




U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney
District of Maryland


Robert R. Harding
Chief of Narcotics
Robert.Harding@usdoj.gov

Suite 400
36 S. Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21201-3119

DIRECT: 410-209-4845
MAIN: 410-209-4800
FAX: 410-962-3124

September 23, 2013

Joseph Murtha, Esquire
Miller Murtha and Psoras LLC
Heaver Plaza Ste 200
1301 York Rd
Lutherville, MD 21093

RE: *United States v. Kimberly Dennis*
Cr. No. ELH-13-0151

Dear Mr. Murtha:

This letter, together with the Sealed Supplement, confirms the plea agreement which has been offered to the Defendant by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland ("this Office"). If the Defendant accepts this offer, please have her execute it in the spaces provided below. If this offer has not been accepted by September 30, 2013, it will be deemed withdrawn. The terms of the agreement are as follows:

Offense of Conviction

1. The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment now pending against her, which charges her with racketeering conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). The Defendant admits that she is, in fact, guilty of that offense and will so advise the Court.

Elements of the Offense

2. The elements of the offense to which the Defendant has agreed to plead guilty, and which this Office would prove if the case went to trial, are as follows:

1. That an enterprise existed as alleged in the Indictment
2. That the enterprise affected interstate or foreign commerce
3. That the Defendant was associated with or employed by the enterprise
4. That the Defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of the conspiracy.

Penalties

3. The maximum sentence provided by statute for the offense to which the Defendant is pleading guilty is as follows: twenty years incarceration and five years supervised release with a \$250,000 fine. In addition, the Defendant must pay \$100.00 as a special assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013, which will be due and should be paid at or before the time of sentencing. This Court may also order her to make restitution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663, 3663A, and 3664.¹ If a fine or restitution is imposed, it shall be payable immediately, unless, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3572(d), the Court orders otherwise. The Defendant understands that if she serves a term of imprisonment, is released on supervised release, and then violates the conditions of her supervised release, her supervised release could be revoked - even on the last day of the term - and the Defendant could be returned to custody to serve another period of incarceration and a new term of supervised release. The Defendant understands that the Bureau of Prisons has sole discretion in designating the institution at which the Defendant will serve any term of imprisonment imposed.

Waiver of Rights

4. The Defendant understands that by entering into this agreement, she surrenders certain rights as outlined below:

a) If the Defendant had persisted in her plea of not guilty, she would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the close assistance of competent counsel. That trial could be conducted by a judge, without a jury, if the Defendant, this Office, and the Court all agreed.

b) If the Defendant elected a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve individuals selected from the community. Counsel and the Defendant would have the opportunity to challenge prospective jurors who demonstrated bias or who were otherwise unqualified, and would have the opportunity to strike a certain number of jurors peremptorily. All twelve jurors would have to agree unanimously before the Defendant could be found guilty of any count. The jury would be instructed that the Defendant was presumed to be innocent, and that presumption could be overcome only by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

c) If the Defendant went to trial, the government would have the burden of proving the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant would have the right to confront and cross-examine the government's witnesses. The Defendant would not have to present any defense witnesses or evidence whatsoever. If the Defendant wanted to call witnesses

¹ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612, if the Court imposes a fine in excess of \$2,500 that remains unpaid 15 days after it is imposed, the Defendant shall be charged interest on that fine, unless the Court modifies the interest payment in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f)(3).

in her defense, however, she would have the subpoena power of the Court to compel the witnesses to attend.

d) The Defendant would have the right to testify in her own defense if she so chose, and she would have the right to refuse to testify. If she chose not to testify, the Court could instruct the jury that they could not draw any adverse inference from her decision not to testify.

e) If the Defendant were found guilty after a trial, she would have the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's pretrial and trial decisions on the admissibility of evidence to see if any errors were committed which would require a new trial or dismissal of the charges against her. By pleading guilty, the Defendant knowingly gives up the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's decisions.

f) By pleading guilty, the Defendant will be giving up all of these rights, except the right, under the limited circumstances set forth in the "Waiver of Appeal" paragraph below, to appeal the sentence. By pleading guilty, the Defendant understands that she may have to answer the Court's questions both about the rights she is giving up and about the facts of her case. Any statements the Defendant makes during such a hearing would not be admissible against her during a trial except in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement.

g) If the Court accepts the Defendant's plea of guilty, there will be no further trial or proceeding of any kind, and the Court will find her guilty.

h) By pleading guilty, the Defendant will also be giving up certain valuable civil rights and may be subject to deportation or other loss of immigration status. The Defendant recognizes that if she is not a citizen of the United States, pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to her immigration status. Under federal law, conviction for a broad range of crimes can lead to adverse immigration consequences, including automatic removal from the United States. Removal and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, however, and the Defendant understands that no one, including her attorney or the Court, can predict with certainty the effect of a conviction on immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that she wants to plead guilty regardless of any potential immigration consequences.

Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Apply

5. The Defendant understands that the Court will determine a sentencing guidelines range for this case (henceforth the "advisory guidelines range") pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 at 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3742 (excepting 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(b)(1) and 3742(e)) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991 through 998. The Defendant further understands that the Court will impose a sentence pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act, as excised, and must take into account the advisory guidelines range in establishing a reasonable sentence.

Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation

6. This Office and the Defendant understand, agree and stipulate to the following Statement of Facts which this Office would prove beyond a reasonable doubt, and to the following applicable sentencing guidelines factors:

The Defendant Kimberly Dennis worked as a Correctional Officer (CO) at Baltimore City Detention Center ("BCDC") from 2006 to 2013. She entered into personal and sexual relationships with Black Guerilla Family ("BGF") inmates Travis Maynor and Derius Duncan. Dennis participated in the smuggling of quantities of contraband, including marijuana, tobacco and prescription pills, into BCDC on behalf of Duncan who would then sell that contraband to BCDC inmates who were members of BGF. Dennis also smuggled contraband into BCDC for inmate and BGF leader Joseph Young, a/k/a "Monster." Dennis was well-aware that Young was a BGF leader and assisted in furthering the racketeering enterprise. Dennis also worked with other CO's to assist in the smuggling, such as Ebonee Braswell. Dennis obtained contraband from others, including James Yarborough. Although she cannot corroborate the dates of specific activities described in the "Overt Acts" section of the Indictment, the Defendant agrees that the ones in which she is named represent her activities during the time period of the racketeering enterprise.

The Defendant agrees that the base offense level for racketeering conspiracy, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2E1.1, is the level applicable to the underlying racketeering activity. The defendant agrees that she could reasonably foresee that many different drugs were involved in the BGF smuggling activity at BCDC and that these can all be converted to marijuana by application of the Drug Equivalency Table in U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1. The Defendant agrees that her base offense level is 20 taking into account all the different drugs involved. Level 20 corresponds, for example, to 40 to 60 kg of marijuana, or to approximately 5.9 to 8.9 grams of oxycodone, the active ingredient in Percocet and OxyContin pills. The Defendant further agrees that she receives a 2-level upward adjustment pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(3) because the offense involved the distribution of controlled substances in a correctional facility, and a 2-level upward adjustment pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.3 for abuse of a position of public trust, specifically her position as a Correctional Officer for the State of Maryland. The adjusted offense level is 24.

This Office does not oppose a two-level reduction in the Defendant's adjusted offense level, based upon the Defendant's apparent prompt recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for her criminal conduct. This Office agrees to make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) for an additional one-level decrease in recognition of the Defendant's timely notification of her intention to plead guilty. This Office may oppose *any* adjustment for

acceptance of responsibility if the Defendant (a) fails to admit each and every item in the factual stipulation; (b) denies involvement in the offense; (c) gives conflicting statements about her involvement in the offense; (d) is untruthful with the Court, this Office, or the United States Probation Office; (e) obstructs or attempts to obstruct justice prior to sentencing; (f) engages in any criminal conduct between the date of this agreement and the date of sentencing; or (g) attempts to withdraw her plea of guilty.

7. The Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to her criminal history or criminal history category, and that her criminal history could alter her offense level if she is a career offender or if the instant offense was a part of a pattern of criminal conduct from which she derived a substantial portion of her income.

8. This Office and the Defendant agree that with respect to the calculation of the advisory guidelines range, no other offense characteristics, sentencing guidelines factors, potential departures or adjustments set forth in the United States Sentencing Guidelines will be raised or are in dispute.

Obligations of the United States Attorney's Office

9. At the time of sentencing, this Office will recommend a sentence within the range determined by the Court. At the time of sentencing, this Office will move to dismiss any open counts against the Defendant.

10. The parties reserve the right to bring to the Court's attention at the time of sentencing, and the Court will be entitled to consider, all relevant information concerning the Defendant's background, character and conduct, including the conduct that is the subject of the counts of the Indictment that this Office has agreed to dismiss at sentencing.

Forfeiture

11. The Defendant understands that the court will, upon acceptance of her guilty plea, enter an order of forfeiture as part of her sentence, and that the order of forfeiture may include assets directly traceable to her offense, substitute assets and/or a money judgment equal to the value of the property derived from, or otherwise involved in, the offense. Specifically, the court will order the forfeiture of all proceeds obtained or retained as a result of the offense and any property used to commit or to facilitate the commission of the offense. The Defendant agrees to consent to the entry of orders of forfeiture for such property and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, advice regarding the forfeiture at the change-of-plea hearing, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment.

