SUSSMAN & WATKINS

- Attorneys at Law -

MICHAEL H. SUSSMAN CHRISTOPHER D. WATKINS IOHN L. WESLOWSKI 55 MAIN ST. - SUITE 6 P.O. BOX 1005 GOSHEN, NEW YORK 10924

(845) 294-3991 ESS RELEASE 94-1623 LEGAL ASSISTANTS
SONNIA VAN HAASTER
GEORGE FIGUEROA
AJA CLEVENGER
JONATHAN GOLDMAN
DONALD BUCKNER
DANIEL RUETERS-WARD

Danroy, Sr. and Angella Henry, the parents of Danroy Henry, Jr. [D.J.] have filed the enclosed lawsuit today against Aaron Hess and the Village of Pleasantville, the parties they believe are legally responsible for the wrongful death of their son. The case has been filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in White Plains. It will promptly be served on the named defendants.

In filing this lawsuit, the Henrys have limited their claims to those they believe legally responsible for the grievous and irreplaceable loss they, their other children and their extended family and friends have suffered.

From the investigation the family has conducted, it is apparent that others acted in grossly irresponsible manners during on October 17, 2010. These parties should be held to account for their failures. However, the Henrys are convinced that those actions did NOT CAUSE the death of their son and that Aaron Hess, as an agent of the Village of Pleasantville, did so. For this reason, their suit names these, and only these, defendants.

In taking this action, the Henrys express their appreciation for the broad outpouring of support as they seek to vindicate the truth and call upon all people of good will to come forward with any information they may have about their son's shooting and to cooperate with the ongoing investigation being conducted by the US Department of Justice.

For further information, please contact Michael H. Sussman, Esq [845]-294-3991

SUSSMAN & WATKINS

- Attorneys at Law -

MICHAEL H. SUSSMAN CHRISTOPHER D. WATKINS IOHN L. WESLOWSKI 55 MAIN ST. - SUITE 6 P.O. BOX 1005 GOSHEN, NEW YORK 10924

> (845) 294-3991 Fax: (845) 294-1623 sussman1@frontiernet.net

LEGAL ASSISTANTS
SONNIA VAN HAASTER
GEORGE FIGUEROA
AJA CLEVENGER
JONATHAN GOLDMAN
DONALD BUCKNER
DANIEL RUETERS-WARD

STATEMENT by the HENRY FAMILY UPON FILING OF THE FEDERAL COMPLAINT 4/120/20119

While we recognize both the broader efforts to distort the truth and conspire in secret to deny our family and those following, a complete picture of the events leading to our son's death, we are choosing to sharpen our focus on those most responsible for his death. We are not oblivious to the Reality that several people participated in the inhumane treatment of our son, allowing him to die without regard for basic human dignities handcuffed alone on the ground.

The pain of losing our so suddenly is insatiable and not only has his absence changed us forever, but his suffering will always haunt us as well.

The PBA's choice to celebrate our son's death was a poor one and further energizes our fight for truth and answers to simple but relevant questions. Out of a measure of compassion yet lacking, we hope that New York's Attorney General Eric Schneiderman and its Governor,

Andrew Cuomo, will act within their local authority to take any actions against those people who acted so carelessly in dereliction of their sworn duties on the 17th of October and in the

subsequent investigation and prosecution within Westchester County.

As we learn more, through civil discovery, we can, and will, amend our Complaint should that become prudent. We look forward to revealing essential evidence that will further support the true events from the tragic morning of October 17th, 2010. These include 911 audiotapes, store surveillance video and the testimony and the police report filed by Aaron Hess who has yet to reconcile his actions that evening with contradictions between his statement, multiple eyewitnesses' and the physical evidence from the scene.

Our family will be available on Wednesday at noon at our home for a press briefing for those members of the media who are interested.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANGELLA AND DANROY HENRY, SR, on their own behalf and on behalf of the Estate

of DANROY HENRY, JR.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

COMPLAINT

AARON HESS and VILLAGE OF PLEASANTVILLE,

By and through their counsel, Michael H. Sussman, plaintiffs allege the following as against defendant:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiffs Angella and Danroy Henry, Sr., are the natural parents of Danroy Henry, Jr. They are persons of legal age, resident in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. Plaintiffs have been appointed administrators of the Estate of Danroy Henry, Jr. and, as such, may institute suit on behalf of the estate. Plaintiffs are also the sole distributees of the decedent's estate, a status they have not renounced in any form or manner.
 - 3. Defendant Aaron Hess is a police officer employed by the Village of

Pleasantville, County of Westchester, State of New York. Upon information and belief, Hess resides within this judicial district. Defendant, Village of Pleasantville, is a municipality within the County of Westchester, State of New York. It may sue and be sued and, at all relevant times, employed defendant Hess as a police officer.

JURISDICTION

4. As plaintiffs allege that defendants violated the constitutional and civil rights of the decedent, Danroy Henry Jr, while acting under color of state law, this Honorable Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. secs. 1331, 1343 (3) & (4) and 42 U.S.C. sec. 1983. This Court also has diversity jurisdiction over this cause and supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sec. 1367 over plaintiffs' state claims arising from decedent's conscious pain and suffering and wrongful death.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 5. On October 17, 2010, defendant Aaron Hess, acting as a police officer for the defendant Village of Pleasantville, shot and killed Danroy Henry, Jr.
- 6. This shooting occurred in Thornwood, New York, within this judicial district.
 - 7. This shooting occurred under circumstances evincing a reckless disregard

for human life, most specifically the life of Danroy Henry, Jr.

