

Laughton Properties' Full Response:

I now see your confusion. Since we so often have to provide estimates in lieu of actual work completed, it seems the on-site manager made up sample invoices to be used and inadvertently used the same address for both Rafael Guzman and Trinity Painting. We hadn't noticed that before. We do a great deal of work with both of these vendors and they have no relation to each other at all. In fact, it appears that the site supervisor didn't notice this error either. You do, however, know that Trinity Painting exists since someone from your office talked to the owner yesterday afternoon.

I cannot attest to what RSC Associates did to the unit. I only provided copies of work orders performed by RSC in-house staff that were provided to me by RSC, adding the hours worked and hourly rate as given to me by Doug Bailey. Our inspector deemed the unit needed a full paint. Apparently RSC thought otherwise. However, all of this is moot since our invoice copies were not final or actual, only estimates, and that information was relayed to Sabrina on numerous occasions, both in writing and verbally.

If there are any questions regarding the final work completed it will now be resolved between Sabrina and RSC Associates as we no longer have any involvement with this property. Sabrina has, however, as I related to you yesterday, been sent a final accounting from our office and does not owe the property any money.

This does not change my decision, however, that I have no intention of going on camera. I will, however, issue the following statement: