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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

University of Minnesota Extension recently conducted a study to estimate overall tax proceeds and the proportion of tax proceeds generated by Elk River residents. Comparing these results to nonresidents using the most recent sales and use tax data available from the Minnesota Department of Revenue (MN Revenue), Extension estimated that non-residents account for $45.5 \%$ of taxable sales. This equated to $\$ 177$ million out of a total of $\$ 388.7$ million in taxable sales in 2015 for $\$ 44.12$ per Elk River resident on average.

The intent of this report was not to make recommendations to city officials about what actions to take but rather determine the estimated sales tax proceeds from a local option tax program and what proportion of those dollars will likely be paid by year-round city residents versus nonresidents.

Extension generated a trade area analysis comparing actual taxable sales, based on Minnesota Revenue sales tax data ${ }^{1}$ with a calculated estimated sales amount. This amount was determined by multiplying the Elk River population by the Minnesota average per capita sales and then adjusting for income and typical pulling power of similar-sized cities. Doing so provided an estimate of retail and service purchases made by year-round Elk River residents. For each merchandise group, the estimates for two types of purchasers-year-round city residents and others-were considered and adjusted considering the area economy. These adjustments were also informed by interviews conducted with retail operators in the city. Assumptions and calculations are shown for major retail and service categories so decision makers can adjust totals to accommodate local considerations.

Several key factors and features in the Elk River economy helped frame our analysis of the different merchandise categories:

- A significant proportion of residents are employed outside the city which facilitates outshopping for retail goods and services (see Figure 1), however an equally large number of individuals commute into Elk River for work which facilitates in-shopping.
- Elk River is situated near large retail concentrations in greater Minneapolis/St. Paul which compete for shoppers. Maple Grove and Albertville in particular are in close proximity and have a wide mix of retail and service providers.
- Interviews with local retailer managers pointed to difficulty identifying whether shoppers are local or non-local due to high volume of traffic and mobility of workers and residents in this area of competing retail centers.
- Industries other than retail and services are a significant portion of total taxable sales in the city ( $32 \%$ in 2015). This mix of industries includes construction, manufacturing, wholesale trade, and agriculture. It is reasonable to assume a sizable portion of sales from these industries are to customers outside of Elk River, but the proportion is difficult to measure.
- Elk River is the largest retail concentration in Sherburne County, and, as such, pulls in shoppers from nearby communities.

[^1]Figure 1: Elk River worker in-flow and out-flow (Source: 2016 U.S. Census Bureau OnTheMap application, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program, http://onthemap.ces.census.gov/)


Figure 2 below shows the estimated percentage breakout-across all merchandise categories-for the adjusted analysis to more accurately reflect the city's economic and consumption circumstances. Based on these findings, we estimate 54.5 percent of all taxable retail and service sales would be made by permanent city residents, and the remaining 45.5 percent of taxable sales would be by nonresidents.

Figure 2: Estimated taxable sales using an adjusted trade area analysis

|  | Taxable Sales | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elk River Residents | $\$$ millions | of Sales |
| Non-residents | $\$ 211.7$ | $54.5 \%$ |
| Totals | $\$ 177.0$ | $45.5 \%$ |

Extension also estimated the dollars generated by different levels of a local option sales tax and what year-round residents would pay at each level compared to non-residents. Using the adjusted trade area analysis, these dollar amounts are shown in Figure 3.
Elk River would have realized as much as $\$ 1.94$ million in tax proceeds if the full half percent tax were enacted in 2015 . If the city does realize $\$ 1.94$ million, the proportion of the tax total paid by non-residents is estimated to be $\$ 885,000$, and the proportion paid by year-round city residents is estimated to be $\$ 1.06$ million.

Figure 3: Estimated tax proceeds and who pays in dollars based on 2015 data

| ESTIMATED | Total Tax | Dollars Paid By Elk River | Average Per Elk River | Dollars Paid By Non- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX PROCEEEDS | Proceeds | Residents | Resident | Residents |
| @ 1/8th of a Percent | \$485,834 | \$264,602 | \$11.03 | \$221,232 |
| @ $1 / 4$ th of a Percent | \$971,668 | \$529,204 | \$22.06 | \$442,464 |
| @ 3/8ths of a Percent | \$1,457,501 | \$793,805 | \$33.09 | \$663,696 |
| @ $1 / 2$ of a Percent | \$1,943,335 | \$1,058,407 | \$44.12 | \$884,928 |
| Total Taxable Sales: $\$ 388,667,017$ |  |  |  |  |

The total taxable sales in the city has increased 42 percent from 2009 to 2015 from $\$ 274$ million to $\$ 389$ million. Retail and service taxable sales, however, have increased $20 \%$ during the same timeframe. Since tax proceeds are calculated as a simple percentage of total taxable sales, the trend during the past 12 years gives some sense of stability if the tax were enacted. Clearly the downturn in taxable sales during the great recession impacted sales tax collections (see Figure 4). Although the city has experienced significant growth in taxable sales since the end of great recession, this trend is not assured.

Figure 4: Total taxable and total taxable retail and service sales (in millions) in Elk River from 2003 to 2015 (source: Minnesota Department of Revenue)


Proceeds from use taxes would also be added to the estimated tax proceeds from sales taxes. Based on 2015 figures, for each one-eighth of a percent enacted, city officials can expect an estimated additional $\$ 19,200$ in use (not sales) tax proceeds derived from city businesses purchasing products from out-of-state sources. City officials can also anticipate some additional use tax proceeds, but there is no way to accurately estimate this number. The amount will result from purchases made by local businesses in other Minnesota locations.

City of Elk River policymakers are understandably concerned that enacting a sales tax in their community will cause a loss of consumer purchases to other counties. However, at its highest potential application of half a percent, a local option sales tax would add 50 cents to a $\$ 100$ purchase. Records available on the Minnesota Department of Revenue website (Appendix A) show the tax collected from 23 Minnesota jurisdictions that have enacted some type of local sales or use tax within the last ten years. The records do not indicate a major purchasing change due to the additional sales tax, and most of the jurisdictions have shown continued sales growth.

## BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY

Community economics educators at University of Minnesota Extension provide applied research and education to help community and business partners make better informed decisions.
Minnesota has adopted laws enabling cities to enact a local option sales tax dedicated exclusively to pay for construction and improvement of a civic and community center and recreational facilities.

This report estimates the proportion of tax proceeds generated by year-round Elk River residents compared to non-residents. The most recently available state sales tax data (2015) from the Minnesota Department of Revenue (MN Revenue) is used.

## Data/Study Limitations

The data, analysis and findings described in this report are specific to the geography, time frame and project requirements of the City of Elk River. Findings are not transferable to other jurisdictions. Extension neither approves nor endorses the use or application of findings and other contents in this report by other jurisdictions.

## Trade Area Analysis and Calculations

Extension conducted a trade area analysis of retail and service sales in select merchandise categories, estimating the amount of taxable sales subject to sales taxes that were made by local residents, as well as those made by non-residents. Use tax is insignificant compared to sales tax proceeds and is calculated differently.

Extension calculated expected sales for the city in each merchandise category and compared this calculation to actual taxable sales, as found in Minnesota Department of Revenue sales tax statistics for the same category. Actual sales greater than expected sales indicate the city attracts sales from outside the city or has sales greater than one would expect from only its residents. Extension used the difference between potential and actual sales to set reasonable estimates of spending by residents and non-residents across all categories. These estimates also helped inform adjustments for each category.

Expected sales calculations are based on average statewide spending by merchandise category and the population of the city, then adjusted by the level of income in Sherburne County and the typical pulling power of similar-sized cities. Specifically, expected sales result from city population, state per capita taxable sales, index of income, and average pull factor for cities within 20\% of Elk River's population (see sidebar and Appendix B for definitions).

The section that follows, "Trade Area Analysis by Merchandise Category," details the initial and adjusted trade area calculations for all merchandise categories. The sections labeled "Analysis with Adjustments" lists the final estimate of sales generated by non-residents. A rationale for adjustments and conclusions is also included.

Expected Sales estimate the dollar amounts for purchases made by local residents if local residents spend as much as the average Minnesota resident.

Expected sales are calculated by the following formula:
( $\mathrm{T} \div \mathrm{PMn}$ ) x PER $\times(\mathrm{YSC} \div \mathrm{YMn}) \times$ Typical
Pull Factor $=$ Expected Sales

T = Total Minnesota taxable sales for a merchandise category

PMn = Population of Minnesota $(5,485,238)$

PER = Population of Elk River $(23,987)$

YSC = Per capita income of Sherburne
County residents $(\$ 41,396)$

YMn = Per capita income of Minnesota resident $(\$ 50,912)$

Typical Pull Factor = Average per capita sales for communities within $20 \%$ of the population of Elk River for a merchandise category $\div$ per capita sales in MN for same merchandise category

# TRADE AREA ANALYSIS BY MERCHANDISE CATEGORY 

## Vehicles and Parts

7.5 percent of total taxable retail and service sales


#### Abstract

The 13 businesses in this retail category include repair parts, snowmobiles, boats, trailers, and recreational vehicles. Sales of cars and other on-road vehicles are not included in this category since they are subject to a different tax.


|  | (\$Millions) <br> Actual taxable sales <br> -Potential sales <br> $=$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| v variance | $\$ 9.96$ |
|  | $\$ 9.98$ |
|  | $100.0 \%$ |
| Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis |  |
| Potential taxable sales to residents |  |
| Surplus (local preference and non- | $\$ 9.98$ |
| residents) | 9.98 |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 19.96$ |
| Surplus percentage | $50.0 \%$ |

## Analysis with Adjustments

Residents' \$ share \$8.98
Non-Residents' \$ share $\$ 10.98$
Total \$19.96
Non-resident share per group $55.0 \%$

## Analysis and Recommendations for Vehicles and Parts

The trade area analysis predicts approximately twice the amount of taxable sales than expected, The city's 13 firms in this category, however, are clearly pulling in sales from outside the community. Since it is unrealistic to expect all residents to get all their product needs in Elk River in this category, Extension set non-resident share at a conservative 55 percent of taxable sales.

## Furniture Stores

## 2.3 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

These 6 stores sell furniture, beds, carpeting, window coverings, lamps, china, kitchenware, and wood-burning stoves.

|  | (\$Millions) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 6.07$ |
| Potential sales | $\$ 5.13$ |
| $=\$$ variance | $\$ 0.94$ |
| $=$ as \% of potential | $18.4 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis | $\$ 5.13$ |
| Potential sales to residents | $\$ 0.94$ |
| Surplus (local preference and non- |  |
| residents) | $\$ 6.07$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $15.5 \%$ |
| Non-resident share per group |  |

Analysis with Adjustments
Residents' \$ share \$4.86
Non-Residents' \$ share \$1.21
Total \$6.07
Non-resident share per group 20.0\%

## Analysis and Recommendations for Furniture Stores

Furniture stores are often located in regional retail centers and small communities typically lose sales to these retail clusters. In the case of Elk River, the trade area analysis shows that the city brought in $18 \%$ more than expected. Assuming that some portion of residents are drawn to competing retail centers, Extension increased the non-resident share to 20 percent of taxable sales, up from $15.5 \%$.

