551 | 2 | \$91.5 | \$77.4 |  | \$101.7 |  |  | -10\% | -24\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Rehabilitation Therapy Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg Pay from Private Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval <br> Points | \# of <br> EES | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector (Other States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Exec Dir Physical Therapy Bd | 16 | 393 | 1 | \$82.2 | \$69.5 |  | \$73.5 |  |  | 12\% | -5\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

Revenue/Gaming Regulation Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from <br> Private <br> Sector (MN) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average Pay | Current <br> MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Revenue | 28 | 3072 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$122.9 | \$336.3 | -3\% | -7\% | -65\% | -66\% |
| Deputy Commr Revenue | 26 | 2148 | 1 | \$117.4 | \$110.6 | \$102.4 | \$269.3 | 15\% | 8\% | -56\% | -59\% |
| Asst Commr Revenue | 24 | 1510 | 2 | \$116.3 | \$103.1 |  | \$230.3 |  |  | -49\% | -55\% |
| Exec Dir Mn State Lottery | 23 | 1486 | 1 | \$113.9 | \$131.8 |  | \$228.7 |  |  | -50\% | -42\% |
| Exec Dir, Pari-Mutuel Racing | 21 | 994 | 1 | \$113.6 | \$104.5 |  | \$170.0 |  |  | -33\% | -39\% |
| Revenue Tax System Dir 3 | 21 | 994 | 7 | \$99.9 | \$92.8 | \$118.2 | \$170.0 | -15\% | -21\% | -41\% | -45\% |
| Asst Dir Mn State Lottery | 21 | 964 | 2 | \$111.9 | \$99.6 |  | \$165.6 |  |  | -32\% | -40\% |
| Exec Dir Gambling Control | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$99.1 | \$104.5 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -33\% | -29\% |
| Revenue Tax System Dir 4 | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$108.0 | \$99.6 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -27\% | -33\% |
| Lottery Sales Manager | 20 | 805 | 1 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -37\% |
| Revenue Tax System Dir 2 | 20 | 805 | 2 | \$101.7 | \$86.3 |  | \$137.0 |  |  | -26\% | -37\% |
| Deputy Dir, Pari-Mutuel Racing | 19 | 702 |  |  | \$90.4 |  | \$122.2 |  |  |  | -26\% |
| Revenue Assistant Director 1 | 19 | 702 | 9 | \$92.0 | \$80.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -25\% | -34\% |
| Gambling Security Director | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Lottery Research \& Plan Dir | 19 | 677 | 1 | \$94.7 | \$80.3 |  | \$118.6 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |
| Revenue Operations Asst Dir | 18 | 611 | 1 | \$67.4 | \$77.4 |  | \$110.0 |  |  | -39\% | -30\% |

## Market Competitiveness Base Salary - By Classification

## Transportation Operations/Regulation Careers

| State of MN |  |  |  |  |  | Market |  | \% MN Avg <br> Pay from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Public Sector (Other States) P50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% MN Avg } \\ \text { Pay from } \\ \text { Private } \\ \text { Sector (MN) } \\ \text { P50 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% MN <br> Midpoint from Private Sector (MN) P50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Title | HRL | Eval Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { EEs } \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> Pay | Current MP | Public <br> Sector <br> (Other <br> States) P50 | Private <br> Sector <br> (MN) <br> P50 |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioner-Transportation | 29 | 3720 | 1 | \$119.1 | \$113.8 | \$144.8 | \$390.1 | -18\% | -21\% | -69\% | -71\% |
| Asst Commr Transportation | 24 | 1628 | 1 | \$121.5 | \$106.9 | \$111.4 | \$238.4 | 9\% | -4\% | -49\% | -55\% |
| Transp Asst Div Dir | 23 | 1312 | 4 | \$121.4 | \$103.1 |  | \$212.6 |  |  | -43\% | -51\% |
| Transp Gov \& Comm Rel Dir | 21 | 954 | 1 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$163.8 |  |  | -31\% | -41\% |
| Transp Dir Comm \& Media Rel | 20 | 864 | 1 | \$103.2 | \$89.5 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -30\% | -39\% |
| Transp Operations Manager | 20 | 864 | 9 | \$113.2 | \$96.0 |  | \$147.6 |  |  | -23\% | -35\% |
| Transp Prog Director | 19 | 702 | 11 | \$97.6 | \$83.3 |  | \$122.2 |  |  | -20\% | -32\% |

04

Market Competitiveness Benefits

## Market Competitiveness Benefits

## For compensation decisions to be made based on the competitiveness of total compensation, Hay Group has conducted an analysis of the competitiveness of the benefits program offered by the State

- This section of the analysis is based on the benefits program information provided by the State for its current FY benefits program, which began July 1, 2013
- Hay Group used two comparator markets for the benefits analysis
- Private Sector (MN) Market - Minnesota private sector organizations contained in our 2013 database
- Public Sector (Other States) Market - Select States from MN's peer group taken from NCASG survey, including Colorado, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin and Wyoming
- The following pages summarize the State's competitive position relative to both sources. Please refer to the appendix for a description of the benefits valuation methodology
- It is important to note that this analysis compares the value of benefits for someone hired today by the State to a new hire in the market. This ensures an apples to apples comparison, that does not consider the impact of grandfathered or frozen benefits


## Market Competitiveness Benefits

## The State provides a full range of benefit programs to employees at the Manager level and above that is competitive relative to both the Private Sector (MN) market as well as the Public Sector (Other States) market

- The table below summarizes Minnesota's current market position compared to each market, in aggregate as well as by benefit program component:

| Benefit Area | Minnesota vs. <br> Private Sector (MN) Market | Minnesota vs. <br> Public Sector (Other <br> States) Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Benefits | P 75 | P 75 |
| Retirement | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ | P 50 |
| Health Care | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ |
| Disability | P 75 | P 50 |
| Life Insurance | P 75 | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ |
| Other | P 50 | $\mathrm{P} 50+$ |

Paid time off (vacation), is not valued under Hay Group's methodology, however, commentary regarding how Minnesota's policies compare to prevalent market practice is included in this section

## Market Competitiveness Benefits

## The State provides a full range of benefit programs to its commissioners and managers that is aligned with the market 75th percentile in aggregate

- Retirement, a primary driver of overall market competitiveness, is at or above the market median relative to both the Private Sector (MN) and Public Sector (Other States) markets
- Health care (medical, dental and vision plans), another driver of overall competitiveness, is above the market 75th percentile of both markets due to low employee premiums and out of pocket costs
- The State's disability program, which includes sick leave, voluntary short-term disability (STD) and the long-term disability (LTD) component of the Manager's Income Protection Plan, is competitive relative to both markets
- The life insurance benefit for Managers is also competitive; however, this benefit comprises a smaller portion of the total benefit package and does not drive overall value
- The charts on the following slides show the following:
- Public sector organizations, specifically States, provide similar benefit programs, as shown by the relatively narrow corridor between the 75th and 25th percentiles
- Private sector organizations provide similar benefits; however, the range of benefit levels is much wider than State programs


## Market Competitiveness Total Benefits - State vs. Private Sector



Salary Levels

# Market Competitiveness Total Benefits - State vs. Private Sector 

## STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET

| Benefit Area | Market Comparison | Key Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Benefits | P75 | Market position of health care and retirement weigh heavily in overall benefit program competitiveness |
| Retirement | $P 75+$ | The low prevalence of defined benefit pension plans in the MN market ( $20 \%$ ) puts Minnesota's program above prevalent market practice. Defined contribution programs are prevalent market practice, with median contributions of $4 \%$ of pay |
| Health Care | P75 + | The State' s health care program is above the market P75 due to low premium contributions and out of pocket costs for employees and their families. In the market, employee contributions of $20-25 \%$ of premium are typical |
| Disability (Includes Sick Leave) | P75 | Minnesota provides short term disability benefits through sick leave (13 days per year with no maximum) while the Minnesota market typically provides less accrued leave and provides an employer paid STD benefit. The 60\% LTD benefit provided through the MIPP is aligned with typical market median practice |
| Life Insurance | P75 | Minnesota's $1.5 \times$ salary life insurance benefit is at the market P75, except for those earning more than $\$ 110,000$, as market prevalence of executive life insurance increases |
| Other | P50 | Tuition reimbursement and telecommunications assistance contribute to the State's market median position |
| Paid Leave | Above Market Median | The State's service based accrual not only provides more vacation upfront (19 days), but has a maximum of 29 days, which is above prevalent market practice |

## Market Competitiveness Total Benefits - State vs. Public Sector

## TOTAL BENEFITS VALUES

PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET
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# Market Competitiveness Total Benefits - State vs. Public Sector 

## STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET

| Benefit Area | Market Comparison | Key Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Benefits | P75 | Market position of health care and retirement weigh heavily in overall benefit program competitiveness |
| Retirement | P50 | Defined benefit plans continue to be provided by States (9 of 10). The combination of Minnesota's formula, employee contributions, and COLA provisions generate a market median retirement program |
| Health Care | P75 + | Market position relative to other States is driven by higher overall premium cost sharing. Minnesota employees pay 0\% and 10\% for single and family coverage, while typical State market median practice is for employees to pay an average of $10 \%$ and $18 \%$ for employee and family coverage, respectively |
| Disability (Includes Sick Leave) | P50 | Minnesota provides short term disability benefits through sick leave accrual as do most States. Minnesota's IPP provides LTD coverage that is typical of the private sector market, but is also competitive with other states |
| Life Insurance | P75 + | Minnesota's $1.5 \times$ salary benefit is above the market $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile, as $60 \%$ of the market provides a flat dollar benefit and $40 \%$ provide a $1 x$ salary benefit |
| Other | P50 + | Tuition reimbursement and telecommunications assistance, which are not prevalent in other States, contribute to the State's above market median position in this category |
| Paid Leave | Above Market Median | The number of paid holidays is consistent with other States. Vacation accruals are higher than other States |

## Market Competitiveness Retirement

RETIREMENT BENEFITS VALUES - STATE VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET


Market Competitiveness Retirement

RETIREMENT BENEFITS VALUES - STATE VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET


## Market Competitiveness Retirement

- Minnesota provides the following retirement programs to employees:
- Defined benefit retirement with a benefit of $1.7 \%$ final average compensation times years of service
- Deferred compensation plan that provides up to a $\$ 300$ match or conversion of 50 hours of vacation per year
- Minnesota's defined benefit retirement plan compares favorably to both markets. The table below outlines the key provisions of Minnesota's plan compared to the market medians:

| Pension Plan Provision | Minnesota | Public Sector <br> (Other States) <br> Market | Private Sector <br> (MN) Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Contributions | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Pension Multiplier | $1.7 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Early Retirement Reduction | Varies by year | $5.5 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Cost of Living Increases (COLA) | Yes | Mixed | No |

- The required employee contributions to the retirement program are offset by the $1.7 \%$ multiplier and COLA


# Market Competitiveness Retirement 

- The competitiveness of Minnesota's defined benefit plan is enhanced relative to the Private Sector (MN) market, where organizations typically provide a defined contribution plan with employer contribution only ( $80 \%$ ), with only $20 \%$ providing a defined benefit plan
- Median contributions toward retirement, in total, are 4\% of pay in the Private Sector (MN) market
- Employer contributions are typically in the form of a $401(\mathrm{k})$ match ( $97 \%$ ), with profit sharing (18\%) and fixed employer contributions (9\%) less prevalent
- When compared to the Public Sector (Other States) market, which continues to provide defined benefit plans ( $90 \%$ ), Minnesota's defined benefit plan is at the market median primarily due to the lower employee contributions and the COLA offsetting the higher early retirement reduction factors that range from 3\% to $7 \%$ per year depending on age
- Minnesota's $\$ 300$ match in the voluntary 457 plan enhances the total retirement value of the program but is not competitive when compared to other defined contribution programs that provide a contribution of $4 \%$ of pay at the median. Employees may receive the match in lieu of vacation conversion
- Defined benefit programs provide more value to employees than defined contribution plans, as employees are not subject to investment risk and typically do not make contributions
- Minnesota's retirement program is above the 75th percentile of the Private Sector (MN) market and at the market median of the Public Sector (Other States) market


## Market Competitiveness Health Care

HEALTH CARE BENEFITS VALUES - STATE VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET


## Market Competitiveness Health Care

HEALTH CARE BENEFITS VALUES - STATE VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET


## Market Competitiveness Health Care

- Minnesota's most prevalent plan is cost level 2 in the Minnesota Advantage Health Plan, which is a PPO. PPO plans are the most common for both comparator groups
- Minnesota provides 4 tiers of coverage that offer a range of deductible, coinsurance and out of pocket maximum levels
- Minnesota requires employees to pay $0 \%$ of the premium for single coverage and $10 \%$ of the premium for family coverage, which is above prevalent market practice:
- Median Private Sector (MN) market practice is for employees to pay $22 \%$ of the premium for single or family coverage
- Median Public Sector (Other States) market practice is for employees to pay 10\% of the premium for employee coverage and 18\% for family coverage
- Deductibles under Cost Level 2 are significantly above prevalent practice for both the Private Sector (MN) and the Public Sector (Other States) market:

| Deductible - Median | Private Sector (MN) <br> Market | Public Sector (Other <br> States) Market | State of MN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In network deductible - Single | $\$ 550$ | $\$ 400$ | $\$ 180$ |
| In network deductible - Family | $\$ 1,035$ | $\$ 850$ | $\$ 360$ |

## Market Competitiveness Health Care

- Minnesota's out of pocket maximums are also above both markets:

| OOP - Median | Private Sector (MN) <br> Market | Public Sector (Other <br> States) Market | State of MN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out of pocket maximum - Single | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 1,750$ | $\$ 1,100$ |
| Out of pocket maximum - Family | $\$ 4,500$ | $\$ 3,500$ | $\$ 2,200$ |

- Minnesota provides $95 \%$ coinsurance for most services, while market median practice in both markets is to provide $80 \%$ coinsurance
- For prescription coverage, Minnesota maintains a three tier copayment schedule of $\$ 12 / \$ 18 / \$ 38$. The $\$ 12$ generic copayment is higher than market median of $\$ 10$, while the $\$ 38$ for brand drugs is lower than market median of \$45
- Minnesota also maintains a prescription out of pocket maximum, which protects high Rx utilizers from significant drug costs. Prescription out of pocket maximums are not prevalent in the markets
- Minnesota provides health care coverage to retirees on a retiree pay all basis. $30 \%$ of the Private Sector (MN) market and 40\% of the Public Sector (Other States) market provides some type of retiree coverage
- Minnesota is below typical market practice on retiree coverage cost sharing, as organizations that do provide retiree coverage typically share the cost of coverage (67\%)


## Market Competitiveness Health Care

- Minnesota provides dental coverage to employees on a cost shared basis
- In the markets, dental coverage is typically provided on a cost shared basis (19\% for employee coverage and 39\% for family coverage), with the Public Sector (Other States) market requiring employees to pay $50 \%$ of the premium and Private Sector (MN) market requiring contributions of 30\%
- Minnesota's dental plan design is aligned with prevalent practice in both markets, with the following exceptions:

| Dental Plan Feature | Private Sector <br> (MN) Market | Public Sector <br> (Other States) <br> Market | State of MN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coinsurance - Preventive / Basic / Major Services | $100 \% / 80 \% / 50 \%$ | $100 \% / 80 \% / 50 \%$ | $100 \% / 60 \% / 60 \%$ |
| Orthodontia Maximum | $\$ 1,500$ | $\$ 1,500$ | $\$ 2,400$ |

- Vision coverage is typically a stand alone benefit that is employee paid and provides allowances for exams, lenses, frames and contacts. Minnesota does not provide stand alone vision coverage, but rather provides limited vision services through the medical plan
- The State of Minnesota's health care program is above the 75th percentile of both markets due to low employee premium cost sharing and out of pocket costs under the active medical plan


## Market Competitiveness Disability

DISABILITY BENEFITS VALUES - STATE VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET


## Market Competitiveness Disability

DISABILITY BENEFITS VALUES - STATE VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET


## Market Competitiveness Disability

- Minnesota's disability program is comprised of a salary continuation plan or sick leave, employee paid short and long-term disability and employer paid long term disability provided through the Manager's Income Protection Plan
- Salary continuation provides benefits at full pay based on accumulation of days (13 days per year) with no maximum accumulation. In the Public Sector (Other States) market, the accrual is typically 12 days per year
- The State places no limit on the number of sick days an employee can accumulate, which is in line with the Public Sector (Other States) market, but not the Private Sector (MN) market
- Limited sick leave ( 5 to 8 days per year, with no carry over) combined with an employer paid STD program is the prevalent Private Sector (MN) market practice. The STD benefit is typically a $60 \%$ of pay for a period up to 26 weeks, after which LTD begins
- Most Managers in Minnesota elect LTD coverage through the Income Protection Plan, which is provided at no cost to the employee. The LTD benefit is $60 \%$ of base salary up to a $\$ 7,000$ monthly maximum. Managers earning up to $\$ 140,000$ will receive the full LTD benefit. This LTD benefit is offset by any disability benefit paid under MSRS
- In the Public Sector (Other States) market, $40 \%$ provide LTD benefits through the retirement system and $60 \%$ provide a stand alone LTD program. LTD benefits are typically provided on a stand alone basis in the Private Sector (MN) market


## Market Competitiveness Disability

- Only $40 \%$ of the Public Sector (Other States) market pays for the cost of LTD coverage, $30 \%$ are employee pay and $30 \%$ are cost shared. In the Private Sector (MN) market, $80 \%$ of organizations pay for LTD coverage
- LTD benefits in the Private Sector (MN) market are typically $60 \%$ of pay with a monthly maximum of $\$ 12,000$ to $\$ 15,000$. LTD benefits are similar in the Public Sector (Other States) market; however, the maximum is typically lower at $\$ 6,500$
- Minnesota's overall disability program is above median when compared to the Public Sector (Other States) market and at the 75th percentile when compared to the Private Sector (MN) market
- While Minnesota is aligned with the Public Sector (Other States) on sick leave / STD, it is slightly better when LTD benefits are compared
- Minnesota's LTD program is aligned with the Private Sector (MN) market, while its sick leave / STD is better than the Private Sector (MN) market
- Sick Leave / STD carries more weight in this category due to higher probability of utilization than LTD coverage. As a result, the difference in LTD programs between Minnesota and other States does not have as great an impact on competitiveness as the sick leave / STD difference has between Minnesota and the Private (MN) market


