FILED

APR 16 1953

EASTERN DESTRUCT OF CASE OF CASE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL HARRIS, et al.,

11.

NO. CIV. S-90-394 LKK

Plaintiffs,

Egger Back 18

ORDER

COUNTY OF PLACER, et al.,

Defendants.

on April 4, 1963

who allege that the conditions of their confinement violate the U.S. Constitution. The complaint was filed on March 29, 1990. On July 20, 1990, the class was certified pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) to include all prisoners in Placer County Jails since March 21, 1990.

The parties have negotiated a settlement of their claims. On October 8, 1992, the court ordered defendants to post copies of a proposed consent decree and allowed class members until November 27, 1992, to file written objections with the court. The

parties have certified that the proposed decree was duly posted.

On January 29, 1993, Magistrate Judge Nowinski filed his findings and recommendations recommending that this court strike paragraph IV(G) of the proposed order (page 6, lines 19-26) relating to safety cells, and approve the order lodged by the parties as so modified. Each party was provided 10 days after service by which to file written objections. Neither party has objected to these findings and recommendations.

On April 9, 1993, the proposed order was inadvertently filed without a ruling by this court as to the findings and recommendations of the presiding magistrate judge.

Accordingly, for good cause, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The magistrate judge's January 29, 1993 Findings and Recommendations are ADOPTED in full;
- 2. The order lodged by the parties and filed by the court on April 9, 1993 is APPROVED except that paragraph IV(G) of the proposed order (page 6, lines 19-26), relating to the "safety cell" issue, is STRICKEN. Plaintiffs with complaints of non-compliance with the order are specifically directed to paragraph VII (A) and (B) relating to the procedure for seeking remedies for decree violations.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 14, 1993.

MAWRENCE K. KARLTON CHIEF JUDGE EMERITUS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Ź

27 | 28 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APR 9 1993

BY CEPUTY OLERK

MICHAEL HARRIS, et. al.,

CIV S-90-394 LKK PAN

Plaintiffs,

VS.

<u>ORDER</u>

COUNTY OF PLACER, et. al.,

Defendants.

I. INTRODUCTION

In settling the above-captioned action, the parties have agreed to a stipulated judgment (hereafter the "Judgment") to resolve all issues raised by this lawsuit. The terms of this Judgment are set forth at length herein. All parties agree and understand that this Judgment is to have no res judicata, collateral estoppel, or other binding effect outside the terms of this Judgment.

The intent of the parties is that inmates with 42 U.S.C. §1983 claims, or other viable claims, not encompassed by this Judgment, will not be precluded from filing suit as they ordinarily would. Only those claims that are governed by this Judgment will be affected by the procedures set forth in Section VII. On the other hand, claims that have nothing to do with this Judgment will not benefit from its terms and provisions.

II. SCOPE OF THE JUDGMENT

This Judgment encompasses the present Placer County Main
Jail and the Minimum Security Barracks in Auburn, California, the
Honor Work Camp at Whitmore as well as the Tahoe City Jail where
applicable. This Judgment may refer to all of these jail
facilities from time to time as the "Placer County Jail system."
This Judgment encompasses the previously defined class (hereafter
"inmate" or the "inmates": all present and future prisoners in
the Placer County Jail system). The Judgment binds the present
defendants, their agents and successors (at times referred to
herein as "the County")

This Judgment does not contemplate any paid monitoring of the terms and conditions of the Judgment. Nothing in this Judgment would preclude attorneys' fees from being paid in accordance with law in the event that enforcement of this Judgment pursuant to Section VII resulted in litigation.

III. PERIOD OF THE JUDGMENT

All provisions of this Judgment shall remain in effect until modified or terminated by order of the court. Any party may seek to modify or terminate this Judgment pursuant to the standards set forth in <u>Rufo v. Inmates of Suffolk County Jail</u>, __U.S.__, 112 S.Ct. 748, 116 L.Ed 2d 867 (1992).

IV. SUBSTANTIVE TERMS

- A. Population Cap
- 1. Defendants shall not house any inmate in a facility

encompassed by this Judgment who is not given an assigned housing bed. By the terms of this Judgment, defendants are enjoined from sleeping any inmate on the floor.