## Electronics and Appliances

0.4 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

These 4 establishments primarily include household-type appliances, sewing machines, cameras, computers, and other electronic goods.
(\$Millions)
Actual taxable sales \$0.96
-Potential sales \$3.36
= \$ variance
= as $\%$ of potential

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

| Potential sales to residents | $\$ 3.36$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Surplus (local preference and non-residents) | $(\$ 2.39)$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 0.96$ |
| Non-resident share per group | $-248.4 \%$ |

## Analysis with Adjustments

Residents' \$ share \$0.92

Non-residents' \$ share \$0.05
Total \$0.96
Non-resident share per group $5.0 \%$

## Analysis and Recommendations for Electronics and Appliances

Potential sales calculations suggest that more than 70 percent of sales leave the city. Although residents leave the city for a majority of these purchases, it is reasonable to assume residents from neighboring communities still make some minor purchases. We estimate the nonresident portion at 5 percent, with residents bringing in a modest $\$ 50,000$ in sales.

## Building Materials

## 29.7 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

These 12 establishments sell lumber, hardware, paint, wallpaper, tile, hardwood floors, roofing, fencing, ceiling fans, lawn equipment, and garden items.
(\$Millions)
Actual taxable sales
Potential sales
= \$ variance
= as \% of potential

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents \$43.46
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) \$35.42
Total
Non-resident share per group

## Analysis with Adjustments

Residents' \$ share \$35.50
Non-residents' \$ share \$43.38
Total \$78.88
Non-resident share per group 55.0\%

## Analysis and Recommendations for Building Materials

Along with gasoline and general merchandise categories, building materials often account for a significant amount of total taxable sales (30 percent of all retail and service sales for the city). The trade area analysis estimates that the city draws in significantly more sales than expected. Even with such strong evidence of in-shopping, the issue of cross-hauling is still at play, where residents are still out-shopping even though nonresidents more than make up for their losses. In this context with nearby competing retail centers. Extension set the non-local resident spending share at 55 percent of building material sales, up from $46 \%$ as calculated by the trade area analysis.

## Food and Groceries

7.1 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

The $\mathbf{1 7}$ stores in this merchandise group include grocery stores, delis, bakeries, and butcher shops that sell food to be prepared at home. Liquor stores are also included in this group.

| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 18.91$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| - Potential sales | $\$ 15.52$ |
| $=\$$ variance | $\$ 3.39$ |
| $\quad=$ as $\%$ of potential | $21.9 \%$ |

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents
\$15.52
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) \$3.39
Total
\$18.91
Non-resident share per group
17.9\%

Analysis with Adjustments

| Residents' \$ share | $\$ 14.18$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Non-residents' \$ share | $\$ 4.73$ |
| Total | $\$ 18.91$ |
| Non-resident share per group | $25.0 \%$ |

## Analysis and Recommendations for Food and Groceries

The trade area analysis estimates a surplus of $\$ 3.4$ million more than expected in the food and liquor category. Clearly the 17 stores in this category are drawing in some non-resident traffic. With such a large portion of the city's workforce working outside of Elk River, it is reasonable to expect that those workers pick up some portion of grocery and liquor near their place of work. These are convenience goods and often 'picked up' when needed. Therefore, Extension increased the nonresident share to $25 \%$ from $18 \%$.

## Health \& Personal Items

## 1.2 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

Stores selling prescription drugs, food supplements, vision supplies, cosmetics, and hearing aids are among the 4 shops included in this merchandise group.
(\$Millions)
Actual taxable sales
Potential sales
= \$ variance

$$
=\text { as \% of potential }
$$

Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis
Potential sales to residents \$2.54
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) \$0.76
Total \$3.31
Non-resident share per group 23.1\%

## Analysis with Adjustments

Residents' \$ share \$2.45
Non-residents' \$ share \$0.86
Total \$3.31
Non-resident share per group $26.0 \%$

## Analysis and Recommendations for Health and Personal Items

Surprisingly, this category which includes only four stores has a surplus of $30 \%$ more than expected. Similar to the rationale in other categories related to nearby retail competition and worker flows, Extension increased the proportion from non-residents to $26 \%$ from $23 \%$.

## Gas/Convenience Stores

## 2.4 percent of total taxable retail and

 service salesThis merchandise group covers 8 retailers selling convenience items at a store that also sells fuel.
(\$Millions)
Actual taxable sales $\$ 6.28$
-Potential sales \$4.95
$=\$$ variance \$1.32
$=$ as $\%$ of potential $\quad 26.7 \%$

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents
\$4.95
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) \$1.32
Total
\$6.28
Non-resident share per group 21.1\%

Analysis with Adjustments

| Residents' \$ share | $\$ 4.65$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Non-residents' \$ share | $\$ 1.63$ |
| Total | $\$ 6.28$ |
| Non-resident share per group | $26.0 \%$ |

## Analysis and Recommendations for Gas Station/Convenience Stores

The initial trade area analysis indicates that non-residents account for 21 percent of sales. Having heard from retailers about the importance of visitor traffic in the summer and the clear uptick in traffic in the summer, Extension increased the non-resident sales to 26 percent

## Apparel/Clothing

## 0.4 of total taxable retail and service sales

This merchandise group includes 10 stores selling new clothing and accessories, jewelry, shoes, bridal items, clocks, and luggage.

|  | (\$Millions) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 1.14$ |
| Potential sales | $\$ 1.27$ |
| $=\$$ variance | $(\$ 0.13)$ |
| $=$ as \% of potential | $-10.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis |  |
| Potential sales to residents | $\$ 1.27$ |
| Surplus (local preference and non-residents) | $\mathbf{( \$ 0 . 1 3 )}$ |
| $\quad$ Total | \$1.14 |
| Non-resident share per group | $-11.1 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Analysis with Adjustments | $\$ 0.97$ |
| Residents' \$ share | $\$ 0.17$ |
| Non-residents' \$ share | $\$ 1.14$ |
| Total | $15.0 \%$ |

## Analysis and Recommendations for Apparel/Clothing

The trade area analysis shows that Elk River brought in slightly less taxable sales than expected in clothing. Since Elk River is the retail hub for the county, it would be reasonable to expect that some portion of sales comes from non-residents, if only other Sherburne residents who live outside the city limits yet are attracted to Elk River for general shopping. In this context, Extension set the non-resident share at 15\%.