## Market Competitiveness Life Insurance

LIFE INSURANCE VALUES - STATE VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET


## Market Competitiveness Life Insurance

LIFE INSURANCE VALUES - STATE VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET


## Market Competitiveness Life Insurance

- Minnesota provides two life insurance options to Managers: 2 times base salary or 1.5 times base salary plus LTD coverage (LTD was analyzed on the previous slides). The most prevalent basic life insurance benefit provided is the 1.5 time base salary option
- $94 \%$ of organizations in the Private Sector (MN) market provide a salary based benefit, typically 1 times salary, with a benefit maximum of $\$ 750,000$
- $60 \%$ of the Public Sector provide a flat dollar benefit with a median of $\$ 20,000$, while the remaining $40 \%$ provide a salary based benefit, with a median of 1 times salary
- Minnesota augments the basic benefit by offering employee paid supplemental group life coverage that provide up to $\$ 500,000$ in additional coverage. This type of benefit typical in the market, provided by nearly $100 \%$ of the Private and Public Sector markets
- Minnesota also provides employee-paid dependent group life coverage with a \$500,000 maximum for a spouse or $\$ 10,000$ per dependent child, which is aligned with the markets
- Minnesota provides Accidental Death \& Dismemberment coverage on an employee paid basis. This is not aligned with typical market practice, where $70 \%$ of the Public Sector market and $80 \%$ of the Private Sector market provide employer paid AD\&D coverage. The benefit level typically mirrors the basic life insurance coverage
- Minnesota's program is at or above the 75th percentile of both markets due to the salary based benefit, which exceeds typical Public Sector market practice, and the 1.5 times salary benefit, which exceed typical Private Sector (MN) market practice


## Market Competitiveness Other Benefits

- The State provides health care and dependent care spending accounts to its employees, as well as tuition reimbursement (on a discretionary basis by agency) and telecommuting assistance programs:
- Minnesota is aligned with the median of the Private Sector (MN) market, as tuition reimbursement is a common benefit in the market. Transportation assistance and meal subsidies are examples of other benefits that are somewhat prevalent in the Private Sector (MN) market
- Because the prevalence of benefits in this category in the Public Sector (Other States) market is low, Minnesota is above the median of this market. Specifically, only $30 \%$ of the Public Sector (Other States) provide any type of educational reimbursement, while $75 \%$ of the Private Sector (MN) market provides educational reimbursement


## Market Competitiveness Holiday/Vacation

- Minnesota provides 11 paid holidays, which is aligned with the median of the Public Sector (Other States) market, but above the Private Sector (MN) market, which typically provides 9 paid holidays (combined fixed and floating)
- Minnesota provides a service based vacation accrual schedule to employees. The table below compares Minnesota's vacation accruals with the median of the Public Sector (Other States) and Private Sector (MN) markets:

| Years of Service | Private Sector (MN) <br> Market | Public Sector (Other <br> States) Market | State of MN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 2 years | 11 | 13 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5}$ |
| 3 to 5 years | 13 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5}$ |
| 9 to 10 years | 17 | 18 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3 7 5}$ |
| 11 to 15 years | 21 | 21 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Maximum Accrual | 21 | 23 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 2 5}$ (at 24 YRS) |

- There is prevalence of executive vacation programs in the Private Sector (MN) market that provide for 4 to 6 additional vacation days up to 10 years of service, after which the median accrual is the same regardless of employee level


## Market Competitiveness Holiday/Vacation

## HayGroup ${ }^{\circ}$

- Minnesota allows employees to convert up to 50 hours of unused vacation to deferred compensation contributions on an annual basis
- This is not a prevalent market practice in either market
- Employees are provided the opportunity to enhance their retirement savings without reducing pay, which may be valuable to some employees
- Employees opting for vacation conversion may not receive the $\$ 300$ matching contribution
- Minnesota's holiday and vacation practices are above typical market practice when compared to the Private Sector (MN) as well as the Public Sector (Other States) market


## Market Competitiveness Benefits - Historical

- Hay Group thought it was important to understand the State's historical level of benefits competitiveness, as it has been several years since Minnesota has conducted a comprehensive review of benefits programs
- The tables on the following slides shows a comparison of the 2003 Unit 220 benefits competitiveness to current for both the Private Sector (MN) market and the Public Sector (Other States) market. Also included is commentary regarding changes in the market and in Minnesota that account for market position changes


## Market Competitiveness Benefits - Historical

## PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET

## State of Minnesota vs. Private Sector Market - 2003 and Current

| Benefit <br> Area | Minnesota vs. Private <br> Sector (MN) Market |  | Private Sector (MN) Market / Minnesota Trends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Current | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |
| Total <br> Benefits | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ | P 75 | Improvements to components elevates total benefit <br> position |
| Retirement | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ | P 75 | Private sector DB plans are less prevalent now than in <br> 2003, elevating the State's market position |
| Healthcare | $\mathrm{P} 75+$ | P75 + | Although cost level 2 is more prevalent now, out of pocket <br> costs remain significantly lower for State employees than <br> private sector |
| Disability | P 75 | P50 | Sick leave is more valuable than typical private sector STD <br> programs that replace only $60 \%$ of pay |
| Life | P 75 | P50 | Private sector has moved from 2 time pay closer to 1 times <br> pay, elevating the value of the State's 1.5 benefit |
| Insurance |  |  |  |

# Market Competitiveness Benefits - Historical 

## PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET

State of Minnesota vs. Public Sector Market - 2003 and Current

| Benefit Area | Minnesota vs. Public Sector (Other States) Market |  | Public Sector (Other States) Market / Minnesota Trends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | 2003 |  |
| Total Benefits | P75 + | P75 + | Improvements to components elevates total benefit position |
| Retirement | P75 | P75 + | No trend away from DB plans among peer States, keeping market position unchanged |
| Healthcare | P75 + | P75 + | Premium and out of pocket costs continue to be much lower for Minnesota employees than other States |
| Disability | P50 | P50 | No changes to structure or benefit level in this category |
| Life Insurance | P75 + | P75 | States are transitioning from flat dollar to salary based benefit, but MN's $1.5 \times$ salary benefit exceeds typical State practice |
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# Market Competitiveness Total Compensation 

In aggregate, the State's Total Compensation (Base Salary + Benefits) is above the Public Sector market median (+11.2\%) but below the Private Sector market median (-16.0\%)

| Component | Minnesota vs. Market P50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private Sector (MN) <br> Market | Public Sector (Other States) <br> Market |
| Base Salary | $-27.1 \%$ | $+0.2 \%$ |
| Benefits * | $+22.8 \%$ | $+26.4 \%$ |
| Benefits at P50 Salary Level ** | $+41.5 \%$ | $+26.8 \%$ |
| Total Compensation | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 1 . 2 \%}$ |

* Market position of the State's benefits program based on the ACTUAL base salary market position
** Market position of the State's benefits program IF the State's base salary was at the median of the market
- This section combines all components of pay - base salary, benefits, and annual incentive - to show the State's total compensation market competitiveness
- The tables on the following slides are the basis for the total compensation charts, which illustrate the State's market position by HRL relative to the Private Sector and Public Sector markets


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

## Comparison of the State's TOTAL COMPENSATION market competitiveness by HRL to the Private Sector Market

| Reference Level (HRL) | Number of Employees | Base Salary |  |  | Total Benefits |  |  | Total Compensation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minnesota | Private Sector (MN) Market | MN vs. Market | Minnesota | Private Sector (MN) Market P50 | MN vs. <br> Market <br> P50 | Minnesota | Private Sector <br> (MN) Market <br> P50 | MN vs. Market <br> P50 |
|  |  |  | P50 | P50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 2 | \$131,165 | \$390,063 | -66\% | \$55,100 | \$76,181 | -28\% | \$186,265 | \$466,244 | -60\% |
| 28 | 3 | \$119,059 | \$336,341 | -65\% | \$52,579 | \$68,129 | -23\% | \$171,639 | \$404,470 | -58\% |
| 27 | 10 | \$123,766 | \$308,070 | -60\% | \$53,573 | \$63,891 | -16\% | \$177,339 | \$371,961 | -52\% |
| 26 | 6 | \$120,910 | \$272,304 | -56\% | \$52,983 | \$58,531 | -9\% | \$173,894 | \$330,834 | -47\% |
| 25 | 15 | \$124,180 | \$253,617 | -51\% | \$53,658 | \$55,573 | -3\% | \$177,838 | \$309,190 | -42\% |
| 24 | 20 | \$123,988 | \$237,610 | -48\% | \$53,618 | \$52,716 | 2\% | \$177,606 | \$290,326 | -39\% |
| 23 | 41 | \$118,664 | \$214,698 | -45\% | \$52,489 | \$49,647 | 6\% | \$171,153 | \$264,345 | -35\% |
| 22 | 65 | \$116,619 | \$188,875 | -38\% | \$52,020 | \$45,896 | 13\% | \$168,638 | \$234,771 | -28\% |
| 21 | 125 | \$112,138 | \$167,589 | -33\% | \$50,992 | \$42,955 | 19\% | \$163,130 | \$210,544 | -23\% |
| 20 | 404 | \$103,684 | \$138,053 | -25\% | \$48,806 | \$38,931 | 25\% | \$152,489 | \$176,984 | -14\% |
| 19 | 455 | \$93,592 | \$117,142 | -20\% | \$46,096 | \$36,292 | 27\% | \$139,688 | \$153,434 | -9\% |
| 18 | 237 | \$86,639 | \$105,681 | -18\% | \$44,230 | \$34,341 | 29\% | \$130,869 | \$140,022 | -7\% |
| 17 | 29 | \$76,017 | \$90,831 | -16\% | \$41,378 | \$31,952 | 30\% | \$117,395 | \$122,783 | -4\% |
| 16 | 26 | \$73,028 | \$77,198 | -5\% | \$40,576 | \$29,589 | 37\% | \$113,604 | \$106,787 | 6\% |
| 15 | 12 | \$66,664 | \$61,218 | 9\% | \$38,867 | \$26,868 | 45\% | \$105,531 | \$88,086 | 20\% |
| Total | 1,450 |  |  | -27\% |  |  | 23\% |  |  | -16\% |

