### STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Court of Appeals No. NEW FILING

Ingham Circuit Court No. 13-944-NZ

D. ETTA WILCOXON,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
v
STATE BOARD OF CANVASSERS,
Defendant-Appellant.

STATE OF MICHIGAN) )ss COUNTY OF INGHAM)

#### AFFIDAVIT OF CHRISTOPHER M. THOMAS

Christopher M. Thomas, being first duly sworn, deposes and says as follows:

- I bring this affidavit in support of Defendant's Emergency Application for Leave and Emergency Motion for Stay.
- 2. I have been employed by the Secretary of State as Director of Elections since June 21, 1981 and in such capacity serve as Director of the Bureau of Elections and Secretary to the Board of State Canvassers.
- 3. I am personally knowledgeable about provisions of the Michigan Election Law regarding the canvassing of ballots.
- 4. On August 6, 2013, a citywide primary election was held in Detroit for the purpose of determining the nominees who would advance to the November 5, 2013 general election for the offices of Mayor, Clerk, City Council, and Police Commissioner. Election night results compiled by the city were and continue to be

unofficial until such time as there is an official certification of the results. County canvassing boards have 14 days after an election to canvass an election. MCL 168.822(1). A recent amendment to Michigan Election Law eliminated all local boards of canvassers and moved all election canvassing to the 83 boards of canvassers, beginning with the August 6 primary.

- 5. On August 6, 2013 there were 10 Wayne County jurisdictions with elections. Detroit was one of the ten.
- 6. Questions arose on the final day of the Wayne County canvass concerning the tabulation of write-in votes and the effect of incomplete records on the race for mayor. These questions could not be thoroughly addressed and resolved on August 20, 2013, the last day of the canvass.
- 7. Consequently, the Wayne County Board of Canvassers voted unanimously (4-0) not to certify the Detroit primary. The Wayne County Board of Canvassers pointed to alleged errors in the tabulation of write-in votes by election inspectors in the mayoral race as the reason for its failure to certify the election results for the City of Detroit. According to the "August 6, 2013 Election Canvass Report" prepared by the Wayne County Clerk:

The [Wayne County] Board of Canvassers approved name variations for write-in candidates for the Cities of Dearborn and Detroit. Write-in results were determined by the number of hash marks each candidate received, including those with approved name variations.

\* \* \*

City of Detroit – [The Wayne County Clerk's] staff reviewed 614 Election Day and AV Poll Books. 137 Election Day and 42 AV Precincts did not have the required hash marks. In addition, the write-in portion of AV 117 was torn out of the poll book. (Attachment 1.)

- 8. Although the Wayne County Board of Canvassers had clear legal authority during the course of the county canvass to summon appropriate personnel (including election inspectors), to require that personnel to produce election materials, to open and examine the contents of ballot containers or other sealed records, and the duty to correct obvious mathematical errors in the tallies and returns, they did not do so. MCL 168.823(1)-(3).
- 9. When the Board of County Canvassers fails to complete the canvass within the required 14-day period, Michigan Election Law requires them to immediately deliver "all records and other information pertaining thereto" to the Secretary of the Board of State Canvassers, and requires the Board of State Canvassers to "make the necessary determinations and certify the results within 10 days." MCL 168.822(2).
- 10. On August 22, 2013, certain election records and materials -- poll books, statements of votes, the Wayne County Board of Canvassers' canvass report and recommendations prepared by staff of the Wayne County Clerk and various reports generated by that staff -- were transferred to Sally Williams, Director of Elections Liaison Division, Department of State. This transfer of the canvass occurred by operation of law and started the 10-day period to complete the canvass. MCL 168.822(2).
- 11. The certification of the mayoral primary using the canvass report presented to the Wayne County Board of Canvassers would have resulted in a

massive disenfranchisement of voters in a magnitude never seen in my tenure as State Election Director. The magnitude of the disenfranchisement would have been more than 24,000 valid write-in votes.

- 12. This election included an extraordinary situation in the case of the primary race for Mayor of Detroit, with a major write-in effort by one of the candidates.
- 13. Historically, it is extremely rare for a declared write-in candidate to receive enough votes to be nominated as one of two candidates to move on to the November election.
- 14. A large-scale write-in effort presents major challenges, not just for the candidate, but for election officials at every level. Requirements with respect to recording and tabulating write-in votes involve several detailed steps. This poses particular challenges in training election workers, in completing the unofficial election night canvass, and in completing the final canvass and certification—all of which become substantial undertakings.
- 15. The election workers and staff of both the City of Detroit and the Wayne County Clerk's office developed structured instructions and procedures to be used in processing the write-in votes.
- 16. During the State's initial review, mistakes were discovered with the processing and recording of write-in votes, at both the City and County level. At the city level, although precinct workers were instructed to show their work in tallying the write-in compilations, some did not do as required. MCL 168.804 clearly

contemplates that the statement of votes will show the tally in addition to the results.