## Leisure Goods

## 1.8 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

The $\mathbf{1 6}$ firms in this merchandise group sell sporting goods, books, music, hobby items, fabrics, and toys.

|  | (\$Millions) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 4.68$ |
| Potential sales | $\$ 2.65$ |
| $=\$$ variance | $\$ 2.03$ |
|  | $76.4 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis |  |
| Potential sales to residents |  |
| Surplus (local preference and non- | $\$ 2.65$ |
| residents) | $\$ 2.03$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 4.68$ |
| Non-resident share per group | $43.3 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Analysis with Adjustments |  |
| Residents' \$ share |  |
| Non-Residents' \$ share | $\$ 2.34$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 2.34$ |
| Non-resident share per group | $50.0 \%$ |

## Analysis and Recommendations for Leisure Goods

Elk River brought in $76 \%$ more in taxable sales in the leisure goods category than expected. Clearly the city has a niche as a regional center in the area in this relatively small retail category. Extension set the nonresident share at $50 \%$, up from $43 \%$.

## General Merchandise Stores

## 16.1 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

The $\mathbf{1 4}$ stores in this category sell general merchandise and are unique because they have the equipment and staff needed to sell a large variety of goods from a single location. This includes department stores, superstores, dollar stores, and variety stores.

|  | (\$Millions) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 42.80$ |
| potential sales | $\$ 32.95$ |
| $=\$$ variance | $\$ 9.85$ |
| $\quad=$ as $\%$ of potential | $29.9 \%$ |

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents \$32.95
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) \$9.85
Total \$42.80
Non-resident share per group 23.0\%

Analysis with Adjustments
Residents' \$ share \$29.96
Non-Residents' \$ share \$12.84
Total \$42.80
Non-resident share per group 30.0\%

## Analysis and Recommendations for General Merchandise Stores

The initial analysis shows a significant surplus in this large category, which accounts for 16 percent of all retail and service taxable sales. Interviews with managers of these general merchandise store in Sherburne County led Extension to conclude that some non-resident shopping is happening, especially in the summer months due to increased traffic. With this context in mind, Extension set non-resident spending at $30 \%$, up from $23 \%$

## Miscellaneous Retail

## 4.7 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

33 establishments are part of this group, including florists, used merchandise stores, pet supply stores, and other retailers.
(\$Millions)

| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 12.44$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Potential sales | $\$ 13.07$ |
| $=\$$ variance | $(\$ 0.62)$ |
| $\quad=$ as $\%$ of potential | $-4.7 \%$ |

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents
\$13.07
Surplus (local preference and non-
residents)
Total
\$12.44
Non-resident share per group
-5.0\%

Analysis with Adjustments
Residents' \$ share
\$11.20
Non-residents' \$ share \$1.24
Total
\$12.44
Non-resident share per group 10.0\%

## Analysis and Recommendations for Miscellaneous Retail

The category brought in five percent less in taxable sales than one would expect. Extension set the non-resident share to 10 percent, especially considering the large number of establishments in this category, some of which are specialized enough to draw in outside traffic.

## Amusement and Recreation

## 1.2 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

The 11 establishments in this group include casinos, bowling lanes, water parks, amusement parks, arcades, bingo halls, golf courses, ski slopes, marinas, dance or fitness centers, recreational clubs, ice rinks, swimming pools, roller rinks, etc.
(\$Millions)
Actual taxable sales
\$3.26
Potential sales
\$5.19
= $\$$ variance
(\$1.93)
= as \% of potential
-37.2\%

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents \$5.19
Surplus (local preference and non-residents)
Total \$3.26
Non-resident share per group
-59.3\%

Analysis with Adjustments
Residents' \$ share \$3.09
Non-residents' \$ share \$0.16
Total \$3.26
Non-resident share per group 5.0\%

## Analysis and Recommendations for Amusement and Recreation

Local residents likely comprise the vast majority of buyers in this category where nearly $40 \%$ of sales leave the city. Therefore, the nonresident share is estimated to be a minimal five percent of total taxable sales

## Accommodations

## NA percent of total taxable retail <br> and service sales

These businesses provide lodging or shortterm accommodations for travelers, vacationers, and others. Included are hotels, motels, lodges, bed \& breakfasts, campgrounds, fraternities, boarding houses, and dormitories.
(\$Millions)

| Actual taxable sales | NA |
| :--- | ---: |
| Potential sales | $\$ 2.60$ |
| $=\$$ variance |  |
| $\quad=$ as $\%$ of potential |  |

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents \$2.60
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) NA
Total
Non-resident share per group
NA

## Analysis with Adjustments

Residents' \$ share NA

Non-residents' \$ share NA

Total
Non-resident share per group NA

## Analysis and Recommendations for Accommodations

Since there are few businesses or in this category in Elk River, the Department of Revenue does not disclose the data, making it impossible to estimate sales by resident and non-resident in this category. The sales from this category are included in 'undisclosed' category later in the report.

## Eating/Drinking Establishments

## 15.0 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

These 41 businesses sell food at full-service or limited-service establishments. The group includes cafeterias, bagel shops, ice cream parlors, snack bars, food service contractors, caterers, lunch wagons, and street vendors. It also includes bars, taverns, and nightclubs.

|  | (\$Millions) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 39.80$ |
| Potential sales | $\$ 37.03$ |
| $=$ \$ variance | $\$ 2.77$ |
| $=$ as \% of potential | $7.5 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis |  |
| Potential sales to residents | $\$ 37.03$ |
| Surplus (local preference and non-residents) | $\$ 2.77$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 39.80$ |
| Non-resident share per group | $7.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Analysis with Adjustments | $\$ 33.83$ |
| Residents' \$ share | $\$ 5.97$ |
| Non-residents' \$ share | $\$ 39.80$ |
| Total | $15.0 \%$ |

## Analysis and Recommendations for Eating/Drinking Establishments

According to expected sales estimates, Elk River brought in 7.5\% more in taxable sales than expected. Considering the high proportion of workers leaving the community for work weekly, Extension set the non-resident share of spending in this category at $15 \%$, up from $7 \%$.