These figures are used in the total compensation charts on the following slides

## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

Comparison of the State's TOTAL COMPENSATION market competitiveness by HRL to the Public Sector (Other States) Market

| Reference Level (HRL) | Number of Employees | Base Salary |  |  | Total Benefits |  |  | Total Compensation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minnesota | Public Sector (Other States) Market | MN vs. Market | Minnesota | Public Sector (Other States) Market | MN vs. Market | Minnesota | Public Sector (Other States) Market | MN vs. Market |
|  |  |  | P50 | P50 |  | P50 | P50 |  | P50 | P50 |
| 29 | 2 | \$131,165 | \$133,975 | -2\% | \$55,100 | \$45,853 | 20\% | \$186,265 | \$179,828 | 4\% |
| 28 | 3 | \$119,059 | \$128,318 | -7\% | \$52,579 | \$44,857 | 17\% | \$171,639 | \$173,175 | -1\% |
| 27 | 10 | \$123,766 | \$123,598 | 0\% | \$53,573 | \$44,026 | 22\% | \$177,339 | \$167,623 | 6\% |
| 26 | 3 | \$119,323 | \$119,557 | 0\% | \$52,640 | \$43,129 | 22\% | \$171,962 | \$162,686 | 6\% |
| 25 | 9 | \$118,225 | \$116,104 | 2\% | \$52,388 | \$42,505 | 23\% | \$170,613 | \$158,609 | 8\% |
| 24 | 2 | \$121,472 | \$113,202 | 7\% | \$53,099 | \$41,981 | 26\% | \$174,571 | \$155,183 | 12\% |
| 23 | 6 | \$100,773 | \$110,722 | -9\% | \$48,024 | \$41,533 | 16\% | \$148,797 | \$152,255 | -2\% |
| 22 | 15 | \$118,938 | \$108,647 | 9\% | \$52,552 | \$40,691 | 29\% | \$171,489 | \$149,338 | 15\% |
| 21 | 55 | \$110,815 | \$99,585 | 11\% | \$50,688 | \$38,923 | 30\% | \$161,504 | \$138,507 | 17\% |
| 20 | 21 | \$105,442 | \$92,952 | 13\% | \$49,278 | \$37,343 | 32\% | \$154,720 | \$130,295 | 19\% |
| 19 | 31 | \$90,226 | \$87,473 | 3\% | \$45,193 | \$36,039 | 25\% | \$135,419 | \$123,512 | 10\% |
| 18 | 108 | \$84,974 | \$83,024 | 2\% | \$43,783 | \$34,979 | 25\% | \$128,757 | \$118,003 | 9\% |
| Total | 265 |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 26\% |  |  | 11\% |

State of MN did not have market matches to the Public Sector (Other States) market below HRL 18
These figures are used in the total compensation charts on the following slides

## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

## Notes:

- The charts on the following pages illustrate the total compensation picture for State of Minnesota employees relative to the Private Sector (MN) market as well as the Public Sector (Other States) market at various employee levels
- The MN average salary varies depending on market, as only those jobs for which there are matches in the market are used to determine the MN average salary
- Total Compensation charts compare the following:
- State of Minnesota Base Salary plus Benefits
- Market Median Base Salary plus Benefits
- Market Median Base Salary plus Annual Incentive* and Benefits
* It is common in the private sector to pay annual incentives, so an additional bar is shown on the Private Sector (MN) total compensation charts that reflect this component of pay
- Also included are corresponding pay mix charts that show the differences between the State's compensation elements and the two markets
- Pay mix for State employees does vary against the market depending on salary


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

## HayGroup ${ }^{\circ}$

## Notes (continued):

- The benefits total includes the following:
- Health care, Retirement, Disability, Life Insurance, Other Benefits, and Statutory
- The benefits total does not include holiday and vacation in either the market or Minnesota under our methodology, so as to not double count the benefit when analyzing total compensation


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 29

| $\$ 700,000$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 600,000$ |

## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 28

| $\$ 700,000$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 600,000$ |

## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 27

| $\$ 700,000$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 600,000$ |

## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 26


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 25


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 24


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 23


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 22


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 21


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 20


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 19


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 18


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 17

| $\$ 200,000$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 180,000$ |

## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

## STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 16



## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PRIVATE SECTOR (MN) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 15


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 29


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 28



## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 27


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 26


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 25


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 24


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 23



## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 22



## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 21



## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 20



Market Median Pay Mix


- Base Salary -Total Benefits


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 19



Market Median Pay Mix


- Base Salary -Total Benefits


## Market Competitiveness Total Compensation

STATE OF MINNESOTA VS. PUBLIC SECTOR (OTHER STATES) MARKET - REFERENCE LEVEL 18




Appendices

## Private Sector (MN) Market - Hay Group Organizations with Employees in Minnesota

3M<br>Abercrombie \& Fitch<br>Abercrombie \& Fitch - abercrombie<br>Abercrombie \& Fitch -- Gilly Hicks<br>Abercrombie \& Fitch - Hollister<br>ACUITY<br>Advance Auto Parts<br>Aetna<br>Air Liquide America<br>Air Products \& Chemicals<br>Akzo Nobel<br>Akzo Nobel-Automotive and Aerospace Coatings<br>Akzo Nobel-Deco Paints<br>Akzo Nobel-Industrial Coatings<br>Akzo Nobel-Powder Coatings<br>Akzo Nobel-Pulp \& Paper Chemicals<br>American Crystal Sugar<br>American Enterprise Group<br>American Family Insurance Group<br>American International Group<br>Ameriprise Financial<br>Andersons<br>Anheuser-Busch InBev -- Anheuser-Busch<br>Ann -- AnnTaylor Loft<br>Ann -- AnnTaylor Stores<br>Aramark<br>ArcelorMittal<br>Arkema<br>Ascena Retail Group - Maurices

Chanel
Charlotte Russe
CHI -- St. Francis Medical Center
CHI -- St. Francis Medical Center - Breckenridge
CHI -- St. Gabriel's Hospital
CHI -- St. Joseph's Area Health Services
CHI -- Unity Family Healthcare
Chico's -- Chico's
Chico's -- Soma Intimates
Chico's -- White House/Black Market
Children's Place
Children's Place -- Outlets
Childrens Hospitals and Clinics
CHS
Church \& Dwight
CIGNA
CNH Global
Coach
Comcast Cable Communications
ConAgra Foods
Cosi
COUNTRY Insurance \& Financial Services
Crate and Barrel
Crown Imports
CVS/Caremark
CVS/Caremark Pharmacy Services
De Lage Landen International B.V.
Dean Foods
Del Monte Foods

## Private Sector (MN) Market - Hay Group Organizations with Employees in Minnesota

## Diageo North America

Diamond Offshore Drilling
Dick's Sporting Goods
Dollar Tree
Dow Chemical
Dow Chemical -- Dow AgroSciences
DSW
Dyno Nobel
E*TRADE Financial
Eaton
Edison International -- Edison Mission
Emdeon
Evonik Degussa
Express
Express Scripts
FBL Financial Group
FedEx -- FedEx Express
FedEx -- FedEx Office and Print Services
FedEx -- FedEx Supply Chain
FedEx Corporate Services
Ferrero USA
Fidelity Investments
Firmenich
Fisher \& Paykel Appliances
FM Global
FM Global
Foot Locker
Foot Locker -- Champs Sports
Foot Locker -- Footlocker.com/Eastbay

