UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff, Criminal Action No. 99-10371-DJC
V. November 14, 2013
JAMES J. BULGER, 10:00 a.m.
Defendant.
TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING DAY 2
BEFORE THE HONORABLE DENISE J. CASPER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
JOHN J. MOAKLEY U.S. COURTHOUSE
1 COURTHOUSE WAY
BOSTON, MA 02210
DEBRA M. JOYCE, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
1 Courthouse Way, Room 5204 Boston, MA 02210
joycedebra@gmail.com

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
 3
     BRIAN T. KELLY, ESQ.
     FRED M. WYSHAK, JR., ESQ.
     ZACHARY R. HAFER, ESQ.
 4
     MARY B. MURRANE, ESQ.
 5
     United States Attorney's Office
     John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
 6
     1 Courthouse Way
     Suite 9200
 7
     Boston, MA 02210
     617-748-3197
 8
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 9
     J.W. CARNEY, JR., ESQ.
     HENRY B. BRENNAN, ESQ.
10
     Carney & Bassil
11
     20 Park Plaza
     Suite 1405
     Boston, MA 02116
12
     617-338-5566
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

25

PROCEEDINGS

2 (The following proceedings were held in open 3 court before the Honorable Denise J. Casper, United States District Judge, United States District Court, District of 5 Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States Courthouse, 1 Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on November 14, 2013.) 7 THE CLERK: Criminal action 99-10371, United States v. 8 James Bulger. Would counsel please state your name for the record. 10:00 10 MR. KELLY: Yes, good morning. Brian Kelly, Fred 11 Wyshak, Zach Hafer, and Mary Murrane for the United States. THE COURT: Good morning, counsel. 12 13 MR. CARNEY: Good morning, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Good morning. 15 MR. CARNEY: Hank Brennan and J.W. Carney, Jr., representing Mr. Bulger, who is present. 16 THE COURT: Good morning, counsel. 17 18 Good morning, Mr. Bulger. 19 Mr. Bulger, I'm going to first explain my reasons for 10:01 20 your sentence, I'll then announce the sentence, and then 21 finally I'll ask you to rise for the formal imposition of 22 sentence. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 24 THE COURT: In terms of my reasons for this sentence,

Mr. Bulger, it is hard to know where to begin. Do I begin in

1972, over 40 years ago, when the racketeering conspiracy of which you stand convicted began? Or do I begin in late 1994, early 1995 when you fled Massachusetts upon learning of the impending charges against you? Or on June 22, 2011 when you were finally arrested after approximately 16 years as a fugitive from justice? Or do I simply begin with a recitation of the names of the people you've now been found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt to have murdered: Mr. Paul McGonagle, Mr. Edward Connors, Mr. Thomas King, Mr. Richard Castucci, Mr. Roger Wheeler, Mr. Brian Halloran, Mr. Michael Donahue, Mr. John Callahan, Mr. Arthur Barrett, Mr. John McIntyre, and Ms. Deborah Hussey, or the numbers of others who I won't name at this moment whom you and your associates put in fear of loss of life or serious harm to advance your own financial goals and criminal enterprise?

10:02 20

10:02 10

It is hard to know where to begin, but let me begin, Mr. Bulger, where I always do at sentencings, to talk about the matters I must and I have considered in reaching a just and appropriate sentence.

In consideration of what a reasonable sentence would be here, I've considered all of the factors under Title 18, United States Code, 3553(a), including, but not limited to, the nature and circumstances of your crimes, your personal history and background, the advisory guideline sentencing range, and the need for the sentence to do many things, including reflect

the seriousness of the offenses, promote respect for the law, provide adequate deterrence, avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, give restitution to the victims, and constitute just punishment.

10:04 20

10:03 10

First, I've considered the nature and circumstances of your crimes. The scope, the callousness, the depravity of your crimes are almost unfathomable. As the presiding judge, I was certainly aware of the range of criminal allegations against you before the trial began, but even so, even having some sense of the subject matter to expect at this trial, the testimony of human suffering that you and your associates inflicted on others was at times agonizing to hear and painful to watch.

At times during the trial I wished that we were watching a movie, that what we were hearing was not real, but as the families of the victims here know too well, it was not a movie. At trial we were hearing about the real inhumane things that human beings did to other human beings, seemingly without remorse and without regret.