## Repair and Maintenance

3.3 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

The 40 stores in this group restore machinery, equipment, and other products. The group does not include plumbing or electrical repair services but does encompass auto repair, cameras, radio, television, computers, copiers, appliances, lawn mowers, specialized equipment, small engines, furniture, shoes, guns, etc.
(\$Millions)

| Actual taxable sales | $\$ 8.79$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Potential sales | $\$ 5.73$ |
| $=\$$ variance | $\$ 3.06$ |
| $=$ as \% of potential | $53.4 \%$ |

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents \$5.73
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) \$3.06
Total \$8.79
Non-resident share per group

Analysis with Adjustments
Residents' \$ share \$5.27
Non-residents' \$ share \$3.51
Total \$8.79
Non-resident share per group 40.0\%

## Analysis and Recommendations for Repair and Maintenance

The initial trade area analysis identifies a surplus and estimates nonresident spending at $35 \%$. Assuming Elk River as a commuter community, Extension expects that residents would spent more on car repair than Minnesota residents as a whole. As such, Extension conservatively increased the share of non-resident sales to $40 \%$.

## Personal Services/Laundry

0.7 percent of total taxable retail and service sales

The 30 stores in this merchandise group include barber shops and beauty parlors, death care services, laundry and dry-cleaning services, and a wide range of other personal services, such as pet care (except veterinary), photofinishing, temporary parking, and dating services.

Actual taxable sales
(\$Millions)
Potential sales
\$1.85
$\begin{array}{cl}=\$ \text { variance } & (\$ 0.70) \\ =\text { as } \% \text { of potential } & -27.5 \%\end{array}$

## Unadjusted Trade Area Analysis

Potential sales to residents $\$ 2.56$
Surplus (local preference and non-residents) (\$0.70)
Total \$1.85
Non-resident share per group -37.9\%

## Analysis with Adjustments

Residents' \$ share \$1.76
Non-residents' \$ share \$0.09
Total \$1.85
Non-resident share per group $5.0 \%$

## Analysis and Recommendations for Personal Services/Laundry

Local residents will likely comprise the vast majority of buyers in this category of convenience services. Therefore, the non-resident share is estimated to be a minimal five percent of total taxable sales.

## Retail (non-store) and Other Services

(\$Millions)
Actual taxable sales ..... \$16.44
\% of total taxable retail and service sales ..... 6.2\%
Analysis with Adjustments
Residents' \$ share ..... \$14.80
Non-residents' \$ share ..... \$1.64
Total ..... \$16.44
Non-resident share per group ..... 10\%

## Analysis and Recommendations for Retail and Other Services

The rest of retail and service sales will be largely local. This group includes healthcare, waste management, rental/lease services, administrative support, and the performing arts. This mix of business types is very diverse, yet due to the local nature of the businesses, Extension assumes 90 percent of these sales are local.

## Agricultural Production, Forestry, Construction, Manufacturing, Wholesale Operations, Transportation, Civic and Professional Organizations, Government, and Sales Information Suppressed for Business Confidentiality

The above industries and services generate a significant portion of total taxable sales in Elk River (32\%), a proportion greater than other communities for which Extension has conducted a LOST analysis. This amount will be subject to any new sales taxes, including a local option sales tax.

A diverse mix of businesses fall into these non-retail categories and a large portion of sales are within a suppressed or non-disclosed subcategory. This diversity makes it difficult to understand the customer mix of these businesses, however Extension broke out each known major subcategory and assigned assumptions according to their business type:

| Subcategory | 2015 Taxable Sales |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction | $\$ 4,539,053$ |
| Manufacturing | $\$ 62,492,218$ |
| Wholesale | $\$ 12,683,470$ |
| Undesignated | $\$ 42,552,699$ |

Extension estimated that overall 70 percent of sales are to non-residents. Extension assumed that some subcategories such as agriculture and manufacturing sell primarily (90\%) to non-resident customers, whereas less construction, wholesale, and undesignated businesses split their sales between resident and non-resident customers. This is conservative consider that all lodging sales are in the undesignated category, the vast majority of which are to non-residents. However, since it is impossible to know the exact breakdown of business types in 'undesignated,' Extension set at a conservative 50\%.
Residents' \$ share \$36.9

Non-residents \$ share \$86.2
Total \$123.1
Non-resident share 70\%

## ESTIMATES OF PROJECTED SALES TAX REVENUE

The table below shows sales tax revenue at one-eighth percent intervals up to half a percent. This is the highest taxation rate permitted by state law. The table also includes estimates for the tax dollars likely to be paid by year-round city residents, along with the estimates for tax dollars likely to be paid by non-residents. These estimates include all merchandise categories, based on the adjusted trade area analysis.

Figure 5: Estimated Tax Proceeds Based on 2015 Sales Tax Data

|  | Total <br> Tax | Dollars <br> Paid By <br> Elk River <br> Residents <br> TAX PROCEEEDS | Average <br> Per Elk <br> River | Dollars <br> Pesident <br> Raid By <br> Non- <br> Residents <br> $\$ 264,602$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| @ 1/8th of a Percent | $\$ 485,834$ | $\$ 971,668$ | $\$ 529,204$ | $\$ 22.06$ |

The total sales figure of $\$ 389$ million is based on 2015 Minnesota Department of Revenue data.
There is a use tax component to this local option tax program. Consequently, two other likely sources of tax proceeds can be added to the estimated tax proceeds listed above:

1. For each one-eighth of a percent enacted, city officials can expect an estimated additional $\$ 19,200$ in use (not sales) tax proceeds derived from city businesses purchasing products from out-of-state sources. (This is based on the 6.875 percent use tax rate applied to out-ofstate purchases).
2. City officials can also anticipate additional use (not sales) tax proceeds, but there is no way to accurately estimate this number. The amount, however, will be from purchases made by local businesses in other Minnesota locations.