Assisting the Government with Regard to the Forfeiture

12. The Defendant agrees to assist fully in the forfeiture of the foregoing assets. The Defendant agrees to disclose all of her assets and sources of income to the United States, and to take all steps necessary to pass clear title to the forfeited assets to the United States, including but not limited to executing any and all documents necessary to transfer such title, assisting in bringing any assets located outside of the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States, and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that assets subject to forfeiture are not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture. The Defendant further agrees that she will not assist any third party in asserting a claim to the forfeited assets in an ancillary proceeding and that she will testify truthfully in any such proceeding.

Waiver of Further Review of Forfeiture

13. The Defendant further agrees to waive all constitutional, legal and equitable challenges (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this Plea Agreement on any grounds, including that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. The Defendant also agrees not to challenge or seek review of any civil or administrative forfeiture of any property subject to forfeiture under this agreement, and will not assist any third party with regard to such challenge or review or with regard to the filing of a petition for remission of forfeiture.

Obstruction or Other Violations of Law

14. The Defendant agrees that she will not commit any offense in violation of federal, state or local law between the date of this agreement and her sentencing in this case. In the event that the Defendant (i) engages in conduct after the date of this agreement which would justify a finding of obstruction of justice under U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, or (ii) fails to accept personal responsibility for her conduct by failing to acknowledge her guilt to the probation officer who prepares the Presentence Report, or (iii) commits any offense in violation of federal, state or local law, then this Office will be relieved of its obligations to the Defendant as reflected in this agreement. Specifically, this Office will be free to argue sentencing guidelines factors other than those stipulated in this agreement, and it will also be free to make sentencing recommendations other than those set out in this agreement. As with any alleged breach of this agreement, this Office will bear the burden of convincing the Court of the Defendant's obstructive or unlawful behavior and/or failure to acknowledge personal responsibility by a preponderance of the evidence. The Defendant acknowledges that she may not withdraw her guilty plea because this Office is relieved of its obligations under the agreement pursuant to this paragraph.

Court Not a Party

15. The Defendant expressly understands that the Court is not a party to this agreement. In the federal system, the sentence to be imposed is within the sole discretion of the Court. In particular, the Defendant understands that neither the United States Probation Office

nor the Court is bound by the stipulation set forth above, and that the Court will, with the aid of the Presentence Report, determine the facts relevant to sentencing. The Defendant understands that the Court cannot rely exclusively upon the stipulation in ascertaining the factors relevant to the determination of sentence. Rather, in determining the factual basis for the sentence, the Court will consider the stipulation, together with the results of the presentence investigation, and any other relevant information. The Defendant understands that the Court is under no obligation to accept this Office's recommendations, and the Court has the power to impose a sentence up to and including the statutory maximum stated above. The Defendant understands that if the Court ascertains factors different from those contained in the stipulation set forth above, or if the Court should impose any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, the Defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw her guilty plea, and will remain bound to fulfill all of her obligations under this agreement. The Defendant understands that neither the prosecutor, her counsel, nor the Court can make a binding prediction, promise, or representation as to what guidelines range or sentence the Defendant will receive. The Defendant agrees that no one has made such a binding prediction or promise.

Entire Agreement

16. This letter supersedes any prior understandings, promises, or conditions between this Office and the Defendant and, together with the Sealed Supplement, constitutes the complete plea agreement in this case. The Defendant acknowledges that there are no other agreements, promises, undertakings or understandings between the Defendant and this Office other than those set forth in this letter and the Sealed Supplement and none will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

If the Defendant fully accepts each and every term and condition of this agreement, please sign and have the Defendant sign the original and return it promptly.

Very truly yours,

Rod J. Rosenstein
United States Attorney

By: Robert R. Harding
Robert R. Harding
Assistant United States Attorney

Ayn Ducao
Ayn Ducao
Assistant United States Attorney

I have read this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it, and I voluntarily agree to it. Specifically, I have reviewed the Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation with my attorney, and I do not wish to change any part of it. I am completely satisfied with the representation of my attorney.

10/6/13
Date

Kimberly Dennis
Kimberly Dennis

I am Kimberly Dennis's attorney. I have carefully reviewed every part of this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, with her. She advises me that she understands and accepts its terms. To my knowledge, her decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

10/6/13
Date

Joseph Murtha
Joseph Murtha,

Esquire