17. Michigan Election Law does not mandate the use of a particular type of "hash mark," tally mark, check mark, or other symbol for keeping count, but rather provides:

In the canvass of votes cast for candidates for public office, the board shall first select and count the straight tickets and shall cause to be credited on the tally sheets the number to each candidate voted for on a straight ticket. All other ballots shall be counted and tallied in such manner as will best insure accuracy and promptness in determining the result, and the inspectors of election shall see that proper credit is given on the tally sheets to the candidates voted for on such ballots. All computations and tallies shall be made upon the tally sheets used at such election. (Emphasis added.) MCL 168.804.

See attachment 2 for tallies that should be acceptable.

- 18. The county canvassers were faced with a recommendation to not count any write-in votes if the compilation worksheets were not completed using hash marks. This was recommended even though the precinct tabulator tapes recorded a number of darkened write-in ovals in the office of Mayor substantially greater than the number of write-in votes recommended by the canvass report for write-in candidates. These tabulator tape totals indicate that write-in votes were recorded and detected by tabulator machines. Prior to the completion of the county canvass, there is broad authority and sufficient tools outlined in Michigan Election Law to conduct a thorough canvass and correct any errors that may have occurred. MCL168.823. See attachments 2 and 3.
- 19. Prior to the August 27, 2013 meeting of the Board of State Canvassers, staff for the Board of State Canvassers reviewed the poll books, statement of votes,

and tally sheets for the 184 Election Day and absent voter precincts at issue and found the following:

- Precincts in which poll workers <u>correctly documented</u> the number of write-in votes, and the number of write-in votes recorded on the statement of votes was <u>equal to or less than</u> the number of write-in votes indicated on the tabulator tape.
- Precincts in which poll workers <u>correctly documented</u> the number of write-in votes, but the number of write-in votes recorded on the statement of votes <u>exceeded</u> the number of write-in votes indicated on the tabulator tape.
- Precincts in which poll workers wrote the total number of write-in votes but <u>did not document</u> (through hash marks or otherwise) how they kept count, and the number of write-in votes recorded on the statement of votes was <u>equal to or less than</u> the number of write-in votes indicated on the tabulator tape.
- Precincts in which poll workers wrote the total number of write-in votes but <u>did not document</u> (through hash marks or otherwise) how they kept count, but the number of write-in votes recorded on the statement of votes <u>exceeded</u> the number of write-in votes indicated on the tabulator tape.
- Precincts in which the poll workers <u>did provide sufficient documentation</u> through markings other than hash marks such as an X, a check mark or an diagonal slash mark, but the county canvass report presented to the county canvassers, but not approve, recommended not counting any of those write-in votes.
- 20. On Tuesday, August 27, 2013, a Board of State Canvassers meeting was held. The Board received the report of staff's preliminary findings and voted unanimously to allow staff to finish the examination of the records from the write-in votes that were cast in the August 6<sup>th</sup> Detroit primary, including opening up ballot containers and examining any ballots, if necessary. The Board took these actions under the authority granted to them in Michigan Election Law as it became the Board's duty to continue and complete the canvass. MCL 168.822(2).

- 21. The Board of State Canvassers' process began in the afternoon on August 27, 2013 and concluded at noon on August 29, 2013. The State canvass was supervised by Michigan Department of State, Bureau of Elections staff who specialize in election administration in Michigan. The staff members overseeing the canvass are the same staff members who train Michigan's county, city and townships clerks on how to run elections and the 83 boards of county canvassers on how to conduct canvasses and recounts. All appropriate steps were taken when unsealing and re-sealing ballot containers to thoroughly document the process and to ensure any recount that has or will be requested after the election is certified will not be impeded. There are specific processes for maintaining the chain of custody involving sealed ballot containers and documentation of seal numbers in poll books which also remain sealed. See attachment 4 Summary of Canvass Processes.

  Plaintiff Wilcoxon has already filed a recount petition with the Wayne County Clerk and nothing done in the State canvass has adversely affected her petition.
- 22. Recount is a term of art that means an entirely new examination of the certified results (pollbooks, statements of votes, ballots, etc.) in affected precincts. In a recount, the election results <u>certified</u> by the county canvassing board may be set aside and a new vote total, the results of the recount, would be certified by the board responsible for conducting the recount. Here, there are no <u>certified</u> results because <u>no</u> board has completed the canvass and certified the results.
- 23. The Board of State Canvassers has NOT conducted a recount of the Detroit Mayoral primary. On the contrary, it is impossible to even schedule a

recount in Michigan before there is canvass that actually certifies the election. No
Detroit primary races have been certified. It is common to open ballot boxes and
retabulate ballots during a canvass. The Wayne County Board of Canvassers' report
states at least two instances where entire precincts were retabulated during the
county canvass, which demonstrates that the Wayne County Board of Canvassers
took follow-up action for some precincts during their portion of the canvass.