## APPENDIX A: RESEARCH ON THE EFFECTS OF A LOCAL OPTION SALES TAX

City of Elk River policymakers are understandably concerned that enacting a local sales tax will result in a loss of consumer purchases to neighboring counties that have not adopted the tax. At its highest potential application of half a percent, however, a local option sales tax would add 50 cents to a $\$ 100$ purchase.

The Minnesota Department of Revenue (shown below) records the tax collected from 23 Minnesota jurisdictions that have enacted a local sales or use tax within the last 10 years. Most of these cities show continued sales growth.

A more specific comparison that includes three Minnesota cities that have adopted a .5 percent local option sales tax is also offered below. It is important to note that the tax does not add much to a consumer's purchase.

Decision-makers should decide on the best method to raise revenue - either an increase in property taxes or a 0.5 percent sales tax. Property taxes do not include a household's current income, which raises the financial burden of low-income or retired homeowners. Sales taxes raise revenues based on household expenditures, which excludes the basic necessities of food and clothing. In addition, a sales tax raises revenues from non-residents who shop in Elk River. Policymakers should carefully consider each of the above factors before making a decision about enacting a local sales tax.



Austin, tax started 2007


## These are examples of cities that enacted a local option sales tax.

Each city installed a 0.5 percent local option sales tax. Factors other than the tax appear to most affect sales. Convenience, customer service, overall pricing, loyalty, and product choice all play a factor in where people shop.

Increasingly, people are paying a local option sales tax, no matter where they shop. For example, if people leave Austin because of the tax, they will find that the cities of Rochester, Owatonna, and Albert Lea also have the same tax.

## APPENDIX A cont.

## Minnesota Local Sales \& Use Tax

## Total Collections

CY 2005-2013

| Local Entity | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albert Lea |  | \$672,514 | \$1,193,643 | \$1,295,287 | \$1,302,397 | \$1,417,881 | \$1,385,185 | \$1,361,956 | \$1,371,727 |
| Austin |  |  | 824,839 | 1,306,244 | 1,415,665 | 1,521,493 | 1,602,890 | 1,654,987 | 1,626,125 |
| Baxter |  | 269,460 | 1,907,480 | 1,817,395 | 1,814,425 | 1,812,195 | 1,842,188 | 2,003,212 | 2,120,411 |
| Bemidji |  | 1,423,560 | 1,690,976 | 1,688,220 | 1,749,687 | 1,846,889 | 1,962,085 | 1,962,300 | 2,189,580 |
| Brainerd |  |  | 556,740 | 830,840 | 850,614 | 829,980 | 839,153 | 883,124 | 881,244 |
| Clearwater |  |  |  | 16,142 | 143,050 | 170,377 | 301,999 | 182,010 | 188,439 |
| Cloquet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 695,762 |
| Duluth |  | 11,398,159 | 12,225,979 | 12,194,936 | 11,641,832 | 12,084,658 | 12,708,709 | 13,068,224 | 13,685,071 |
| Fergus Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,019,239 | 1,189,756 |
| Hermantown | \$1,153,669 | 1,137,678 | 1,193,037 | 1,109,705 | 1,127,223 | 1,134,116 | 1,169,932 | 1,224,434 | 2,089,558 |
| Hutchinson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,193,556 | 1,432,169 |
| Lanesboro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47,126 | 79,416 |
| Mankato | 4,386,026 | 4,128,429 | 4,334,356 | 4,388,499 | 4,381,403 | 4,520,952 | 4,702,420 | 4,881,939 | 4,974,848 |
| Marshall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 894,350 |
| Medford |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81,810 |
| Minneapolis | 28,556,270 | 28,493,948 | 30,425,381 | 30,108,225 | 27,603,226 | 27,913,705 | 30,759,503 | 32,561,414 | 33,548,740 |
| New Ulm | 843,201 | 886,988 | 939,425 | 933,519 | 939,757 | 993,663 | 1,022,880 | 1,062,888 | 1,233,243 |
| North Mankato |  |  |  | 49,057 | 393,426 | 463,065 | 488,382 | 568,365 | 614,733 |
| Owatonna |  |  | 1,240,622 | 1,946,356 | 2,092,829 | 1,886,147 | 1,224,809 | 15,330 | 7,459 |
| Proctor | 126,570 | 151,315 | 132,581 | 130,167 | 154,416 | 150,941 | 151,106 | 162,363 | 213,830 |
| Rochester | 8,732,500 | 9,255,831 | 9,833,630 | 9,482,871 | 9,635,634 | 9,319,670 | 9,658,017 | 10,071,132 | 10,428,422 |
| St. Cloud Area | 6,164,590 | 8,114,589 | 8,719,065 | 8,434,991 | 8,250,610 | 8,282,589 | 8,714,584 | 9,175,367 | 9,473,929 |
| St. Paul | 14,934,741 | 15,452,469 | 16,171,672 | 15,670,879 | 15,842,996 | 16,023,319 | 16,383,063 | 16,454,773 | 17,502,316 |
| Two Harbors | 240,134 | 265,108 | 270,767 | 254,739 | 229,402 | 265,545 | 311,437 | 284,653 | 270,934 |
| Willmar |  | 1,475,634 | 1,794,419 | 1,751,563 | 1,733,787 | 1,753,486 | 1,870,799 | 2,064,924 | 429,176 |
| Worthington |  |  |  |  | 414,264 | 717,875 | 804,606 | 802,609 | 842,602 |
| Cook Co | 1,074,550 | 1,079,651 | 1,181,755 | 443,333 | 996 | 767,942 | 1,145,291 | 1,258,563 | 1,444,164 |
| Hennepin Co |  |  | 24,573,566 | 29,082,574 | 28,030,758 | 28,864,673 | 31,063,329 | 32,344,624 | 34,249,703 |
| Metro Area Transit |  |  |  | 34,024,649 | 86,990,821 | 90,169,253 | 96,773,584 | 101,077,456 | 108,100,932 |