- 8. At about 1:20 A.M., upon the direction of another police officer, Danroy Henry, Jr., drove his car at a reasonable rate of speed from a fire lane within a shopping mall toward the designated exit of that mall.
- 9. As Danroy Henry, Jr. drove at a rate of speed reasonable to the circumstances, defendant Aaron Hess precipitously and suddenly jumped in front of the vehicle Danroy Henry, Jr. was driving.
- 10. As Danroy Henry, Jr. slowed his vehicle, defendant Hess ascended the hood of the vehicle, grabbed the top of the hood, steadied himself and fired four shots at or toward Mr. Henry, who was in the driver's seat of his vehicle.
 - 11. Three of these bullets struck Danroy Henry, Jr., causing his death.
- 12. No exigency caused defendant Hess to jump in front of the Henry vehicle.
- 13. Defendant Aaron Hess had no reasonable basis to stop or seize or jump in front of the vehicle being driven by Danroy Henry, Jr.
 - 14. No exigency caused Hess to ascend to the hood of the Henry vehicle.
- 15. No exigency caused Hess to discharge his weapon four times at Danroy Henry, Jr..
 - 16. After being shot, Danroy Henry Jr. experienced conscious pain and

suffering until his death at a local hospital later that morning.

- 17. At the time of his death, Danroy Henry, Jr. was a junior at Pace University.
- 18. Until his death, Danroy Henry Jr. had a close and loving relationship with the members of his nuclear family, including the plaintiffs and his two younger siblings.
- 19. At the time of his death, Danroy Henry Jr. planned to pursue a career in the National Football League and/or business.
- 20. At the time of his death, Danroy Henry Jr.'s earning capacity was substantial and significant.
- 21. The death of their oldest son has caused, and shall continue to cause, plaintiffs most significant and substantial emotional anguish, pain and suffering and loss of companionship.
- 22. The decedent's death has also caused the plaintiffs pecuniary loss as defined by New York State law.
- 23. Plaintiffs have not been able, nor shall they be able in the future, to mitigate or diminish the loss of companionship and relationship or the pecuniary losses caused by defendant Hess's wrongful actions.
 - 24. Defendant Hess' shooting of Danroy Henry, Jr. was an unconscionable

act, shocking to the judicial conscience and the sort of action which must be eliminated and deterred to preserve the values of a civilized society.

- 25. In shooting Danroy Henry, Jr., defendant Hess violated policies promulgated by the defendant Village of Pleasantville.
- 26. These policies were issued for the safety of the public and include a prohibition against firing weapons at moving vehicles.
- 27. Defendant Hess' blatant violations of stated departmental policy demonstrate that the Village of Pleasantville failed to properly train and supervise defendant Hess, contributing to the deprivation of decedent's rights and his wrongful death.

CAUSES OF ACTION

- 28. Plaintiffs incorporate paras. 1-27 as if fully restated herein.
- 29. By causing plaintiff's wrongful death in an intentional/reckless manner while acting under color of state law, defendant Hess violated the rights secured to Danroy Henry, Jr., and to his estate as represented by plaintiffs, by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, as extended by 42 U.S.C. sec. 1983.
- 30. By acting in a grossly negligent/negligent manner and while acting under color of state law, defendant Hess caused both conscious pain and suffering

to, and the wrongful death of, Danroy Henry, Jr. and is liable to his estate, as represented by plaintiffs as its administrators, for the infliction of conscious pain and suffering and the wrongful death.

- 31. In acting either in an intentional, reckless or grossly negligent manner, as its agent and under its authority, defendant Hess established the liability of the defendant Village of Pleasantville for the wrongful death of Danroy Henry, Jr. under the doctrine of respondent superior.
- 32. By depriving plaintiffs of the right to continued familial association with their son, Danroy Henry Jr., defendant Hess violated plaintiffs' rights as provided by the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, as made actionable by 42 U.S.C. section 1983.
- 33. By depriving plaintiffs of the right to continued familial association with their son, Danroy Henry Jr,, defendant Hess violated plaintiffs' rights as extended by the common law and the laws of the State of New York.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that this Court:

- a) accept jurisdiction of this matter;
- b) empanel a jury to hear and decide all matters within its scope and jurisdiction;
- c) award to plaintiffs as administrators of his estate, compensatory damages for the

wrongful death of Danroy Henry, Jr.;

- d) award to plaintiffs as distributees of his estate and against defendants, jointly and severally, compensatory damages for the pecuniary injuries, including the loss of support, services, voluntary assistance, medical and funeral expenses and an award for conscious pain and suffering experienced by Danroy Henry, Jr.;
 e) award to plaintiffs as administrators of his estate, and against defendants, jointly and severally, compensatory damages for the loss of income caused Danroy Henry Jr., by his wrongful death;
- f) award to plaintiffs and against the defendants, jointly and severally, compensatory damages for the loss of familial association and the associated pain and suffering caused them as next of kin to the decedent;
- g) award to plaintiffs as representatives of the estate of Danroy Henry, Jr. and against defendant Hess punitive damages arising from the brazen and unconscionable violation of the constitutional rights he inflicted upon Danroy Henry, Jr.;
- h) award to plaintiffs and against defendant Hess punitive damages for the unconscionable use of force which has deprived them of their son's companionship and relationship;
- i) award to plaintiffs the reasonable attorneys fees and costs arising from their

prosecution of this matter, and

j) enter any other award the interests of law and equity require.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL H. SUSSMAN [3497]

SUSSMAN & WATKINS PO BOX 1005 GOSHEN, NEW YORK 10924 (845)-294-3991

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Dated: April 20, 2011 Goshen, NY