- 24. The Board of State Canvassers must have the same authority as a board of county canvassers to complete a canvass once a county has failed to certify in 14 days under MCL 168.822 and 823. Without the same authority to count ballots that had not been counted and to correct totals and reports, something the Wayne County Board of Canvassers was unwilling to do, the Board of State Canvassers would be forced to disenfranchise 24,746 Detroit voters. The poll workers in some Detroit precincts failed to show their tally work and Wayne County failed to ensure that the voters in those precincts were not disenfranchised by the errors of the poll workers.
- 25. Consistent with the Board of State Canvassers' motions, 385 precincts where the totals were in question were reviewed and tabulated in order to ascertain an accurate count of the write-in ballots cast. The unofficial results released by Detroit city clerk on election night showed Mr. Duggan receiving more than 44,000 write-in votes votes in which Mr. Duggan's name was spelled correctly ("Mike Duggan"). The recommend Wayne County canvass report showed Mr. Duggan receiving only 23,970 write-in votes. Preliminary figures from State canvass of the

write-in votes show Mr. Duggan receiving 48,716 -- an astounding 24,746 additional valid votes.

- 26. A Board meeting has been scheduled to certify the results within the deadline on September 3, 2013 at Cadillac Place, in Detroit, Michigan. If any order is entered to interfere with this schedule, the Board will be unable to meet the 10-day deadline and will lose jurisdiction to canvass the Detroit primary. Michigan Election Law does not contemplate this occurrence. Note: the 10<sup>th</sup> day after taking possession of the Wayne County records is September 1. MCL 168.13 provides that when a deadline falls on a holiday or weekend, it moves to the next business day. In this case the next business day is Tuesday, September 3.
- 27. Delays in canvassing the Detroit primary will shortly have adverse impact on the conduct of the November general election. After the primary is certified there are a number recounts that have been requested and will likely take a few weeks to complete. Under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voter Act (UOCAVA) and Michigan Election Law, MCL 168.759a, absentee ballots must be prepared and delivered to the County Clerk by the 47th day prior to Election Day, or by September 19, 2013. Absent voter ballots must be available for distribution to all voters, and especially military and overseas voters, no later than the 45th day before the November Election, or by September 21, 2013. The deadline for distribution of absent voter ballots is governed by both the Federal Military and Overseas Voters Empowerment Act, 42 USC 1973ff-1(a)(8), and Michigan Election Law, MCL 168.714 and 759a.

Plaintiff Wilcoxon's allegation that my 'personal politics' and 'personal 28. affiliations with Mike Duggan' have affected my decisions is untruthful, absurd, and without foundation.

Further, affiant sayeth not.

Christopher M. Thomas Director, Bureau of Elections

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this <u>30</u> day of August, 2013

**Notary Public** 

Eaton County, Michigan Acting in Ingham County, Michigan My commission expires: 7-31-2020

### **ATTACHMENT 1**

### August 6, 2013 Election Canvass Report

This election included nine (9) local communities – Allen Park, Dearborn, Detroit, Ecorse, Hamtramck, River Rouge, Romulus, Taylor and Trenton and one (1) School District – South Redford.

- 1. The canvass began on Wednesday, August 7th,
- 2. Staff canvassed 745 precincts. Included were 603 Election Day precincts and 142 Absent Voter Counting Boards. The City of Trenton processed their Absent Voter Ballots at the polls.

All Out-County Poll Books and tapes required were received on arrival. The City of Detroit delivered 482 Precinct Poll Books and 125 AV Poll Books.

The Detroit inventory was completed on Wednesday afternoon. Staff contacted the City of Detroit and we received the balance of 7 Precinct Poll Books on Thursday morning.

#### 3. Plus/Minus

#### **Out-County**

- > 116 Election Day Precincts and AV Counting Boards balanced to "0".
- > 5 precincts were unbalanced (no reasonable explanation-Dearborn, Hamtramck, Taylor).
- 9 precincts were off by 1 (reasonable explanation Dearborn-6, Hamtramck-1, Taylor-2).
- > 4 AV precincts were off by 1 (Dearborn-2, Ecorse-1 and Hamtramck-1).
- > 1 Election Day precinct was off by 2 (Dearborn).