Source: Minnesota Department of Revenue, Tax Research Division, October 11, 2014

## APPENDIX B: DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

## Gross Sales

Gross sales include taxable sales and exempt businesses with sales and use tax permits. This is the most inclusive indicator of business activity for the reporting jurisdictions, but it can be misleading when used in comparisons. At times, non-taxable commodity items (e.g., gasoline) can have large price variations, creating huge swings in gross sales.

## Taxable Sales

Taxable sales are those sales subject to sales tax. Taxable sales exclude exempt items, items sold for resale, items sold for exempt purposes, and items sold to exempt organizations. For the purpose of this study, taxable sales were the focus of the analysis. For more information on what is taxed in Minnesota, see the "Minnesota Sales and Use Tax Instruction Booklet" available at http://www.revenue.state.mn.us/Forms_and_Instructions/sales_tax_booklet.pdf

## Taxable Retail and Service Sales

In this study and other retail trade analyses conducted by University of Minnesota Extension, the term "taxable retail and service sales" refers to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) numbers of 441 to 454 (retail) and 511 to 812 (most service industries) released by the Minnesota Department of Revenue for a geographic area.

## Current and Constant Dollar Sales

Current dollar (or "nominal dollar") sales are those reported by the state. No adjustment has been made for price inflation. In general, this measure of sales is not satisfactory for comparisons over long periods of time since it does not account for changes in population, inflation, or the state's economy. Constant dollar (or "real dollar") sales reflect changes in price inflation by adjusting current dollar sales according to the Consumer Price Index (CPI). Constant dollar sales indicate the real sales level with respect to a base year. This is a more realistic method of evaluating sales over time than current dollar comparisons, but it still does not take into consideration changes in population or the state's economy.

## Number of Businesses

The number of sales and use tax permit holders who filed one or more tax returns for the year.

## Index of Income

This index provides a relative measure of income, calculated by dividing local per capita income by state per capita income. The base is 1.00 . For example, a 1.20 index of income indicates that per capita income in the area is 20 percent above the state average.

## Potential Sales

Potential sales are an estimate of the amount of money spent on retail goods and services by residents of a county. It is the product of county population, state per capita sales, and the index of income. Potential sales for counties is similar to expected sales for cities. Potential sales, however, do not utilize a measure of average pulling power (like the typical pull factor used in the expected sales equation). Since a county is a relatively large region where retail business takes place, counties are compared without adjustments for trade area size.

## Actual Sales

For this study, the Minnesota Department of Revenue's 2015 sales data for Sherburne County provides the actual sales numbers used.

## Variance between Actual and Potential Sales

The variance between actual and expected sales is the difference in sales from the "norm" (i.e., the amount above or below the standard established by the expected sales formula). When actual sales exceed expected sales, the county has a "surplus" of retail sales. When actual sales fall short of expected sales, the county has a retail sales "leakage." Discrepancies between expected and actual sales occur for a variety of reasons. For this study, we use potential sales per merchandise group to create a first-cut estimate of residents' purchase activities.

## Cautions

## Gross Sales

Gross sales are a comprehensive measure of business activity, but it should be noted the numbers in this report are self-reported. Furthermore, gross sales are not audited by the State of Minnesota. It is believed gross sales figures are generally reliable, but there is the possibility of distortions, especially in smaller cities where misreporting may have occurred.

## Misclassification

Holders of sales and use tax permits select the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) category that best fits their business. Regardless of who makes this classification, errors are occasionally made. Also, sometimes a business will start out as one type but evolve over time to a considerably different type. Misclassifications can distort sales among business categories, especially in smaller cities. For example, a furniture store that is classified as a general merchandise store will under-report sales in the furniture store category and over-report sales in the general merchandise category.

## Suppressed Data

The sales data for merchandise categories that have less than four reporting firms are not reported. This is a measure taken by most states to protect the confidentiality of sales tax permit holders. Sales for suppressed retail categories are placed into the miscellaneous retail category (NAICS 999) and included in total sales but not total sales of a typical retail trade analysis. For this report, however, all taxable sales-including NAICS 999-are part of calculating the amount of special taxes collected.

## Consolidated Reporting

Vendors with more than one location in Minnesota have the option of filing a separate return for each location or filing one consolidated return for all locations. The consolidated return shows sales made, tax due, and location by city and county for each business. Data for consolidated filers are combined with data for single-location filers to produce the figures in this report. Occasionally, consolidated reports may not be properly deconstructed, and all sales for a company may be reported for one town or city. Whenever misreporting is discovered, the Minnesota Department of Revenue is contacted to clarify the situation.