 2. At present, the Main Jail has a State Board of Corrections (BOC) capacity of 344; the Minimum Security Barracks have a maximum assigned bed capacity of 156, the Whitmore Honor Camp has a maximum bed capacity of 86 for the six months it is in operation, the Tahoe City Jail has a rated capacity of 5. As to the Tahoe City Jail, inmates shall be held for no more than forty-eight hours, except by voluntary written agreement of an inmate to stay more than forty-eight hours, and Trustees may continue to be housed in the Trustee Dorm. The rated capacity for specific cells or groups of cells within the Main Jail and other facilities are set forth in Attachment 1 herein.

If the County of Placer desires to add housing beds in a facility or within specific cells or units in the main jail facility, the following provisions shall apply:

- (a) In no event shall an increase in housing beds take place unless an increase in rated capacity is authorized by the State Board of Corrections;
- (b) Prior to filing a formal application to the State Board of Corrections to increase the rated capacity of any facility, Defendants shall contact Paul Persons, in writing, relating that it is the intention of the County of Placer to add housing beds to a specific facility. Any of Plaintiff's counsel, may, within 15 days of the written contract, then schedule a meet and confer with County officials regarding the proposed expansion of housing

- beds. The meet and confer will be held within a reasonable time from the date of the written contact;
- (c) If the issues discussed at the meet and confer are not resolved, the County may then proceed with its application to the State Board of Corrections and the Plaintiff's counsel may proceed as they believe appropriate;
- (d) No attorneys fees are awardable either to the County or to the Plaintiff's counsel for time expended in the meet and confer.
- The Sheriff of Placer County is authorized by this Judgment to release or refuse to accept inmates into the jail facilities affected by this Judgment beginning whenever said facilities, or any one of them, is within ten percent of being filled to maximum capacity as defined by paragraphs IV (A)(2) The Sheriff shall release or refuse to accept inmates into the facilities, or any one of them, whenever the facilities, or any one of them, is filled to capacity as defined by paragraphs IV (A)(2) above. Specifically, with respect to the Main Jail the Sheriff is also authorized by this Judgment to release or refuse to accept inmates into identifiable units within the Main Jail whenever said identifiable unit is within ten percent of its specific BOC rated capacity; the Sheriff shall release, or refuse to accept, inmates into the identifiable units within the Main Jail whenever the identifiable units are filled to capacity as defined by paragraphs IV (A)(2) above and in Attachment 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

B. Law Library

The Placer County custodial Law Library facility will be located at the Main Jail. The agreed upon law library inventory is attached to this Judgment as Attachment 2. The defendants agree to maintain and properly update the materials set forth in Attachment 2 consistent with standard library practices.

All inmates shall have access to the law library located at the Main Jail. Pro per inmates shall have access to the library at least five days per week. All other inmates may have access to the law library within 48 hours of their request, excluding weekends and holidays. Inmates shall not be discouraged from using the law library. Inmates may agree to have books brought to them in lieu of visiting the library itself; however, that matter is at the discretion of the inmate. Inmates who abuse their law library rights/privileges, i.e., inmates who use the library for other than a bona fide attempt at legal research/writing, may have their access to the library curtailed.

C. Medical, Dental, Mental Health Care

Medical, Dental and Mental Health Care is presently being provided to inmates of the Placer County Jail system by California Forensic Medical Group, Inc. under a contract with the County. At the present time, these services are accredited by the California Medical Association (CMA). Defendants will make reasonable good faith efforts to maintain the CMA Accreditation for the services provided in the Placer County Jail system.

D. Food, Visiting and Clothing

Food, visiting and clothing will be provided to the inmates

in accordance with Sections 1246, 1249, 1260, 1262 and 1062 of Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations, except that Whitmore inmates shall have at least 1 1/2 hours of visiting time on one occasion each week pursuant to a weekend visiting schedule established by the facility commander.

E. Recreation

All inmates shall be provided access to outdoor exercise on an average of one hour per day, five (5) days per week, except inmates in disciplinary lockdown, administrative segregation, and the classification unit. Inmates in the classification unit are not anticipated to remain in that unit for more than three days. Inmates shall ordinarily have access to the dayrooms areas for twelve (12) hours each day, with the exception of inmates in disciplinary lockdown. Inmates shall be provided access to indoor exercise during inclement weather.

F. Probable Cause Hearings

Defendants will provide prompt probable cause determinations as that term is defined by federal law.

G. Safety Cells

The court will retain jurisdiction to finally adjudicate the safety cell issue. The safety cell issue is stayed pending a final decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in the case of Anderson v. County of Kern. The staying of this issue will not preclude entry of a final judgment. If necessary by reversal of Anderson v. County of Kern, the case may be reopened to deal with the safety cell issue.

V. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

2_,5

 Attorneys for the inmates shall receive attorneys' fees for their work through the time of entry of final judgment. Total attorneys' fees and costs are agreed to be \$47,576.00.

VI. ABANDONED CLAIMS

Any claim that the inmates have made in this litigation that is not expressly referenced in this Judgment have been abandoned.

VII. REMEDIES

A. <u>Judicial Remedies</u>

A violation of the substantive terms of this Judgment shall not be initially remediable by a contempt action. Any party who wishes judicial enforcement of the terms of this Judgment shall seek an order of the court enforcing the particular terms(s) at issue. Violation of the enforcement order may be remedied by contempt. All appropriate remedies other than contempt may accompany an enforcement order. The parties shall not seek to enforce the terms of this Judgment without first having attempted to exhaust the administrative remedies below.

B. Administrative Remedies

Attachment 3 contains the procedures for inmate grievances set forth in the Sheriff's custody policy regarding Inmate Grievances. Those procedures shall govern the processing of all administrative grievances except where supplemented herein. Except in bona fide emergency situations, whenever any inmate has information that he or she believes to disclose a violation of this Judgment, he or she may set forth that information (a grievance) in writing to the Commander of the involved jail facility, who shall cause an investigation thereof to be made as

soon as reasonably practicable, but in any event within five (5) days following the receipt of such written statement. Grievance forms shall be available to the inmates at all times upon request, and shall be of a type that permits the inmate to retain a copy of the grievance submitted. In the alternative, the administrative process may be initiated by an attorney for the inmate. No judicial remedy may be sought until the expiration of twenty (20) days from the filing of the grievance with a facility employee, unless the inmate has received a final administrative decision prior to the expiration of twenty days.

In an emergency situation, in which resort to the administrative system would likely result in serious bodily harm to the inmate, the inmate must make his complaint known to a facility employee, in writing, if possible, before resorting to any judicial remedy.

VIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Judgment, including any action brought pursuant to Section VII, above.

?6

IX. POSTING

This Judgment with its attachments shall be posted in each of the jail facilities encompassed by the Judgment. The posting shall include the names, addresses and phone numbers of the attorneys representing the plaintiff class.

Χ. FINAL COURT APPROVAL

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

36

27 28

Because the action herein is a class action, the trial court must finally approve the settlement after a reasonable time has elapsed for class comment or objection. The procedure to be followed in giving the class notice of the proposed settlement as well as those procedures to be followed in securing court approval of this settlement shall be issued in subsequent order.

THE FOREGOING IS AGREED TO AND APPROVED BY COUNSEL FOR ALL PARTIES

12 13

Paul W. Comiskey, Paul T. Persons, Richard P. Herman

and Dan Stormer

By: Paul T. Persons

Lyle Rose; County Counsel By: Gerald O. Carden, Senior

Deputy County Counsel

I RECOMMENDED THIS ORDER

Dated:____

21

IT IS SO ORDERED

District Court

Peter A. Nowinski,

District Court

Magistrate, United States

1 ATTACHMENT 1 2 PLACER COUNTY JAIL FACILITIES STATE BOARD OF CORRECTIONS RATED CAPACITY 3 4 Main Jail 5 Housing Unit Sex · Rated Beds 6 Α Male В Male 7 C Male D Male 16 8 E Male 16 F Female 16 9 G Female 24 Н Male 92 10 I Male 44 J Male 32 11 Male 92 12 344 Minimum Security 1.3 14 Housing Unit Rated Beds 15 302A Male 62 303A Male 62 16 303B Female <u>32</u> 17 156 Whitmore Honor Work Camp 18 19 Sex Rated Beds 20 Male 86 21 Tahoe City Jail Rated Beds 22 23

24

25

36

27 28

4

4

4

5

1 ATTACHMENT 2 LAW LIBRARY 2 Wests Annotated California Codes (complete set) 3 Witkin, Criminal Law and Procedure 4 Witkin, California Evidence 5 California Criminal Forms & Instructions, Bankroft-Whitney 6. CALJIC 7 Wests United States Code Annotated 8 Constitution Title 28, sections 2241 to End 9 Title 42, sections 1891 - 2010 West Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (paperback) 10 11 West California Rules of Court (paperback) West Federal Criminal and Sentencing Rules (paperback) 12 CEB Criminal Procedure 13 14 CEB Appeals and Writs in Criminal Cases 15 Legal Research in a Nutshell, West Publishing 16 Criminal Procedure in a Nutshell, West Publishing 17 Blacks Law Dictionary Sokol, Federal Habeas Corpus 18 19 West California Reporter (all volumes) 20 West Federal Second Reporter (Vol. 500 -21 Shephard's California Citations 22 West Supreme Court Reporter (1980-23 Decisions of United States Supreme Court (Summaries 1962-) 24 Prisoners Union - Pre-Trial Legal Materials 25 Prisoners Union - Post Conviction Legal Materials 26 Prisoners Union - Jail/Prison Conditions Legal Materials 27 Title 15, California Code of Regulations, BOC