### **Detroit Election Day Precincts**

- > 430 City of Detroit Election Day Precincts balanced to "0".
- > 58 Election Day Precincts were off by 3 or less.
- > 1Election Day Precinct was off by 4 and had a reasonable explanation.
- Re-ran ballots for 2 precincts (Election Day Precincts 112 and 198), because the tapes were incomplete and they balanced to "0"

➤ 6 Election Day Precinct tapes had to be printed, because they were not delivered to the canvass (65, 90, 97, 163, 167, and 486) and they balanced to "0".

#### **Detroit Absent Voter Precincts**

- > 42 AV Counting Boards balanced to "0"
- > 83 AV Counting Boards were off by 3 or less.
- 4. Completed poll book check for seals and signatures. The following communities were contacted by staff to obtain missing seal numbers for the ballot containers:
  - > 1 City of Dearborn (Election Day Precincts)
  - > 3 City of Detroit (2-Election Day Precincts and 1-AV Precinct)

The following communities were contacted by staff to obtain signatures of election inspectors that certified that the ballot containers were properly sealed:

- ➤ 2 City of Allen Park (Election Day Precincts)
- > 3 City of Dearborn (Election Day Precincts)
- ▶ 1 City of Hamtramck( Election Day Precincts)
- > 1 City of Romulus (Election Day Precincts)
- > 1 City of Taylor (Election Day Precincts)
- > 23 City of Detroit (13 Election Day and 10 AV)
- 5. Provisional Ballot Reports were received as follows:
  - > 1 valid Envelope Ballot in River Rouge
  - > 2 valid Envelope Ballots in Detroit
  - > 77 invalid Envelope Ballots in Detroit.
- The Board of Canvassers approved name variations for write-in candidates for the Cities of Dearborn and Detroit.

Write-in results were determined by the number of hash marks each candidate received, including those with approved name variations.

- City of Dearborn staff reviewed 55 Election Day and AV Poll Books. Two (2) precincts did not have the required hash marks.
- City of Detroit staff reviewed 614 Election Day and AV Poll Books. 137 Election Day and 42 AV Precincts did not have the required hash marks. In addition, the write-in portion of AV 117 was torn out of the poll book.
- 7. This canvass was quite challenging and tedious. As always, staff was very focused and diligent in their work. The official certified results will be posted on the County Clerk's website.

### WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS STATEMENT OF VOTES

	The whole number of votes given for c		Put figures in this
ļ	DETROI	T - MAYOR - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column
_ L	was	Sixty Nine thousand Nine hundred Thirty Three	69,933
	and they were given for the following p		を表現する。 15年1年2月1日
#	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	<b>建筑规模</b>
1	Tom Barrow	Three thousand Six hundred Ninety Nine	3,699
2	Angelo Scott Brown	One hundred Eighty Two	182
	D'Artagnan M. Coiller	Ninety One	9
4	Krystal A. Crittendon	Five thousand Three hundred Eleven	5,31
5	Fred Durhal, Jr.	Eight hundred Forty Two	842
6	Herman Griffin	One hundred Sixty Five	169
7	Lisa L. Howze	Four thousand Five hundred Ninety One	4,59
8	Willie G. Lipscomb, Jr.	Three hundred Three	30
9	Mark Murphy	One hundred Forty Two	14:
10	Benny N. Napoleon	Twenty Eight thousand Three hundred Ninety One	28,39
11	John Olumba	One thousand Three hundred Twenty Nine	1,329
12	Sigmunt John Szczepkowski, Jr.	One hundred Forty Six	14
_	John Telford	One hundred Ten	11
14	Jean Vortkamp	One hundred Thirty Eight	13
	Alga Marie Anderson-Bowlson	Fifty Three	5
	Ricardo Brown	Zero	
17	Tawanza Brown	Five	
_	Walter Cole	Six	
_	Michael Thaddeus Dugeon	Four hundred Forty Five	44
	Mike Duggan	Twenty Three thousand Nine hundred Seventy	23,97
	Velina Patierson Dockery	Two	
	Cheryl Franklin	Five	
_	Clyde Darnell Lynch	One	
	Willie McLeod	Zero	
	Percy Robert Ross, III	Six	
		Sixty Nine thousand Nine hundred Thirty Three	. 69,93

### WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS STATEMENT OF VOTES

	The whole number of votes given for c	andidates for the office of	Put figures in this
	DETRO	IT - CLERK - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column
	was	Eighty Eight thousand One hundred Ninety Seven	88,197
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	
Ħ	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	<b>黎基</b> 莫
1	Scotty Boman	Four thousand Four hundred Fifty Six	4,456
2	James Cole, Jr.	Eight thousand Two hundred Twenty Two	8,222
3	Lucinda J. Darrah	Two thousand Eight hundred Sixty Eight	2,868
4	D. Etta Wilcoxon	Fourteen thousand Nine hundred Forty Six	14,946
5	Janice M. Winfrey	Fifty Seven thousand Six hundred Seventy Two	57,672
6	Anthony C. Ewell	Thirty Three	33
	TOTAL	Eighty Eight thousand One hundred Ninety Seven	88,197