# APPENDIX C: SALES PER CAPITA AND THRESHOLD LEVELS 

| $2015$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold level refers to the number of people per business, which can be used as a general guide for determining the "ertical mass" neceasary to support a business. These are broad averages for the state as a whoie and do not refect difterences in income, touriam, sagiomeration, establehment, etc. Further, the bushess counts are based on the number of saies tax returna fied and are converted to "tal-time equivalents." Multipling people per busibess by saies per caplta yelds averape saies per firm. In addition to state averapes, averapes for the non-metropoitan reglons were calcuiated by exciuding the seven county Minneapols-3t Pad metropoitan region. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business Activity / Store Type | People Per Business |  | Sales Per Capita |  |  |
| nacs | State | Non-Metro | State | Non-Metro | Elk River |
| RETAIL TRADE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 441 Vehicles, Parts | 2,008 | 1,459 | \$495.15 | \$486.19 | \$832.31 |
| 442 Furniture Stores | 3,225 | 3,143 | \$268.60 | \$176.68 | \$253.16 |
| 443 Electronics | 4,187 | 4,225 | \$295.00 | \$143.13 | \$40.16 |
| 444 Bulding Materials | 2,769 | 1,855 | \$1,010.15 | \$1,106.89 | \$3,288.49 |
| 445 Food and Beverage Stores | 1,603 | 1,419 | \$673.77 | \$557.88 | \$788.30 |
| 446 Health, Personal Stores | 3,118 | 3,383 | \$126.05 | \$79.52 | \$137.80 |
| 447 Gas/Converlene stores | 2,755 | 2,001 | \$218.84 | \$266.49 | \$261.74 |
| 448 Clothing \& Accessory Stores | 1,611 | 1,934 | \$180.58 | \$84.01 | \$47.61 |
| 451 Lelsure Goods | 1,585 | 1,417 | \$237.58 | \$168.91 | \$195.10 |
| 452 General Merchandise | 4,733 | 3,538 | \$1,054.45 | \$1,134.99 | \$1,784.35 |
| 453 Miscellaneous Merchandlse | 520 | 434 | \$289.42 | \$219.61 | \$518.81 |
| 454 Non-store Retal | 968 | 914 | \$99.38 | \$87.10 | \$113.96 |
| Retal Total |  |  | \$4,948.97 | \$4,511.40 | \$8,261.79 |
| INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| 511 Publishing industry | 10,330 | 12,717 | \$3.90 | \$1.41 |  |
| 512 Movle \& Recording industry | 11,081 | 18,787 | \$35.89 | \$24.37 |  |
| 515 Eroadcasting | 46,094 | 29,174 | \$13.63 | \$8.76 |  |
| 516 Info-Ihternet PublVrcst | 8,452 |  | \$357.50 | \$0.00 |  |
| 517 Telecommunications | 8,452 | 8,350 | \$357.50 | \$235.89 |  |
| 518 intemet Service | 13,782 | 25,831 | \$18.23 | \$1.17 |  |
| 519 Other Information Services | 5,388 | 5,701 | \$48.26 | \$41.22 |  |
| FINANCE AND INSURANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 522 Credit intermediation | 8,400 | 6,794 | \$28.39 | \$7.30 |  |
| 523 Securtes, Commoditles | 18,044 | 33,511 | \$2.53 | \$0.71 |  |
| 524 Insurance Carlers | 10,589 | 14,849 | \$0.78 | \$0.49 |  |
| 525 Funds, Trusts | 156,721 | 165,321 | \$0.76 | \$0.46 |  |
| REAL ESTATE AND RENTAL AND LEASING |  |  |  |  |  |
| 531 Real Estate | 2,424 | 3,005 | \$35.53 | \$30.96 |  |
| 532 Rental, Leasing Services | 3,669 | 3,285 | \$162.88 | \$70.42 |  |
| 533 Lessors Nonftrianclal Assets | 249,329 | 309,977 | \$0.32 | \$0.29 |  |
| PROFESSIONAL, SCIENTIFIC, AND TECHNICAL SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |
| 541 Prot, Sclentifc, Techrical Services | 479 | 731 | \$172.88 | \$80.31 |  |
| Mgint Or Companies | 26,757 | 48,624 | \$32.82 | \$4.30 |  |
| ADMINISTRATIVE \& SUPPORT; WASTE MGMT \& REMEDIATION SVCS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 561 Admin, Support Services | 575 | 599 | \$275.59 | \$141.88 |  |
| 562 Waste Mgnt, Remediation | 13,645 | 9,538 | \$2.58 | \$2.25 |  |
| EDUCATIONAL SVCS; HEALTH \& SOCIAL ASSISTANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 611 Educatonal Services | 4,149 | 4,460 | \$18.94 | \$15.52 |  |
| 621 Health -Ambulatory Care | 1,049 | 1,300 | \$18.76 | \$15.05 |  |
| 622 Health -Hosplitals | 37,315 | 25,049 | \$16.41 | \$15.29 |  |
| 623 Health-Nursing,Residental Care | 10,468 | 8,406 | \$2.96 | \$2.89 |  |
| 624 Health -Soclal Assistance | 11,015 | 11,221 | \$3.08 | \$4.68 |  |
| ARTS, ENTERTAINMENT \& RECREATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| 711 Performing Art, Spectator Sports | 2,221 | 2,610 | \$71.05 | \$12.64 |  |
| 712 Museums, Historical Sites | 30,305 | 20,326 | \$4.29 | \$1.79 |  |
| 713 Amusement, Gambiling, Recr | 2,396 | 1,945 | \$301.18 | \$143.54 | \$135.74 |
| ACCOMMODATION \& FOOD SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |
| 721 Accommodation | 2,170 | 1,196 | \$386.21 | \$369.99 | NA |
| 722 Food Services, Drinking Places | 487 | 473 | \$1,578.36 | \$1,173.73 | \$1,659.40 |
| OTHER SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |
| 811 Repalr, Maintenance | 651 | 468 | \$250.79 | \$264.03 | \$366.30 |
| 812 Personal, Laundry Service | 636 | 566 | \$155.04 | \$54.26 | \$77.28 |
| 813 Religlous, CMic, Professlonal Orgs | 2,643 | 2,138 | \$33.86 | \$37.29 |  |
| 814 Private Househoids | 88,472 | 95,378 | \$0.20 | \$0.16 |  |
| 921 Exec., Legisla, Other Govt | 7,704 | 4,460 | \$51.12 | \$64.81 |  |
| TOTAL RETAIL AND SERVICES |  |  | \$9,033.73 | \$7,339.34 |  |
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