Moreover, the upshot of all of the evidence at trial was that at base the motivation for your entire criminal enterprise was money: Money and extorting more money; money in threatening or harming those who didn't pay up to you or, in your estimation, didn't pay up enough; money in dominating the drug trade in South Boston; money being laundered through businesses that you controlled in the names of others; money in

coopting certain law enforcement officers and agents; and money in killing people who might bring down your organization for cooperating with legitimate law enforcement officers. Your crimes, in my estimation, are made all the more heinous because they were all about money.

10:05 10

10:06 20

Mr. Bulger, I don't doubt for a second that you're an intelligent person, intelligent enough to prey upon those who had no legal recourse. You extorted money from other criminals, large-scale drug dealers, bookmakers, loan sharks whom you convinced needed your protection, and those who were otherwise beholden to you.

By the time you extorted money from legitimate businessmen, your reputation for violence and for having coopted law enforcement was so well-established that they also found themselves with no recourse and could only comply with your demands.

But make no mistake, it takes no business acumen to take money from folks at the end of a gun, no business acumen to shove a machine gun in the groin of Mr. Solimando or place a shotgun in Mr. Buccheri's mouth. It's not savvy, it's not being shrewd, it's not being resourceful, it's what anyone can get at the end of a gun. Very few, thankfully, choose to do so, but you and those at your direction did.

I certainly cannot convey the true nature of your crimes without discussing the nature and circumstances of the

murders you've now been found by the jury beyond a reasonable doubt to have committed. I will not recount the grim and gruesome details of these 11 murders, but I will only note that we heard at trial about the brutal manner in which each of these victims was killed. Some were tortured at length and then killed, some were shot at close range, some were ambushed in a hail of bullets or otherwise executed. Each of these lives came to an unceremonious end at your hands or at the hands of others at your direction. And as if that unceremonious end was not enough, we heard how these victims' bodies were left to expire at the scene of the crime, stuffed in a trunk, or in a few instances, buried at the beach or in a basement, only to be later unearthed and reburied in a field. Unfathomable acts conducted in unfathomable ways.

10:07 10

10:07 20

The pain and suffering that the families of these victims have endured was communicated very movingly yesterday and in the letters that I've received and reviewed. These family members recounted that even after all these many years, many are still picking up the pieces left in the large wake of your horrific acts, or as one family member put it, as a result of the persistent, unyielding, and unpredictable brutality that you and others inflicted.

Loss of a loved one is pain enough, but to lose a loved one to violence, to murder, is unimaginable, and I thank these family members for their attempts to put that pain into

words for me.

10:09 20

10:08 10

Their loss was made all the more unimaginable,

Mr. Bulger, because these families had to wait years, decades,

to know what end their loved ones met, and in some cases to

bury them with the respect that everyone who meets their end in

life deserves.

I do want to note, even if I had not heard from the families of victims whose predicates were not proven beyond a reasonable doubt at trial, even if I had not heard from those victims' families about what they had to say about your background, character, and conduct, I want to make very clear that I would have come to the same sentence that I impose today, because the conduct which the jury found proven at trial beyond a reasonable doubt in and of itself merits the most severe penalty.

Mr. Bulger, the nature and circumstances of your crimes, however, are not the only factors that I've considered.

Second, I've considered your personal history and characteristics. You chose not to be interviewed by Probation for the presentence report, that's certainly your right, as I mentioned yesterday, but it gives me less than I usually have in the normal course when sentencing a defendant.

Nevertheless, from that document I've gleaned that you are 84 years old, you have a high school diploma, and a criminal history that dates back some time.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

9

10:10 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

10:11 20

21

22

23

24

25

I certainly cannot conclude a discussion of your personal background without discussing how you spent 16 years of your life before your arrest on the charges in this case in 2011.

Sir, you chose to be a fugitive from justice. In the meantime, for the remained a fugitive for 16 years. lives of the victims' families, milestone after milestone was passed without their loved ones. Instead of, sir, remaining in Massachusetts to answer these charges in a timely fashion and pressing the defenses that you presented to this jury and your arguments that you presented to this Court, you remained a fugitive, traveling and living in various locations under various identities until 2011. Then, you were finally apprehended in a residence with walls that even after 16 years on the run contained over \$822,000 in cash. Whatever righteousness you claim in your defenses is surely undermined by your being on the run for such a long time. And all of the signs in your Santa Monica apartment, the large sums of cash, the IDs in multiple names, and the firearms, suggest that you intended to remain a fugitive for all time.