	The whole number of votes given for ca	andidates for the office of	Put figures in this
	DETROIT - CITY C	OUNCIL AT-LARGE - 4 YEAR TERM (2) POSITIONS	column
	was	One hundred Fifty One thousand Nine hundred Thirty One	151,931
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	<b>主教</b> 教
Ħ	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	<b>多种植</b>
1	Cedric Banks	Five thousand Three hundred Twenty Five	5,325
2	David Bullock	Fifteen thousand Seven hundred Forty Six	15,746
3	Angles Lisa Hunt	Five thousand Five hundred Twenty Three	5,523
	Saunteel Jenkins	Fifty One thousand Nine hundred Seventy One	51,971
-	Brenda Jones	Forty Five thousand Five hundred Ninety Four	45,594
6	Monica Lewis-Patrick	Nine thousand Seven hundred Seventy Five	9,775
.7	Roy McCalister, Jr.	Thirteen thousand Four hundred Fourteen	13,414
	Jessica M. Rayford-Clark	Four thousand Five hundred Sixteen	4,516
9	Derrick A. Coates	One	1
10	Charles Coleman	Forty Four	44
11	Dobey Gavin	One	1
12	R. E. Lee	Seven	. 7
13	Hosea Lee Walker, Jr.	Four	.4
14	Cheryl A. Wellman	Eight	
15	Bobby Mae White	Two	2
	TOTAL	One hundred Fifty One thousand Nine hundred Thirty One	151,931

# WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS STATEMENT OF VOTES

•	The whole number of votes given for c	<del></del>	Put figures in this
	DETROIT - CITY O	COUNCIL DISTRICT 1 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column
	was	Fifteen thousand Six hundred Seven	15,607
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	
#	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	
1	James Kyle Beeler	Eight hundred Forty Nine	849
2	Osei Bomani	Six hundred Ninety	690
3	Darryl D. Brown	One thousand Six hundred Seventeen	1,617
4	Laura Goodspeed	Eight hundred Sixty Eight	868
5	Wanda Jan Hill	Two thousand One	2,001
6	Ulice Sherman	One hundred Eighty Seven	187
7	James Tate	Nine thousand Three hundred Forty Nine	9349
8	Kathryn A. Montgomery	Thirty Six	36
9	Marc Cayce	Ten	10
	TOTAL	Fifteen thousand Six hundred Seven	15,607

	The whole number of votes given for candidates for the office of		Put figures in this	
	DETROIT - CITY O	COUNCIL DISTRICT 2 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column '	
	was	Sixteen thousand Nine hundred Seventy Four	16,974	
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:		
_	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	<b>新教育</b>	
1	Ervin Basselt	Two hundred Fifty Seven	257	
2	Richard J. Bowers, Jr.	Two thousand Eight hundred Ninety	2,890	
3	Terrance A. Burney	Six hundred Sixty Six	666	
4	George Cushingberry, Jr.	Four thousand Six hundred Seventy Eight	4,678	
_	Barbara Herard	Seven hundred Sixty Four	764	
6	Leslie Love	Two thousand Seven hundred Ninety Eight	2,798	
7	Derrick Muhammad	One thousand Eight hundred Twenty Two	1,822	
8	Carrón L. Pinkins	One thousand Three hundred Ninety Two	1,392	
9	Ken Scott	One thousand Four hundred Twelve	1,412	
10	Hollie R. Tugwell	Two hundred Fifty Nine	259	
11	John Cromer	One	-1	
12	Aaron Renaldo Smith	Thirty Five	. 35	
	TOTAL	Sixteen thousand Nine hundred Seventy Four	16,974	

### WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS STATEMENT OF VOTES

	The whole number of votes given for candidates for the office of		Put figures in this	
	DETROIT - CITY C	COUNCIL DISTRICT 3 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column	
	was	Eight thousand Nine hundred Eight	8,908	
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	<b>建</b>	
#	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	(74) (43) L	
1	Francine Adams	One thousand Four hundred Twenty Five	1,425	
2	Russ Bellant	One thousand One hundred Fourteen	1,114	
3	Myron Cash Benford	Two hundred Fifteen	215	
4	Scott Benson	Three thousand Two hundred Twenty Nine	3,229	
5	Wanda Redmond	Nine hundred Twenty Eight	928	
6	Eugene Slappy	One thousand One hundred Ninety Six	1,196	
7	Dorthea E. Thomas	Eight hundred One	801	
8	Melia Howard	Zero		
	TOTAL	Eight thousand Nine hundred Eight	8,908	