INMATE GRIEVANCES

I. All inmates shall have the right to appeal and have resolved grievances related to any condition of their confinement.

Exceptions:

- A. Legal decisions as directed by the court
- B. Inmate disciplinary action, as a specific hearing procedure is provided.
- II. When an inmate has a grievance, a duty officer will provide a grievance form to him/her.
- III. There are four levels at which an inmate grievance may be resolved. Every attempt will be made to resolve the grievance at the lowest possible level.
 - A. Level 1 Floor Officer

The grievance will be discussed with the inmate to assess the problem and determine a possible solution/resolution.

- 1. If a grievance can be resolved at this level, the floor officer shall complete the Level 1 section of the grievance form with his name, the date, and a description of the method of resolution.
- 2. If the grievance cannot be resolved, the floor officer shall complete the Level 1 section of the grievance form with his name, the date, and "No Resolution/Referred Level 2".
- B. Level 2 Shift Supervisor

If the grievance cannot be resolved by a floor officer (Level 1), the shift supervisor will review the grievance.

- 1. If a grievance can be resolved at this level, the shift supervisor shall complete the Level 2 section of the grievance form with his name, the date, and a description of the method of resolution.
- 2. If the grievance cannot be resolved, the shift su-

pervisor shall complete the Level 2 section of the grievance form with his name, the date, and "No Resolution/Referred to Level 3".

C. Level 3 - Jail Supervisor

If the grievance cannot be resolved by the shift supervisor (Level 2), the Jail Supervisor will review the grievance.

- If a grievance can be resolved at this level, the Jail Supervisor shall complete the Level 3 section of the grievance form with his name, the date, and a description of the method of resolution.
- 2. If the grievance cannot be resolved, the Jail Supervisor shall complete the Level 3 section of the grievance form with his name, the date, and "No Resolution/Referred to Level 4".

D. Level 4 - Corrections Commander

- If the grievance cannot be resolved by the Jail Supervisor (Level 3), the Corrections Commander will review the inmate's grievance and make the final resolution.
- The Level 4 section of the grievance form shall be completed by the Corrections Commander with his name, the date, and a description of the method of resolution.

IV. Special Information

A. Medical

- 1. All grievances regarding medical treatment will be referred to the jail nurse through Level 1 (floor officer) personnel, with the notation by the Level 1 officer, "Medical Treatment Grievance/Referred to Medical Staff".
- 2. The jail medical staff will respond to the grievance in the Level 2 section of the form.

B. Food

- 1. All grievances regarding food will be referred to the Central Kitchen through Level 1 (floor officer) personnel, with the notation by the Level 1 officer, "Food Grievance/Referred to Central Kitchen".
- The director of the Central Kitchen will respond to the grievance in the Level 2 section of the form.

V. Time limits

Each level must respond in writing to an inmate's grievance within the following time limits:

- A. Level 1 24 hours (Except Medical, which is daily)
- B. Level 2 3 days (excluding weekends and holidays)
- C. Level 3 7 days (excluding weekends and holidays)
- D. Level 4 10 days (excluding weekends and holidays)

VI. Right to Appeal

If an inmate is dissatisfied with the resolution of his/her grievance, an appeal may be made to the next highest Level by filing another Inmate Grievance form. This form shall be directed to the appropriate Level and will be accompanied by any other Inmate Grievance form(s) pertaining to the specific grievance.

VII. Writs

Nothing in this procedure will restrict an inmate's legal right to file a writ with the court or seek any other form of legal relief.

VII. Completed Inmate Grievance forms

- A. A photocopy of the completed Inmate Grievance form will be returned to the inmate.
- B. The original copy of the Inmate Grievance form shall be filed in the inmate's arrest file as a part of the permanent record.

C. A photocopy of the Inmate Grievance form shall also be filed in the Jail Administrator's master file.