JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

(c) Astoncys (Fire Man. Advon. I final and Telephone Neuter) Lance Rogers, Esquire, Rogers & Associates, LLC 25 Eliott Avenue By Man. Advon. I final and Telephone Neuter) Lance Rogers, Esquire, Rogers & Associates, LLC 25 Eliott Avenue By Man. Advon. I final and Telephone Neuter) Lance Rogers, Esquire, Rogers & Associates, LLC 25 Eliott Avenue By Man. Advon. I final and Telephone Neuter) Lance Rogers, Esquire, Rogers & Associates, LLC 25 Eliott Avenue By Man. Advon. I final and Telephone Neuter) Lance Rogers, Esquire, Rogers & Associates, LLC 25 Eliott Avenue Paintiff (1) S. Genement Paintiff (1) S. Genement Neuter) Defendant Man. Advonces Subject of the State Of the Stat	I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Joseph and Anna Burgese				DEFENDANTS Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. 2201 Collins Fee, LLC						
(c) Attorneys (If Kansen) Alterneys (If Kans					Jane Does 1-10						
Section of Parties in June 2015 Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (I) Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (I) Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (I) Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (I) Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (I) Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (I) Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (II) Section of Technology (I) Control of Parties in June (II) Section of Technology (I) Section of Technol		179	The second secon								
Lance Rogers, Esquire, Rogers & Associates, LLC	(2)	CCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	1323)			ONDEMNATI	ON CASES, USE TO		E.		
III. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place on "X" in One Box Only)	Lance Rogers, Esquire, F	Address, Email and Telephone Rogers & Associates, I	Vumber) LLC		Attorneys (If K	nown)					
III. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place on "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place on "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Planning Planning Planning (U.S. Government Not a Purp) (Por Provenip Cases on Cally) (Por Provenip Cas											
U.S. Gevernment Patientiff Colorented Patientiff Colorented Patientiff Colorented											
Plant	II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in C	Inc Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES				
Plaintiff Cl.S. Generatment New a Pump) College of This State X 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	☐ U.S. Government	D 3 Federal Ouestion				TE DEE		and One Box for			
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant Defendant				Citiz			Incorporated or Pri	incipal Place			
Definitions Column Column							of Business In T	his State			
V. NATURE OF SUIT				Citiz							
I. S. P. CONTRICE						3 (7 3	Foreign Nation		Ø 6	O 6	
CONTRACT TORKS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY O 130 holder and 135 holder personal higher O 135 house	IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place on "X" in One Box Or	v(r.)								
3 10 Airplane 3 10 Airplan	CONTRACT			F	ORFETTURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHERS	TATUT	ES	
13 Miller Aut	☐ 110 Insurance			0.6							
1 do Regovery of Overgayment of 152 Recovery of Defrainted Care of 152 Recovery of Overgayment of User and State Covery of Overgayment of Vertican (Schaled Velorien) 1 153 Recovery of Overgayment of Vertican State Care of Vertican State Care of Vertican Of 153 Recovery of Overgayment of Vertican State Care of Vertican State Ca				0.6							
13 Da Assoult, Libel & Enforcement of Judgment 330 Assoult, Libel & Sheder work of Enforcement of Judgment 330 February 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Mator Vehicle 370 Other Formal 160 February 370 Other February 370 Other Formal 160 F	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability		100	oo omer	1 200	GC 137				
D 151 Recovery of Definited Student Leaves (Exchalled Veterans) D 152 Recovery of Definited Student Leaves (Exchalled Veterans) D 153 Recovery of Deringhment (Exchalled Veterans) D 153 Recovery of Deringhment of Veteran's Benefits D 160 Stockholders' Suits D 160 Stockholders' Suits D 190 Other Counts in Benefits D 190 Franchine D 190				-		PROPERTY RIGHTS 3 450 Comm			roe		
D 152 Recovery of Definited Students Loss (Schadack Veterans) D 153 Recovery of Deripalmed Students Loss (Schadack Veterans) D 154 Secovery of Overpayment D 155 Recovery of Overpayment D 155 Notes Vehicle D 160 Stock-bodders' Suits D 160 Over Centract D 190 Other Contract D 190 Franchise D 155 Franchise D 160 Franchise D 155 Franchise D 160 Franchise D 155 Notes Vehicle D 156 Notes Vehicle D 156 Notes Vehicle D 156 Notes Vehicle D 156 Notes Vehicle D	☐ 151 Medicare Act			1							
Student Losses Continued	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability									
D 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran Fleenitis 150 Motor Vehicle 150 Motor Ve				-	LIBOR			☐ 480 Consum	er Credit		
OF Veteran's Benefits OF Stock Chicker OF St				TV O 7						odition!	
190 Other Contract 201 Ot	of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act	CJ 862 Black	Lung (923)			Others	
196 Franchise 296 Franchise 296 Franchise 296 Franchise 297 Franchise 298 Franchi				0.7				☐ 890 Other Str	ntutory A		
Dispersion Dis	195 Contract Product Liability			0.7							
Medical Maleractice Medical Maleractice CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 799 Other Label Litigation 799 Other Label	☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		51 Family and Medical	000101	100(8))				
SPANDERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS Table and Condemnation 240 Ober Civil Rights 140 Ober Civil Rights 240 Forecloure 24			Product Liability	0.2							
2 21 Encourse Clus Plaintiff 2 240 Torts to Land 2 245 Tort Product Lisbility 2 240 Torts to Land 2 245 Tort Product Lisbility 2 240 Torts to Land 2 245 Tort Product Lisbility 2 246 Tort Product Lisbility 3 250 All Other Real Property 3 250 Exert Read Read Read Read Read Read Read Read	REAL PROPERTY		PRISONER PETITION			FEDER/	AL TAX SUITS			rocedure	
□ 240 Terts to Land □ 245 Trot Product Lisbility □ 240 All Other Real Property □ 448 Education □ 450 Circl Rights □ 550 Circl Rights □ 550 Circl Detaines □ Conditions of □ Conditions of □ 48 Education □ 46 Other Insulgration □ 46 Other Insulgration □ 46 Other Insulgration □ 48 Education □ 46 Other Insulgration □ 48 Education □ 48 Educa	☐ 210 Land Condemnation		Habeas Corpus:					1			
243 Housing				1							
Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 1530 General 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 1540 Mandatumas & Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 1540 Mandatumas & Other 448 Education 550 Civil Rights 1553 Prison Condition 550 Chert Insurgration Actions 1553 Prison Condition 1553 Prison Condition 1553 Prison Condition 553 Prison Condition 550 Civil Rights 1553 Prison Condition 550 Civil Rights 1553 Prison Condition 550 Civil Rights 1553 Prison Condition 1550 Civil Rights 1550	240 Torts to Land			1						of	
Other 540 American 540 American 540 American 540 American 540 American 540 American 540 Cher 540 American 540 Cher 540 American 540 Cher 540 American 540 Cher	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	f3 530 General			Stage 3			iunes		
446 Auser, wiDisabilities 350 Manchamas & Other 350 Other Immigration 353 Prison Condition 353 Prison Condition 354 Prison Conditions of Condition 4 Reinstated or	13 290 All Other Real Property					-		1			
V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" in One Bax Only) VI. ORIGIN (Place on "X" in One Bax Only) VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction) Brief description of cause: Negligence, Premises Liability, Assault, Civil Liability for Criminal Acts and Loss of Consortium VII. REQUESTED IN		☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -		04	65 Other Immigration						
V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" to One Bax (Intly) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Appellate Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Another District (Specify) 2				1	Actions						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 1 Litigation 1 Litigation 2 State Court 3 Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 State Court 1 Litigation 8 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction) 9 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction) 1 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction) 9 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction) 1 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction) 2 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Juris		U 448 Education		-							
V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" in One Bax Only) 1 Original											
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction)	V ODICIN		Continement			L					
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not elte jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. 1332 (Diversity Jurisdiction) Brief description of cause: Negligence, Premises Liability, Assault, Civil Liability for Criminal Acts and Loss of Consortium VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER SIGNATURE OF ATTORNUS OF RECORD	X I Original ☐ 2 Re	moved from 3		4 Rein	nstated or	erred from	☐ 6 Multidistri	ict			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Negligence, Premises Liability, Assault, Civil Liability for Criminal Acts and Loss of Consortium VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: Yes No	Proceeding Sta				(specify)	1					
Negligence, Premises Liability, Assault, Civil Liability for Criminal Acts and Loss of Consortium VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: Yes No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER SIGNATURE OF ATTORNUS OF RECORD SIGNATURE OF ATTORNUS OF RECORD	VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. 1332 (I	Diversity Jurisdiction	titing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless di	rersity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: ★ Yes ☐ No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Negligence, Prem	iuse: nises Liability. Assau	ılt. Civi	Liability for Criminal	Acts and	Loss of Conso	ortium			
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY OUT OF THE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNUS OF RECORD FOR OFFICE USE ONLY JURY DEMAND: XI Yes O No DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER	VII. REQUESTED IN					The Part of the Pa			complei	int:	
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD SON OFFICE USE ONLY See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER		- emeeten mino							-		
DOCKET NOMBER 5/28/13 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			HIDGE			DOCKE	TMIMPER				
5/28//3 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				ODWEV	OF BECORD	DOCKE	NUMBER				
	5/25/12		SIGNATURE OF ATT	The same of	OF KECOKD						
	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			-							
		MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG, JUE	OGE			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JOSEPH & ANNA BURGESE,

Plaintiff,

V.

STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS

WORLDWIDE, INC.

2201 COLLINS FEE, LLC

JANE DOES 1-10

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

For their Complaint against the above Defendants, Plaintiffs Joseph and Anna Burgese aver as follows:

- Plaintiffs Joseph and Anna Burgese are adult individuals and husband and wife who reside at 21 Larsen Park Drive, Medford, New Jersey 08055.
- Defendant Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. ("Starwood") is a corporation organized in Maryland that is believed to operate the W South Beach Hotel in Miami Beach, Florida.
- Defendant 2201 Collins Fee, LLC ("2201 Collins") is believed to be a New York
 Limited Liability Company with a principal place of business at 2201 Collins Avenue, Miami
 Beach, Florida 33139 that owns the W Hotel South Beach (the "Hotel") in Miami Beach,
 Florida.

- 4. Defendants Jane Doe 1-10 are persons of unknown identity that committed an unprovoked, sudden and violent assault on Plaintiff Anna Burgese. Plaintiffs are currently unaware of the identity or location of these individuals but reserve the right to supplement this Complaint once these individuals are identified.
- This is an action for negligence, premises liability, assault, civil liability for criminal acts, and loss of consortium.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 since the
 parties are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive
 of interest and costs.
- Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as Defendants
 Starwood and 2201 Collins are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

FACTS

- Plaintiffs Joseph and Anna Burgese traveled to Miami Beach, Florida, in January of 2013.
- Plaintiffs regularly patronized the W South Beach Hotel and were paying guests of the Hotel during their January visit.
- 10. On Saturday, January 19, 2013, while walking through the Hotel's lobby, Plaintiff Anna Burgese was the subject of a completely unprovoked, sudden and brutal attack by an unknown number of Defendants named as Jane Doe in this matter.

- Specifically, Plaintiff Anna Burgese was grabbed from behind and thrown with great force into a stone wall head-first.
 - Plaintiff was also tackled to the ground and struck by her assailant.
- Following the attack, Plaintiff Anna Burgense was taken via ambulance to Mt.
 Sinai Hospital.
- As a result of the attacks, Plaintiff Anna Burgese was seriously injured and suffered physical and mental anguish.
- 15. It is believed and therefore averred that the Hotel is frequented by prostitutes who attempt to make money by servicing the Hotel's visitors and guests.
- 16. The Hotel fosters a prostitute-friendly environment where prostitutes are permitted to market themselves on the premises, as evidenced by, among other things, the reviews left on various travel websites by former guests.
- 17. It is believed and therefore averred that, in the past, prostitutes have become violent with guests and/or visitors on the Hotel's premises and in the surrounding area.
- 18. It is believed and therefore averred that Plaintiff Anna Burgese's attack in the lobby of the Hotel was committed by prostitutes (named as Jane Does in this Complaint) who, according to the Miami Beach Police, may have been under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs and confused Plaintiff Anna Burgese as competition, i.e., another prostitute who was capturing business at the Hotel.
- 19. It is believed and therefore averred that the Defendant Jane Doe prostitutes who attacked Plaintiff Anna Burgese were known to have been "working" the premises by Hotel employees on Saturday, January 19, 2013, and the Hotel failed to take reasonable steps to remove them.

- 20. It is believed and therefore averred that the Hotel's employees helped the Defendant Jane Doe prostitutes flee the scene of the crime and avoid capture and/or prosecution immediately following the attack.
- It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants Starwood and 2201 Collins were aware of the probability of such an attack.
- 22. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants 2201 Collins and Starwood failed to take sufficient precautions to prevent incidents of violence despite such knowledge.
- Immediately after the attack on Plaintiff Anna Burgese, Plaintiff Joseph Burgese requested an individual who apparently worked at the Hotel to detain the attackers.
 - Plaintiff Joseph Burgese was assured the Hotel staff had done so.
- Plaintiff Joseph Burgese was told by a member of the Hotel staff that they knew who the attackers were.
 - Later, the Hotel staff claimed ignorance as to the identity of the attackers.
- 27. Plaintiff Joseph Burgese subsequently discovered that Hotel staff not only failed to detain the Jane Doe Defendants, they placed the attackers into a taxi without so much as asking for their names.
- 28. The Hotel's staff had no reasonable explanation for failing to detain someone who had just committed assault on Plaintiff Anna Burgese, a guest of the Hotel.
- 29. Plaintiffs contacted the Hotel shortly after the incident in an attempt to identify the attackers in order to ensure that they are captured and prosecuted.
- 30. Plaintiffs were told by the Hotel's staff that the Hotel's video surveillance system captured the attack on video.