-	The whole number of votes given for candidates for the office of		Put figures in this	
	DETROIT - CITY O	COUNCIL DISTRICT 4 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column	
	was	Ten thousand Five hundred Ninety	10,590	
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:		
Ħ	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	是影響	
1	Albert Aaron	Two hundred One	201	
2	Jessie Lee Fennie	Three hundred Twenty Six	326	
<u>-</u>	Khalil Ligon	One thousand Six hundred Seventy Two	1,672	
4	Bernard Parker	Two thousand Ten	2,010	
5	Bettie Cook Scott	Two thousand Three hundred Fifty Three	2,353	
6	Andre L. Spivey	Four thousand Twenty Eight	4,028	
7	Enos Philip Walker	Zего	TO TO	
_	TOTAL	Ten thousand Five hundred Ninety	10,590	

## WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS STATEMENT OF VOTES

-	The whole number of votes given for ca		Put figures in this
.	DETROIT - CITY O	OUNCIL DISTRICT 5 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column
	was	Fourteen thousand Two hundred Fifty Four	14,254
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	
	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	
	Adam Hollier	Four thousand Two hundred Forty Eight	4,248
	Mary Sheffield	Four thousand One hundred Ninety Two	4,192
_	DaNetta L. Simpson	Four hundred Eighty	480
	Mary Waters	Two thousand Nine hundred Ninety	2,990
	Brian White	Two thousand Three hundred Thirty Nine	2,339
	Naomi Anderson	Three	
7	Yusef Shakur	Two	
•			
_			
-			
_	TOTAL	Fourteen thousand Two hundred Fifty Four	14,25

	The whole number of votes given for contract to CITY (	andidates for the office of COUNCIL DISTRICT 6 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	Put figures in this column
		Eight thousand Five hundred Thirty Eight	8,538
	was and they were given for the following p	ersons:	<b>4</b> 0077
	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	
	Tyrone A, Carter	One thousand Five hundred Twenty One	1,521
	Raquel Castaneda-Lopez	Two thousand Six hundred Thirteen	2,613
	Nina Fawaz	Two hundred Thirty One	231
	Vince Keenan	One thousand Five hundred Ninety Eight	1,598
<u> </u>	Isaac Robinson	Two thousand Two hundred Forty Six	.2,246
6	Davonte D. Sherard	Three hundred Twenty Nine	329
	TOTAL	Eight thousand Five hundred Thirty Eight	8,538

### OFFICIAL RESULTS WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS STATEMENT OF VOTES

	The whole number of votes given for c	andidates for the office of	Put figures li this	
	DETROIT - CITY O	COUNCIL DISTRICT 7 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	column	
	was	Eleven thousand Two hundred Ninety Two	11,292	
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	35357	
Ħ	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	\$200 元素主义。 ************************************	
1	John K. Bennett	Two thousand Six hundred Twenty Six	2,626	
2	Robb Bennett	Three hundred Ninety Two	392	
3	Dustin H. Campbell	One thousand Seven hundred Thirty One	1,731	
4	Gabe Leland	Three thousand Eight hundred Ninety Six	3,896	
5	Regina Ross	Two thousand Five hundred Seventy Six	2,576	
6	Milton Hudson	Zero	0	
7	Charmaine Johnson	Seventy	70	
8	Tonya Renay Wells	One	1	
	TOTAL	Eleven thousand Two hundred Ninety Two	11,292	

	The whole number of votes given for candidates for the office of  DETROIT - POLICE COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 4 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION		Put figures in this column
	was	Eight thousand Eight hundred Fifty Seven	8,857
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	
#	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	
1	Willie E. Bell	Two thousand Eight hundred Ninety Two	2,892
2	Konrad A. Greene	One thousand Seven hundred Seventeen	1,717
3	William O. Thompson	One thousand Eight hundred Fifty One	1,851
4	Henry L. Williams, Jr.	Two thousand Three hundred Ninety Seven	2,397
	TOTAL	Eight thousand Eight hundred Fifty Seven	8,857

	The whole number of votes given for c		Put figures in this
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MMISSIONER DISTRICT 5 - 4 YEAR TERM (1) POSITION	12,292
	was	Twelve thousand Two hundred Ninety Two	12,292
	and they were given for the following p	ersons:	38384
#	PERSONS RECEIVING THE VOTES	NUMBER OF VOTES WRITTEN IN WORDS	存基度是
1	Marcelus Brice	Four thousand Three hundred Sixty Three	4,363
2	Willie E. Burton	Five thousand One hundred Eleven	5,111
3	Jamie S. Fields	Two thousand Eight hundred Eighteen	2,818
	TOTAL	Twelve thousand Two hundred Ninety Two	12,292

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

FRECINCT:  STAFF INITIALS (SEAL TEAM):  STAFF INITIALS (SEAL TEAM):  DATE COMPLETION:  Candidate Name  S. 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 TOTAL APP DUGGAN OTHER  DATE COMPLETION:  Candidate Name  Candidate Name  S. 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 TOTAL APP DUGGAN OTHER  Examples Accepted by Wayne County:  Candidate Name  S. 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 TOTAL APP DUGGAN OTHER  DATE COMPLETION:  Examples Accepted by Wayne County:  Cohn Smith  S. 10 15 20 12	DETROIT MAYORAL WRITE-IN SUMMARY	YORA	L WR	ITE-II	Isul	MMA		TATE (	COMI	티	ONO	7F CO	UNT	ঠ	WAS	S-Al	JG 6.	2013	PRIM	IARY I	STATE COMPLETION OF COUNTY CANVASS - AUG 6 2013 PRIMARY ELECTION)	(NC	
County:   WEW SEAL#:   NEW SEAL#:																				-			
County:    New Seal #:   New S						0		EAL#	2.1														
County:   1		_	_	_		_	VEW:	SEAL #	35										<del>                                     </del>				
15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 TOTAL APP DUGGAN	(M):				_					П				П									
15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 88 90 95 100 TOTAL APP DUGGAN  X X 3  Ithis																							
15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 TOTAL APP DUGGAN  X X 3  Ithis														П									
15   20   25   30   35   40   45   50   55   60   65   70   75   80   85   90   95   100   101Al.   APP   DUGGAN		-		_																			
		-							45	20	55		$\rightarrow$		75	80	85	96			TOTAL	APP	OTHER
	<u>×</u>				· · ·				<b></b>												23		
ywe County:         Tits, Its, Its, Its, Its, Its, Its, Its, I																							
y Wayne County:	ayne Coun	ڿ																	<b>-</b>				
	7-3		<u> </u>	<i>=</i> #.																			
														<u> </u>									
	y Wayne (	Count	. <del>.</del>																				
33				_									<u> </u>										
7			$\Diamond$			ļ					-		-										
		1	1	_																			
																							14

Canvass Workers Signatures Printed Names: \_\_\_

### **ATTACHMENT 3**

### OPTICAL SCAN

STATEMENT OF VOTES Traileril

1.19	*	· 1. X 45 15-01	
- Almak	1. 17	Nome (	~

	Name of C		of Election			
	<u> </u>					
ENTER TOTAL WRITE-		FROM POLL BOOK TALLY PAGE	Tabi			
CANDIDATES	Total Write-In Votes	CANDIDATES	Total Write-In Votes			
NAME MIKE DUMAN		NAME				
OFFICE MAYOR PARTY DEVA	159	OFFICE PARTY				
NAME		NAME				
OFFICE PARTY	AND V	OFFICEPARTY				
NAME		NAME				
OFFICE PARTY		OFFICE PARTY				
NAME		NAME				
OFFICE 7 PARTY		OFFICE PARTY				
NAME		NAME	-,			
OFFICE PARTY	·	OFFICE PARTY				
NAME		NAXE				
OFFICE PARTY		OFFICE PARTY				
NAME		NAME PARTY	***************************************			
OFFICE PARTY NAME		NAME				
OFFICE PARTY	, ě	OFFICE PARTY				
NAME	Wast to the	NAME - 1				
OFFICE PARTY		OFFICE PARTY				
NAME		NAME -				
OFFICE	建生活	OFFICE				
NAME TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY		NAME	·			
OFFICE PARTY		OFFIČE PARTY	·			
NAME TO AN I SHEET SHEET	数 衰	NAME	<u> </u>			
OFFICE PARTY	<b>4</b>	OFFICE PARTY				
NAME	表的一个文字 化编译	NAME: 15 SANTAR TO SANTAR				
OFFICE PARTY	and the second of the second	OFFICE PARTY-	<u> </u>			
NAME OFFICE PARTY		Trans	,			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>i</u> Jūži	1. これでは、これにより、これは、これは、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、こ				
OFFICE PARTY		NAMEPARTY				
OFFICE PARTY		TANIT TO THE TANIT	<u> </u>			
WE HEREBY CERTIFY that the above accurately documents the write-in votes cast.  We, the undersigned members of the Board of Election inspectors, cartly that all voted, duplicated, spolled and defective ballots were						
properly sealed in air approved ballot container by a	<b>"我的我们</b> 有什么。"""	2 1 6 5 (1)	paliots were			
properly season in an approved ballot contained a	IIIIII sem .		$\mu_{b}$ - $^{2}$ $^{2}$			
TANGER FOR		1 Mileon Ja				
Signature of member who SEALED the confelices	1547187	Signature of member who VERIFIED the sealing. (Ma same political party as member who sealed the ballot				
		W. Zuziff Willey	SOA			
Chaliperson (2) Pho	io 21 1-3/11-4	Detion inspector	<u>ا استعمر</u> ا			
Election inspector Phot		Election inspector Phone	<u> </u>			
	<b>对于</b>	<b>《公司》,其一个人的</b>				
Election Inspector Pho	<b>亚里里专为</b>	Election Inspector Phone				
Election Inspector Pho	10/5	Election Inspector Phone	h san San			
PLEASE ATTACH PRECINCT TABULATOR STA	TEMENT OF VO	YES TAPE AND PROPOSAL LANGUAGE ON REVE	RSE SIDE.			
The state of the s	Yellow • Co	untv Clark	- City Clerk			

