Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

People of MI v Joseph Jacob Weekly

Michael J. Talbot Presiding Judge

Docket No.

323935

Kurtis T. Wilder

LC No.

11-009841-FH

Kirsten Frank Kelly Judges

The Court orders that the application for leave to appeal is DENIED. The trial court orally granted defendant's motion for directed verdict and entered a written order to that effect. Because the oral granting of defendant's motion and the trial court's entry of its written order to this effect took place before any appellate review was able to occur, this Court is barred from reviewing the trial court's decision. Evans v Michigan, ___ US ___; 133 S Ct 1069; 185 L Ed 2d 124 (2013); People v Nix, 453 Mich 619; 556 NW2d 866 (1996).

The motion to file a supplemental answer to the application is GRANTED.

Presiding Judge

Talbot, P.J. (concurring). I write separately to state that although I find that the trial court erred in form and substance in granting defendant's motion for directed verdict, we are barred from reviewing that decision. Evans v Michigan, ___ US ___; 133 S Ct 1069; 185 L Ed 2d 124 (2013); People v Nix, 453 Mich 619; 556 NW2d 866 (1996).

A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

A SOT THE STATE OF WICHES A

OCT 0 6 2014

Date

Prome W. Jew Jr.

Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

People of MI v Joseph Jacob Weekly

Michael J. Talbot Presiding Judge

Docket No.

323935

Kurtis T. Wilder

LC No.

11-009841-FH

Kirsten Frank Kelly Judges

The Court orders that the application for leave to appeal is DENIED. The trial court orally granted defendant's motion for directed verdict and entered a written order to that effect. Because the oral granting of defendant's motion and the trial court's entry of its written order to this effect took place before any appellate review was able to occur, this Court is barred from reviewing the trial court's decision. Evans v Michigan, ___ US ___; 133 S Ct 1069; 185 L Ed 2d 124 (2013); People v Nix, 453 Mich 619; 556 NW2d 866 (1996).

The motion to file a supplemental answer to the application is GRANTED.

Presiding Judge

Talbot, P.J. (concurring). I write separately to state that although I find that the trial court erred in form and substance in granting defendant's motion for directed verdict, we are barred from reviewing that decision. *Evans v Michigan*, ___ US ___; 133 S Ct 1069; 185 L Ed 2d 124 (2013); *People v Nix*, 453 Mich 619; 556 NW2d 866 (1996).

A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

OCT 0 6 2014

Date

Chief Clerk