## Fossil

H.B. Fuller

Gander Mountain
Gap -- Banana Republic
Gap -- Gap Direct
Gap -- Gap Outlet
Gap -- Gap Stores
Gap -- Old Navy
Gerdau AmeriSteel
Glatfelter
GNC
Gordmans Stores
Hallmark Cards
HCA Healthcare -- Wesley Medical Center Health Net
HealthEast Care System
HealthEast Care System -- Bethesda Hospital
HealthEast Care System -- HealthEast Clinics
HealthEast Care System -- Home Care
HealthEast Care System -- St. John's Hospital
HealthEast Care System -- St. Joseph's Hospital
HealthEast Care System -- Woodwinds Hospital
HealthPartners
HealthPartners
HealthPartners -- Regions Hospital
Heineken USA
Helzberg Diamonds
Hershey Foods
Hilti - US

```
Holcim Group Support
Home Depot
Hormel Foods
Hot Topic
Humana Care Plan
International Dairy Queen
INVISTA
ivivva usa
J.Crew
Jcpenney
Jubilant Organosys
Kellogg
Kemira Chemicals
Kforce
Kimberly-Clark
Knowledge Universe
Kohl's
Laureate Education
Legrand North America
Lehigh Hanson -- Building Products
Lehigh Hanson -- North Region
Limited Brands
Limited Brands -- Bath & Body Works
Limited Stores
Lowe's
Lubrizol
lululemon athletica
Luxottica
LVMH Moet Hennessy Louis Vuitton-Louis Vuitton
```


## Private Sector (MN) Market - Hay Group Organizations with Employees in Minnesota

| LVMH Moet Hennessy Louis Vuitton-Moet Hennessy USA | Phillips-Van Heusen | SuperValu -- Cub Foods |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Macy's | Pier 1 Imports | SuperValu -- Farm Fresh |
| Magotteaux | Ply Gem Siding Group | Talbots |
| Maidenform Brands | PolyOne | Target |
| Main Street America Group | Praxair | Tate \& Lyle Americas |
| Mayo Clinic | Prime Therapeutics | Tate \& Lyle Americas -- Ingredients Americas |
| Mayo Clinic -- Hospital Rochester | PVH Corp -- Bass | TD AmeriTrade Holding |
| Mayo Clinic -- St. Mary's Hospital Rochester | PVH Corp -- Calvin Klein | TJX |
| MetLife | PVH Corp -- Izod | TJX Companies -- Home Goods |
| Michaels Stores -- Aaron Brothers Stores | PVH Corp -- Tommy Hilfiger | TJX Companies -- Marshalls |
| Michaels Stores -- Michaels Stores | PVH Corp -- Van Heusen | Toys R Us |
| Michelin North America | Quiznos Sub | Treasury Wine Estates |
| Molnlycke Health Care | Ralph Lauren -- Club Monaco | Trustmark Insurance |
| Mosaic | Ralph Lauren -- Outlets | Tumi |
| Nestle USA | Ralph Lauren -- Polo Ralph Lauren | Umicore (N.V.) |
| New York \& Company | Rich Products | Unilever US |
| Newark InOne | Rio Tinto Group | United Natural Foods |
| Nordstrom | SABIC Innovative Plastics US | United States Steel |
| North American Breweries | Saint-Gobain -- Delegation | UnitedHealth Group |
| Novo Nordisk | Sazerac | Universal Health Services |
| Nutreco Holding -- Trouw Nutrition USA | Sears Holdings | Veolia Water Solutions and Technologies |
| Octapharma | Sears Holdings -- Kmart | Viterra |
| Office Depot | Sears Holdings -- Sears, Roebuck \& Co. | Walgreens |
| OfficeMax | Securian | Walgreens -- Walgreens Health Services |
| Panasonic Consumer Electronics | Shopko | Walmart Stores |
| Payless ShoeSource | Sodexo -- Sodexo | Walmart Stores -- Sam's Club |
| Pernod Ricard SA -- Pernod Ricard USA | Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agency | Walmart Stores -- Stores and Super Stores |
| Perrigo | Stage Stores -- Peebles | WD-40 |
| PETCO | Staples | Williams-Sonoma |
| PetSmart | SUPERVALU | Zale |

## Public Sector (Other States) Market

- State of Colorado
- State of Iowa
- State of Illinois*
- State of Michigan**
- State of Missouri
- State of Montana
- State of Nebraska
- State of North Dakota
- State of South Dakota
- State of Washington*
- State of Wisconsin
- State of Wyoming
**State of Michigan did not submit cash data to the 2013 NCASG survey
*State of Illinois and State of Washington did not submit benefits data to 2013 NCASG survey


## Methodology

## Survey Methodology

- State of MN classifications were matched to relevant jobs in the NCASG survey by job title/job duties using matches provided by MMB in the survey submission
- State of MN actual average pay and current salary range midpoints were compared to the 50th Percentile of the NCASG market, referred to in this report as Public Sector (Other States) market
- State of MN positions were also compared to Hay Group's database for Minnesota, referred to in this report as Private Sector (MN) market
- Since it is difficult to match every individual job to market data, Hay Group uses "job size" as the primary variable when comparing to this market. This methodology considers the knowledge, scope, complexity, and accountability of each position as valued within the State and compares to positions of similar size in the market
- This methodology also provides the opportunity to see pay relationships from both an internal perspective of job value along with market comparisons
- Actual average pay and current range midpoints were compared to the 50th Percentile of this market
- If a position was matched to more than one job within a survey source, the data points were averaged


## Methodology

## Survey Methodology (continued)

- Hay Group market data were analyzed using five value organization weighting, which more accurately shows the dispersion and diversity of the data within the market without allowing any one company to dominate the sample. The methodology uses five values for each organization, rather than just one
- Each organization remains equally weighted; however, the full range of their pay rates will be represented in the percentile values, resulting in a better representation of the participants' pay practices
- In order to ensure the confidentiality of the participants' compensation data, certain data suppression rules have been applied. A minimum of four organizations must be represented to provide any data


## Methodology

## To determine the current competitiveness of salaries and midpoints:

- State of Minnesota's average pay and midpoint for each job were compared to survey median of the Public Sector (Other States) and Private Sector (MN)
- In order to have a more comprehensive market database, data has been gathered from a number of sources. The following additional sources were reviewed to validate the market rates shown in this study:
- League of Minnesota Cities
- Towers Watson (Minnesota, North Central, and National data cuts were reviewed)
- Bureau of Labor Statistics - Minnesota
- Economic Research Institute Salary Assessor for Minnesota

Market data were effective or projected to January 1, 2014

## HayGroup ${ }^{\circ}$

## Methodology - Hay Benefits Valuation

## Benefits Methodology

- Hay Group utilizes a proprietary actuarial valuation methodology to evaluate benefit plans in terms of the cash equivalence of the benefits
- In establishing a program's overall market competitiveness the Hay Benefit Valuation model uses "standard cost assumptions", instead of a company's specific costs, which eliminates the impact of such cost variables as demographics, geography, funding method, or purchasing power, etc.
- The utilization of "standard or common cost assumptions" provides a uniform quantitative evaluation method which produces values based solely on the level of the benefit provided
- To facilitate the uniform comparison of benefit plan provisions, a straw person with the following characteristics is used in the valuation methodology: A married male, age 45 with 15 Years of Service, and a family
- The valuation model places a relative value on each specific feature of a benefit program. The value for each plan is then compiled to produce an overall program value appropriate for market comparison. In general, the more generous a particular feature is the higher the relative value


## Methodology - Hay Benefits Valuation

## The valuation method is applied to a full range of employee benefits including:

- Healthcare Insurance (medical, dental, RX, vision, physical exams)
- Retirement Plans (defined benefit and defined contribution plans)
- Life Insurance (employer paid and voluntary life insurance plans)
- Disability and Sick Leave (sick leave, short-term, long-term disability plans)
- Other benefits such as Tuition Reimbursement, Flex Plans, Statutory Benefits, etc.
- Benefit values are calculated on an "Employer-paid" basis. Employer- paid benefit values are discounted to reflect the relationship of any required employee contributions to the program's total value. For fully employee-paid plans, the discount is $95 \%$ (some value remains due to such things as group purchasing power, etc.). For fully employerpaid plans, there is no discount, and for cost shared plans, a pro-ration is applied


## Methodology - Hay Benefits Valuation

- Internal equity is the inter-relationship between reward opportunities within an organization. Many benefit plans (life insurance, disability, retirement, etc.) have features or benefit levels that are related to salary. Internal equity is achieved in a benefit program when the relationships between the benefit level and the employee salary are consistent within each employee population (Note: While benefit program differences can often be found between employee classes, most organizations provide consistent policies within a class)
- Organizations that wish to achieve internal equity within a benefit plan typically establish benefit levels that are based on uniform salary multiples (i.e., life insurance of one times salary or disability income replacement level of $60 \%$ of salary)
- In order to observe the internal equity of an employee benefits program, benefit values are typically illustrated at several salary levels. For this review of benefits, values are shown for salaries from $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 160,000$


## Methodology - Total compensation analysis

## Total compensation analysis combines base salary and total benefits and compares to market levels of the same

- State of MN actual base salaries are weighted by the number of MN incumbents within each classification and HRL, and include only those classifications that were matched for the market
- State of MN average base salaries may vary for public sector and private sector market comparisons, depending on jobs matched