ŕ

#### 714 Fottos Results Report 122 21-31-31 63/06/2013

21.37.69 (3/05/2011	
Betrett Ates of Property Paragraph by 2013 8690 8808 1 Election Bates Franct CS, 2013 Litel Bades Vicings 200	
P-11 Parties Report Lind Bellion for All Proceeds 200 Zellone the Side 1985-15-11 200	
MIR EINII	
los Berros  Lyela Scott Brown Dictaynan R. Bilter Lystel & Centinedon Fred Rebat de. Kersko Briffin Lisa L. Bourn Tark Barpy Bany R. Repoleca forn Oloba Lyon Letter Lyon Letter The Control The Contr	11 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
lotel Votes	23.
CHF CLER CERCHI  Scotty Bound James Cole of Luctace of Bound Description Vette la Over Votes Boder Votes Lotal Votes	32
CITY COUNCIL - AT LASTE BETROLL Number to Yote For: 2	
Cedric Barks Board Ballock Lingles Lies Bunt Smatteel ducktos Brand's dynas Boards Levis-Parrick Boards Levis-Parrick Lessico B. Rayford-Clark Vette In Board Votes Boder Votes Boder Votes	25 15 15 16 25 16 25 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16
lotal Potes	58
CITY OFFICE DESIRED 1 Jones Kyle Beeler Dact Bosoni Barryl D. Brown Lawn Boodspred Fards Jon 2111 B1.	200
dan Yrtze ta Ovër Votes Boder Votes	94
intel Totes	230
H, HE BECESIESCO, TO METEN CREIN THAT HE ASSNE RESELIS HER A TRUE AN ACCOUNTER MOONE HE ALL RESELOS CRAI ACCOUNTERS HER FRESH (A) THAT HER FOLLS REFERED AND THAT HE RECEITED HER BELLS IN ACCORNACE WITH THE LANS BY THE STATE.	ieo

Leon dale

MCCAPLIO A

AND THE MEDICAL THE CANTER

THE

erection for

## **ATTACHMENT 4**

#### **Summary of Canvass Documents and Procedures**

#### Principal Documents Employed to Complete Precinct Canvass (Election Night):

- Poll Books: documents the ballots issued, ballots tabulated, ballots spoiled in a voting precinct or absent voter counting board precinct.
- The Statement of Votes (multiple documents): tabulator totals tape documenting the vote totals obtained in a voting precinct or absent voter counting board, declared write-in candidate vote totals, and signed election inspector certificate containing seal numbers for tabulator and ballot container. Note: There are 3 Statement of Votes created, one delivered to the local clerk, one to the County Board of Canvassers, and one to the County Clerk.

#### County Canvass Process (within 14 days of election):

- Check the *List of Voters* for proper completion.
- Examine the *Remarks* Section of the *Poll Book* from each precinct to identify any unique circumstances which might affect the total number of voters participating or the total number of ballots tabulated.
- Examine the *Write-In Tally Sheet* documenting the number of votes cast for each declared write-in candidate.
- Verify the accuracy of the Ballot Summary Report to accurately account for all ballots utilized in the precinct including voted ballots, unused ballots, and absent voter ballots.
- In the case where any discrepancy appears between the tabulator totals tape, List of Voters and/or Ballot Summary Report, canvassers shall correct obvious mathematical errors in the tallies or returns.
- In correcting errors, the canvassing board may summon election inspectors (or utilize county clerk staff) to count any ballots that election inspectors failed to count; or to complete correct tally sheets and returns that were incomplete or incorrect.
- Document the votes cast for each candidate on ballot (on a County Canvassers' Report MCLA 168.824; 168.825)
- Determine the winning candidates for which the Board of County Canvassers is responsible for certifying (MCLA 168.826)

### State Canvass (between the 20th and 40th days of affected elections):

- There is no state canvass process for municipal elections.
- A state canvass occurs only for statewide offices and proposals and certain judicial and state-level offices that cross county lines.
- The State Board of Canvassers is responsible for certifying the results, utilizing canvass reports of results provided by the county.
- The state canvass process is not applicable to the completion of a county canvass under MCL 168.822.