- 31. Plaintiffs requested that the Hotel provide any videotapes or other information relating to the attack and, to date, the Hotel has been evasive and completely unresponsive.
- Plaintiffs served a letter on the Hotel directing the Hotel to preserve any relevant evidence.
- Defendants Starwood, 2201 Collins and the Hotel have failed to offer any assistance to Plaintiffs whatsoever.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff Anna Burgese v. All Defendants

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every one of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though set forth at length here.
- Defendants Starwood and 2201 Collins owed a duty to take reasonable steps to protect is guests from harm.
 - 36. Defendants 2201 Collins and Starwood failed to satisfy their obligations by:
 - Failing to provide sufficient security at its facility to protect patrons such as Plaintiffs.
 - b. Failing to take other reasonable precautions to protect patrons such as Plaintiffs.
 - Failing to take additional precautions after prior incidents on its Property.
 - Failing to properly supervise its employees.
 - Failing to properly monitor its property.
 - f. Failing to properly train its employees to respond to situations such as the attack on Plaintiff Anna Burgese.

- g. Through its employees, failing to prevent Jane Doe Defendants from leaving the premises following the criminal attack on Plaintiff Anna Burgese.
- As stated above, Jane Doe Defendants' negligent and intentional acts of violence were committed against Plaintiff Anna Burgese.
- The acts of all Defendants as aforesaid proximately caused harm to Plaintiff Anna Burgese.
 - As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages.

COUNT II

PREMISES LIABILITY

Plaintiff Anna Burgese v. Defendants Starwood and 2201 Collins

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every one of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as through set forth at length here.
- Plaintiff Anna Burgese was a business invitee at the time of the actions referred to above.
- Defendants Starwood and 2201 Collins failed to use reasonable care to protect their invitees from harm as aforesaid.
 - Defendants' failures caused harm to Plaintiff Anna Burgese.

COUNT III

ASSAULT

Plaintiff Anna Burgese v. Defendants Jane Doe 1-10

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every one of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as through set forth at length here.
- Jane Doe Defendants committed an assault on Plaintiff Anna Burgese as aforesaid without provocation or justification.
 - Plaintiff Anna Burgese suffered injury as a result.

COUNT IV

CIVIL LIABILITY FOR CRIMINAL ACTS

Plaintiff Anna Burgese v. All Defendants

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every one of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as through set forth at length here.
 - 48. Pursuant to Florida law, civil remedies are available for criminal acts.
 - Jane Doe Defendants committed criminal assault against Plaintiff Anna Burgese.
- 50. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants Starwood and 2201 Collins failed to prevent or acted to further the acts of Jane Doe Defendants.
 - Plaintiff was damaged as a result of such actions.

COUNT V

LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

Plaintiff Joseph Burgese v. All Defendants

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every one of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as through set forth at length here.
 - Plaintiff Joseph Burgese is husband to Plaintiff Anna Burgese.
 - 54. Plaintiff Anna Burgese's injuries as averred above have caused an interruption in

her abilities to perform her usual tasks, services and contributions to the marriage.

55. Such losses are the direct proximate result of Defendants' acts as aforesaid.

56. Plaintiff Joseph Burgese has therefore sustained damages as a result of

Defendants' actions.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in its favor against Defendants in an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000), plus such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and just, and to order the following relief:

and just, and to order the

(a) Actual and compensatory damages;

(b) Punitive damages;

(c) Attorney's fees;

(d) Expenses and costs of suit;

(e) Any additional relief the Court deems proper.

Dated: May 28, 2013

/s/ Lance Rogers

Lance Rogers Kent E. Conway(Atty ID No. 87546/88063) Rogers & Associates, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Plaintiff 25 Elliott Avenue Bryn Mawr, PA 19010 Telephone: (610) 649-1880

Fax: (877) 649-1880

email: lance@rogerscounsel.com email: kent@rogerscounsel.com

8