## HayGroup ${ }^{\circ}$

## Definition of terms

## Definition of terms

- Classification - Refers to a job within the State of MN
- Position - For State of MN, a number of positions are allocated to a classification
- Employees - This term refers to incumbents or "bodies" holding positions
- Job Title/Job Duties - Where data were available, State of MN classifications were matched to market by job title and job duties. Job duties are outlined in the survey job descriptions and the State of MN job descriptions
- Job Size - Hay Group's proprietary methodology, the Hay Group Guide Chart - Profile Method of Job Evaluation ${ }^{\text {SM }}$, considers the knowledge, scope, complexity, and accountability of each position as valued within the State of MN. The resulting total evaluation points reflect the size of each classification
- Hay Reference Level (HRL) - Using the total job evaluation points, jobs are grouped within a defined point range, referred to as Hay Reference Level (HRL).
- Average Pay - The current average pay for multi-incumbent positions, or the actual pay for single incumbent positions. The employee data are effective February 13, 2014
- Current Midpoint - The midpoint of the current salary range associated with the position


## HayGroup ${ }^{\circ}$

## Definition of terms

## Definition of terms (continued)

- Private Sector (MN) Market - Private Sector data includes Hay Group's market for all jobs in MN in the private sector. Market data is an average of market match by job title/job duties where available, and market data by job size (all positions in the market which are of similar size)
- Public Sector (Other States) Market - State Government Peer Group, NCASG 12 States (CO, IA, IL*, MI**, MO, MT, ND, NE, SD, WA*, WI, WY). IL and WA did not provide benefits data, while MI did not provide salary data
- P25 or 25th Percentile $-75 \%$ of the market pays above this point and $25 \%$ pays below
- P50 or the Median - $50 \%$ of the market pays above this point and $50 \%$ pays below
- P75 or 75th Percentile - $25 \%$ of the market pays above this point and $75 \%$ pay below
- Hay Group considers pay comparisons (pay element divided by market comparison point) to be at a specific quartile (or within acceptable range of a market level) if:
- Base salary is $+/-10 \%$ of the market data
- Benefits is $+/-10 \%$ of the market data
- Total Compensation is $+/-10 \%$ of the market data


## List of jobs Matrix

| HRL | Commissioners \& other 15A Agency Heads | Deputy Commissioners | Assistant Commissioners | Other Agency Heads and their Deputies/Assistants | Representative Other Managerial Classifications |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Human Services Transportation |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Employment and Econ. Dev. <br> Natural Resources <br> Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Corrections <br> Education <br> Health <br> MMB <br> MN.IT* <br> Public Safety | Human Services Transportation |  |  |  |
| 26 | Pollution Control | Human Services Natural Resources Public Safety Revenue Transportation |  |  |  |
| 25 | Administration Agriculture Labor \& Industry Veterans Affairs | Corrections <br> Employment and Econ Dev <br> Health <br> Pollution Control | Human Services |  |  |
| 24 | Commerce <br> Housing Finance | Education <br> Labor \& Industry MMB | MMB <br> MN.IT <br> OET Executive Manager <br> Public Safety <br> Revenue <br> Transportation |  | DHS Direct Care Executive Dir |

*Cabinet level position; covered by the managerial plan, not included in 15A

## List of jobs Matrix

| HRL | Commissioners \& other 15A Agency Heads | Deputy Commissioners | Assistant Commissioners | Other Agency Heads and their Deputies/Assistants | Representative Other Managerial Classifications |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | IRRRB <br> Minnesota Zoological Garden Ofce of Higher Education Public Utilities | Agriculture <br> Commerce <br> Veterans Affairs | Administration <br> Corrections <br> Health <br> Human Services <br> Natural Resources | Exec Dir Mn State Lottery | Admin Agency Div Dir Sr (DHS Inspector General) <br> Senior Admin Officer <br> Superintendent BCA <br> Transp Asst Div Dir <br> Transp Chief Admin Officer |
| 22 | Bureau of Mediation Services Human Rights MSRS <br> Ombuds for MH \& DD <br> PERA <br> TRA | Housing Finance OHE L-1 Exec (Deputy Dir HiEd Svcs Ofc) Veterans Affairs | Admin Svcs Dir-DEED <br> Administration <br> Agriculture <br> Education <br> Health <br> Labor \& Industry <br> MMB <br> Pollution Control <br> Unemployment Ins Director | Exec Dir Water \& Soil Res Bd | Asst Dir Mn State Lottery Chief Exec Officer-Corr Facilt Chief Information Officer Dir Emergency Services Employee Management Div Dir |
| 21 | Exec Dir, Pari-Mutuel Racing | Commerce | Commerce | Dir Perpich Ctr Arts Educ Exec Dir Higher Educ Fac Auth Exec Dir Military Affairs Exec Sec Pub Utilities Comm | Asst State Negotiator <br> NR Dir - Ecological Serv <br> Nurse Executive <br> Transp Environmental Svcs Dir <br> Zoo Deputy Director |
| 20 | Exec Dir Gambling Control | Human Rights <br> Deputy Ombuds for MH and DD | Administration <br> Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs Cl - MSRS Commerce <br> Housing Finance Agency Exec OHE L-1 Executive | Exec Dir Animal Health Bd Exec Dir Medical Practice Bd Exec Dir Mn Amateur Sports Com MN Academies Director | Corr Facility Oper Dir Engineer Senior Administrative Health Care Program Mgr Housing Finance Information Syst Applic Mgr State Prog Admin Manager Prin |
| 19 |  | Minnesota Racing Commission (MRC) | Asst Exec Dir MSRS <br> Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs CI - TRA <br> Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs Unc <br> Commerce <br> Housing Finance Agency Exec (Chief Risk Officer) <br> MN State Retirement Systems <br> OHE L-1 Executive <br> Teachers Retirement Assoc | Exec Dir Emer Med Services Exec Dir Nursing Bd Exec Dir St Arts Bd Exec Sec Arch Engr L/S Bd Exec Sec Pharmacy Bd | Admin Svcs Dir (CFO/Asst Exec Dir)-PERA Health Program Manager Senior <br> Pollution Cont Program Admi <br> Proj Manager <br> Residential Prog Svcs MgrSr <br> State Prog Admin Manager Sr |

# List of jobs Matrix 

| HRL | Commissioners \& other 15A Agency Heads | Deputy Commissioners | Assistant Commissioners | Other Agency Heads and their Deputies/Assistants | Representative Other Managerial Classifications |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 |  |  | Housing Finance Agency Exec (Credit Risk Officer) | Exec Dir Asian Pcf Minn Counc Exec Dir Black Minn Counc Exec Dir Chicano Latino Aff Co Exec Dir Chiropractic Exam Bd Exec Dir Ctr Crime Victim Srvs Exec Dir Indian Affairs Bd Exec Sec Dentistry Bd Exec Sec Peace Off Tng Bd | Corr Alternative Prog Mgr Emp \& Econ Devel Spec Prog Mgr Information Mgmt Srvcs Div Dir State Prog Admin Manager |
| 17 |  |  |  | Exec Dir Social Work Bd <br> Exec Sec Cap Area Arch \& PIng Exec Sec Psychology Bd | Exec Assistant Principal Plant Mgmt Operations Manager Residential Prog Manager Tourism Marketing Manager Training \& Development Mgr 1 |
| 16 |  |  |  | Exec Dir Beh HIth \& Therapy Bd Exec Dir Diet \& Nutr Pract Bd Exec Dir Physical Therapy Bd Exec Sec Cosmetologist Bd Exam Exec Sec Mar \& Fam Therapy Bd | Admin Officer Exec Assistant |
| 15 |  |  |  | Exec Sec Podiatry Bd | Exec Aide |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 29 | Commissioner-Human Services |
| 29 | Commissioner-Transportation |
| 28 | Commissioner-Empl \& Econ Dev |
| 28 | Commissioner-Natural Resources |
| 28 | Commissioner-Revenue |
| 27 | Commissioner-Corrections |
| 27 | Commissioner-Education Dept |
| 27 | Commissioner-Health |
| 27 | Commissioner-MN Mgmt \& Budget |
| 27 | Commissioner-Public Safety |
| 27 | Deputy Comm - Direct Care and Treatment (DHS) |
| 27 | Deputy Comm - Transportation/Chief Engineer |
| 27 | State Chief Information Offcr |
| 26 | Commissioner-Pollution Control |
| 26 | Deputy Comm - Policy \& Operations (DHS) |
| 26 | Deputy Comm - Transportation COO/CFO |
| 26 | Deputy Commr Natural Resource |
| 26 | Deputy Commr Public Safety |
| 26 | Deputy Commr Revenue |
|  |  |


| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 25 | Asst Comm - Health Care Administration (DHS) |
| 25 | Commissioner-Administration |
| 25 | Commissioner-Agriculture |
| 25 | Commissioner-Labor \& Industry |
| 25 | Commissioner-Veterans Affairs |
| 25 | Deputy Commr Corrections |
| 25 | Deputy Commr Empl \& Econ Devel |
| 25 | Deputy Commr Health |
| 25 | Deputy Commr Pollution Control |
| 24 | Asst Commr MN Mgmt \& Budget |
| 24 | Asst Commr Office of Entp Tech |
| 24 | Asst Commr Public Safety |
| 24 | Asst Commr Revenue |
| 24 | Asst Commr Transportation |
| 24 | Commissioner-Commerce |
| 24 | Commissioner-Housing Finance |
| 24 | Deputy Commr Education |
| 24 | Deputy Commr Labor \& Industry |
| 24 | Deputy Commr MMB |
| 24 | DHS Direct Care Executive Dir |
| 24 | OET Executive Manager |
|  |  |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 23 | Admin Agency Div Dir Sr (DHS Inspector General) |
| 23 | Asst Comm - Facilities Mgmt (Administration) |
| 23 | Asst Commr Corrections |
| 23 | Asst Commr Health |
| 23 | Asst Commr Human Services |
| 23 | Asst Commr NR |
| 23 | Commissioner-IRR\&RB |
| 23 | Commissioner-Public Utilities |
| 23 | Deputy Comm - Veterans Affairs Homes |
| 23 | Deputy Commr Agriculture |
| 23 | Deputy Commr Commerce-Chief of Staff |
| 23 | Dir Higher Ed Services Office |
| 23 | Dir Zoological Gardens |
| 23 | Exec Dir Mn State Lottery |
| 23 | Senior Admin Officer |
| 23 | Superintendent BCA |
| 23 | Transp Asst Div Dir |
| 23 | Transp Chief Admin Officer |
|  |  |


| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 22 | Admin Svcs Dir-DEED |
| 22 | Asst Comm - Administrative Services (Health) |
| 22 | Asst Comm - Financial Mgmt and Admin (Administration) |
| 22 | Asst Comm - MMB Treasury |
| 22 | Asst Commr Agriculture |
| 22 | Asst Commr Education |
| 22 | Asst Commr Labor \& Industry |
| 22 | Asst Commr Pollution Control |
| 22 | Chief Exec Officer-Corr Facilt |
| 22 | Chief Information Officer |
| 22 | Commissioner-Human Rights |
| 22 | Commissioner-Mediation Service |
| 22 | Corr Dir Policy \& Legal Servic |
| 22 | Corr Field Serv Dir |
| 22 | Corr Health Svcs Dir |
| 22 | Corr Minncor CEO |
| 22 | Deputy Commr Housing Finance |
| 22 | Deputy Commr Veterans Affairs |
| 22 | Dir Emergency Services |
| 22 | Employee Management Div Dir |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 22 | Exec Dir PERA |
| 22 | Exec Dir St Retirement System |
| 22 | Exec Dir Teachers Ret Assoc |
| 22 | Exec Dir Water \& Soil Res Bd |
| 22 | Human Resources Director 5 |
| 22 | MSOP Clinical Director |
| 22 | MSOP Deputy Director |
| 22 | OHE L-1 Exec (Deputy Dir Higher Ed Svcs Ofc) |
| 22 | Ombudsman Mntl HIth \& Dev Dis |
| 22 | Revenue Research Director |
| 22 | State Patrol Chief |
| 22 | Unemployment Ins Director |
| 22 | Veterans Home Admin - Mpls |
|  |  |


| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 21 | Admin Agency Div Dir Sr - Admin |
| 21 | Asst Comm - Enforcement (Commerce) |
| 21 | Asst Dir Mn State Lottery |
| 21 | Asst State Negotiator |
| 21 | Corr Behav Health Svcs Dir |
| 21 | Deputy Comm - Commerce |
| 21 | Dir Actuarial \& Reg Plcy Analy |
| 21 | Dir Child \& Family Services |
| 21 | Dir Education Finance |
| 21 | Dir Explore Minnesota Tourism |
| 21 | Dir Perpich Ctr Arts Educ |
| 21 | Dir Statewide Assessment |
| 21 | Disease Prev \& Cont Div Dir |
| 21 | Engineer Princ Admin Transp |
| 21 | Environmental Hlth Div Dir |
| 21 | Exec Budget Coordinator |
| 21 | Exec Dir Higher Educ Fac Auth |
| 21 | Exec Dir Military Affairs |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 21 | Exec Dir, Pari-Mutuel Racing |
| 21 | Exec Sec Pub Utilities Comm |
| 21 | Finance Services Director |
| 21 | Forensic Laboratory Dir |
| 21 | Health Care P\&D Syst Div Dir |
| 21 | Health Care Program Mgr Sr |
| 21 | Health Community Svcs Div Dir |
| 21 | Human Svcs Chief Financial Off |
| 21 | MN Academies Administrator |
| 21 | NR Dir - Ecological Serv |
| 21 | NR Dir - Enforcement |
| 21 | NR Dir - Fish \& Wildlife |
| 21 | NR Dir - Forestry |
| 21 | NR Dir - Lands \& Minerals |
| 21 | NR Dir - Parks \& Rec |
| 21 | Nurse Executive |
| 21 | Pollution Cont Division Dir |
| 21 | Pollution Cont Strat Mgr |


| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 21 | Public Health Lab Div Dir |
| 21 | Residential Prog Svcs Dir 2 |
| 21 | Revenue Legal Leg Aff Dir |
| 21 | Revenue Tax System Dir 3 |
| 21 | State Oper Svs Chief Qual Ofcr |
| 21 | State Program Admin Manager Prin - MMB |
| 21 | Transp Division Engineer |
| 21 | Transp Environmental Svcs Dir |
| 21 | Transp Finance Mgmt Director |
| 21 | Transp Gov \& Comm Rel Dir |
| 21 | Transp Off CPPM Director |
| 21 | Zoo Animal Programs Director |
| 21 | Zoo Deputy Director |
|  |  |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 20 | Admin Svcs Bureau Mgr |
| 20 | Admin Svcs Dir-DLI |
| 20 | Agency Chief Financial Officer |
| 20 | Arts School Prog Admin |
| 20 | Asst Comm - Strategic Partnership (Administration) |
| 20 | Asst Commr Commerce |
| 20 | Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs CI - MSRS |
| 20 | Chief Exec Officer 3-Human Svc |
| 20 | Chief Exec Officer-Juv/Min Cor |
| 20 | Construction Proj Oprtions Mgr |
| 20 | Corr Adult Fac Exec Asst Dir |
| 20 | Corr Community Svcs Reg Di |
| 20 | Corr Dir Admin Programs |
| 20 | Corr Dir Admin Svcs |
| 20 | Corr Education Director |
| 20 | Corr Exec Of Hrngs \& Re |
| 20 | Corr Facility Oper Dir |
| 20 | Deputy Commr Human Rights |
| 20 | Deputy Div Dir Human Svcs OIG |
| 20 | Deputy Ombuds for MH and DD |


| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 20 | Dir Administrative Info System |
| 20 | Dir Appeals And Contracts |
| 20 | Dir Child Services Divisions |
| 20 | Dir Deaf \& Hard Hearing Sv Div |
| 20 | Dir Driver \& Vehicle Services |
| 20 | Dir Employment Programs |
| 20 | Dir Governmental Relations Cl |
| 20 | Dir Governmental Relations Unc |
| 20 | Dir Management Analysis |
| 20 | Disability Determ Svc Dir |
| 20 | Educ Dir Finance Reform \& Acct |
| 20 | Educ Dir State \& Fed Prog |
| 20 | Electronic Commun Asst Directo |
| 20 | Engineer Senior Administrative |
| 20 | Epidemiologist Program Manager |
| 20 | Exec Budget Officer Sr |
| 20 | Exec Dir Animal Health Bd |
| 20 | Exec Dir Gambling Control |
| 20 | Exec Dir Gov Job Training Offc |
| 20 | Exec Dir Medical Practice Bd |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 20 | Exec Dir Mn Amateur Sports Com |
| 20 | Financial Mgt Director (SBI) |
| 20 | Financial Services Director-Health |
| 20 | Forensic Laboratory Asst Dir |
| 20 | Health Asst Div Director |
| 20 | Health Care Operations Mgr |
| 20 | Health Care Program Mgr |
| 20 | Health Survey \& Compliance Mgr |
| 20 | Housing Finance Agency Exec |
| 20 | Human Resources Director 4 |
| 20 | Human Svcs Research Director |
| 20 | Information Syst Applic Mgr |
| 20 | Information Syst Director |
| 20 | Labor Mediation Mgr |
| 20 | Land Surveyor Senior Admin |
| 20 | Lottery Sales Manager |
| 20 | Management Services Director |
| 20 | Minncor Vice-Pres Operations |
| 20 | MN Academies Director |
| 20 | MN.IT Division Manger 2 |
|  |  |


| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 20 | NR Asst Dir - Enforcement |
| 20 | NR Asst Division Director |
| 20 | NR Bureau Administrator |
| 20 | NR Forestry Asst Dir |
| 20 | NR Minerals Asst Dir |
| 20 | NR Regional Director |
| 20 | OHE L-1 Executive |
| 20 | Perpich Ctr Arts Educ Prog Dir |
| 20 | Plant Mgmt Dir |
| 20 | Pollution Cont Asst Div Dir |
| 20 | Real Estate Mgmt Dir |
| 20 | Research Director |
| 20 | Residential Prog Svcs Dir 1 |
| 20 | Revenue Tax System Dir 2 |
| 20 | Revenue Tax System Dir 4 |
| 20 | Senior Executive Officer |
| 20 | State Fire Marshal |
| 20 | State Patrol Assistant Chief |
| 20 | State Prog Admin Manager Prin - Corrections |
| 20 | Transp Dir Comm \& Media Rel |
|  |  |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 20 | Transp Operations Manager |
| 20 | Transp Planning Dir |
| 20 | Transp Prog Financial/PIng Dir |
| 20 | Unemployment Ins Prog Dir |
| 20 | Veterans Home Admin |
| 20 | Weights \& Measures Div Direct |
| 20 | Zoo Conservation Director |


| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 19 | Admin Agency Div Director |
| 19 | Admin Mgmt Services Dir |
| 19 | Admin Svcs Dir (CFO/Asst Exec Dir)-PERA |
| 19 | Agency Internal Audit Manager |
| 19 | Agric Dev \& Fin Asstnc Dir |
| 19 | Agronomy Asst Dir Environ Reg |
| 19 | Asst Comm - Energy Resources |
| 19 | Asst Dir Animal Health Bd |
| 19 | Asst Dir Constr Codes \& Lic |
| 19 | Asst Dir Mgt Analysis |
| 19 | Asst Exec Dir MSRS |
| 19 | Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs CI - TRA |
| 19 | Asst Exec Dir Retire Systs Unc |
| 19 | Behavioral Med Practitioner Sr |
| 19 | Civil Rights Director |
| 19 | Continuing Care Program Mgr |
| 19 | Corr Facility Admin Dir |
| 19 | Corr Health Program Dir |
| 19 | Corr Investigation Manager |
| 19 | Demographer State |
|  |  |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 19 | Deputy Dir, Pari-Mutuel Racing |
| 19 | Dir Child Develop Services |
| 19 | Dir Community \& Media RItns |
| 19 | Dir Corrections Industries |
| 19 | Dir Drug Policy \& Violence Pre |
| 19 | Dir Nursing |
| 19 | Dir Special Investigations |
| 19 | Dir Workers Comp Prog |
| 19 | Dir Workplace Safety Consult |
| 19 | Disability Determ Svc Opns Dir |
| 19 | Economic Development Mgr |
| 19 | Educ Dir Personnel Licensing |
| 19 | EID Program Manager |
| 19 | Engineer Administrative Mgt |
| 19 | Exec Budget Officer |
| 19 | Exec Dir Emer Med Services |
| 19 | Exec Dir Mn Forest Res Council |
| 19 | Exec Dir Nursing Bd |
| 19 | Exec Dir St Arts Bd |
| 19 | Exec Sec Arch Engr L/S Bd |
|  |  |


| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 19 | Exec Sec Pharmacy Bd |
| 19 | Facility Security Director |
| 19 | Financial Management Director (DLI) |
| 19 | Fiscal \& Admin Serv Manager |
| 19 | Gambling Security Director |
| 19 | Health Program Manager Senior |
| 19 | Health Promotion \& Educ Manage |
| 19 | Housing Finance Agency Dir |
| 19 | Housing Finance Agency Exec (Chief Risk Officer) |
| 19 | Housing Finance Agency Mgr |
| 19 | Human Resources Director 3 |
| 19 | IRRRB Administrative Manager |
| 19 | Labor Standards Director |
| 19 | Land Surveyor Admin - Mgmt |
| 19 | Lottery Research \& Plan Dir |
| 19 | Materials Mgmt Assist Dir |
| 19 | Merit System Hum Res Mgr |
| 19 | Mineland Reclamation Manager |
| 19 | Minncor Indust Chief Fin Offic |
| 19 | Minncor Vice-Pres Business Dev |
|  |  |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 19 | MN.IT Division Manager 1 |
| 19 | NR Forestry Section Mgr |
| 19 | NR Minerals Development Mgr |
| 19 | NR Prog Mgr |
| 19 | NR Prog Mgr 3- Enforcement |
| 19 | NR Section Manager |
| 19 | NR Waters Operation Manager |
| 19 | Occup Safety \& Hlth Team Dir |
| 19 | OHE L-1 Executive |
| 19 | PERA Division Manager |
| 19 | Physical Plant Mgr |
| 19 | Pollution Cont Program Admi |
| 19 | Proj Manager |
| 19 | Pub Util Regulation Unit Mgr |
| 19 | Public Health Lab Mgr |
| 19 | Rehabilitation Area Director |
| 19 | Research Director, Sent Guid C |
| 19 | Residential Prog Svcs MgrSr |
| 19 | Revenue Assistant Director 1 |
| 19 | State Archaeologist |
|  |  |


| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 19 | State Prog Admin Manager Sr |
| 19 | State University Mgmt Officer |
| 19 | Transp Audit Director |
| 19 | Transp Finance Mgr |
| 19 | Transp Metro Right of Way Mgr |
| 19 | Transp Planning Mgr |
| 19 | Transp Prog Director |
| 19 | Transp Support Svcs Dir |
| 19 | Zoo Education Director |
| 19 | Zoo Strategic Services Dir |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 18 | Accounting Manager |
| 18 | Admin Mgmt Director 2 |
| 18 | Agency Affirmative Action Mgr |
| 18 | Appeals Examiner Chief |
| 18 | Asst Dir CJIS (Vacant) |
| 18 | Asst Dir Lab Services Division |
| 18 | Asst To Commr |
| 18 | Business Community Dev Dir |
| 18 | Corr Alternative Prog Mgr |
| 18 | Corr Interstate Dep Cmpct Admn |
| 18 | Disability Determ Svc Asst Dir |
| 18 | DVS Program Director |
| 18 | Emp \& Econ Devel Spec Prog Mgr |
| 18 | Emp \& Econ Devel Staff Dir |
| 18 | Emp \& Econ Devel Youth Pro Dir |
| 18 | Emp \& Trng Director |
| 18 | Energy Program Director |
| 18 | Exec Dir Asian Pcf Minn Counc |
| 18 | Exec Dir Black Minn Counc |
| 18 | Exec Dir Chicano Latino Aff Co |
|  |  |


| HRL | Job Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| 18 | Exec Dir Chiropractic Exam Bd |
| 18 | Exec Dir Ctr Crime Victim Srvs |
| 18 | Exec Dir Indian Affairs Bd |
| 18 | Exec Sec Dentistry Bd |
| 18 | Exec Sec Peace Off Tng Bd |
| 18 | Extended Employment Prog Dir |
| 18 | Financial Services Director-Commerce |
| 18 | Health Program Manager |
| 18 | Housing Finance Agency Exec (Credit Risk Officer |
| 18 | Human Resources Director 2 |
| 18 | Human Rights Division Director |
| 18 | Information Director |
| 18 | Information Mgmt Srvcs Div Dir |
| 18 | Institutional Supp Svcs Dir |
| 18 | Materials Mgmt Division Mgr |
| 18 | MnSCU Information Systems Mgr |
| 18 | NR Manager |
| 18 | NR Prog Mgr 2 - Enforcement |
| 18 | Physical Plant Operations Mgr |
| 18 | Planning Dir Develop Disabilit |

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

## List of jobs Sorted by HRL / Title

| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 16 | Admin Officer |
| 16 | Exec Assistant |
| 16 | Exec Dir Beh Hlth \& Therapy Bd |
| 16 | Exec Dir Diet \& Nutr Pract Bd |
| 16 | Exec Dir Physical Therapy Bd |
| 16 | Exec Sec Cosmetologist Bd Exam |
| 16 | Exec Sec Mar \& Fam Therapy Bd |


| HRL | Job Title |
| ---: | :--- |
| 15 | Exec Aide |
| 15 | Exec Sec Podiatry Bd |

## List of Amendments

The Compensation Study report was amended in February 2015 to 1) reflect corrections to the early retirement reduction percentage for the State's pension plan, and 2) recognize the existence of COLA provisions in the pension plans of other states.
a. Page 12 and 65 - The State's overall benefits market position is at the market 75th percentile. The pension value change resulted in a market median position relative to other States, but market position is unchanged relative to the private sector
b. Page 13 - The chart has been updated to reflect the State's slightly lower benefits market position.
c. Page 14 and 94 - The State's aggregate benefits market position in percentage terms decreased from $28.2 \%$ and $32.0 \%$ above the private sector and public sector market median, respectively, to $22.8 \%$ and $26.4 \%$ above the market medians. Similar decreases occurred in the aggregate benefits market position at the P50 salary level. The total compensation market position changed from $14.8 \%$ below to $16.0 \%$ below the private sector market median and from $12.8 \%$ above to $11.2 \%$ above the public sector market median. These changes also appear on page 94 of the amended report.
d. Page 15 - The chart has been updated to reflect the State's slightly lower total compensation market position.

## List of Amendments (continued)

The Compensation Study report was amended in February 2015 to 1) reflect corrections to the early retirement reduction percentage for the State's pension plan, and 2) recognize the existence of COLA provisions in the pension plans of other states.
e. Pages 16, 17 and 95, 96 - The summary tables by reference level have been updated to reflect the State's slightly lower benefits and total compensation market position.
f. Pages 65-74-The tables, charts and commentary in the benefits section have been updated to reflect the State's amended pension value based on higher early retirement reduction factors.
g. Page $99-125$ - The total compensation charts have been updated to reflect the amended pension value.
Hay Group has COLA data for the nine states included in the public sector comparator group that have a defined benefit program. According to our database, 3 of the 9 do not have a COLA provision, 4 of the 9 have a discretionary COLA and 2 of the 9 have a guaranteed COLA. This information was correctly factored into the market pension values, but was not accurately described in the report commentary. The table on page 73 has been amended to reflect a mixed practice on COLA as the market median practice among the comparator states.