Mr. Bulger, I do also feel compelled at this juncture to say something about what has now become your repeated position, that your trial was a sham. It will certainly be for another court, another court in this building, in fact, to rule on the correctness of my legal rulings, but there's nothing

about the consideration I gave those legal issues and the protection of your rights as a criminal defendant, the excellent advocacy that you received in your defense, the verdict that was rendered by this jury, and the respect that I have shown you from day one in these proceedings that was a sham. You can call it what you want, but in my humble estimation, you received the fair and full trial that every defendant in this country is entitled to.

10:12 20

10:11 10

Third, I've considered the advisory guideline sentencing range. That range is quite literally off the charts, maxing out at a total offense level of 43 for a life sentence to be followed by minimum mandatory sentences.

Fourth, I've considered the need for the sentence to provide restitution to the victims. There can be no particular disagreement about providing restitution to those who qualify under the statutory definition of "victim" under Title 18, United States Code, 3663(a), which mandates such restitution. That Act provides a broad definition of "victim" and is intended not as a punitive measure but an attempt at allowing victims to recoup losses.

I've considered whether an argument could be made that the victims of the predicates not proven at trial are not victims under this Act, under the CVRA, and there are just a few claimants that fall into this category. However, such argument would ignore, one, that you were convicted and found

guilty of the RICO conspiracy count in Count One of the third superseding indictment; and two, the standard of preponderance of the evidence that governs here at sentencing.

1

2

5

7

10:13 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

10:14 20

As to the first point, the 1st Circuit has said, "In the context of a conspiracy, it is clear that a defendant is liable in restitution to all of the victims of the reasonably foreseeable acts of his co-conspirators. No court has ever held to the contrary." That's quoting from United States v. Collins, 209 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1999), addressing the same definition of "victim" under Section 3663. I would also cite to United States v. Bradley, 644 F.3d 1213, 1298 (11th Cir. 2011), cited in the government's papers, as well as United States v. Marcello, 2009 WL 929959, *2 (N.D. of Illinois 2009), which was affirmed in part and reversed in part, although on a separate ground, by the 7th Circuit. That is, even as the jury, Mr. Bulger, concluded that it was not proven beyond a reasonable doubt that you committed certain racketeering acts of murder under either a substantive theory, an aiding and abetting theory, or joint venture theory, it remains the case that you were convicted of the overarching conspiracy which alleged these acts as part of the scheme in Count One, and that the key witnesses against you as to those acts, Mr. Martorano and Mr. Flemmi, admitted their own involvement in each of these murders. Even if this could not be concluded from the verdict rendered under the preponderance of the evidence standard, I

conclude that such acts were at least committed by those co-defendants in furtherance of the scheme alleged in Count One and were reasonably foreseeable as part of the conspiracy for which you stand convicted.

I should note that there's nothing about this conclusion or applying the preponderance of the evidence standard, which governs, frankly, all rulings by a sentencing judge in any sentencing, that undermines the verdict, the carefully considered verdict that was rendered by the jury in this case.

Accordingly, I'll allow all of the pending claims made for restitution with the following note; and that is, I do note that I only allow these claims to the extent that they claimed lost income, funeral expenses, stolen property, but not the non-recoverable components of pain and suffering and loss of consortium. Although calculating restitution is not an exact science, and in fact, neither party disputed the amounts sought here, I only allow those claims in which I was provided with sufficient supporting information to make a reasonable estimation of restitution.

Fifth and finally, Mr. Bulger, I have considered all of the purposes of sentencing. Let me begin by saying you did not accomplish many of your crimes by yourself. You had co-defendants, some of whom I've mentioned and some of whom testified against you. You had associates, and, yes, you

10:15 10

10:16 20

had -- certainly had some well-placed law enforcement officers on your payroll and in your pocket. Others are not blameless in the wrong that has been done here. There is culpability to go all around, but you, sir, are the only defendant before me, and it is your sentence that I have to determine. I must impose a just, fair, and adequate sentence for your crimes and your responsibility for these crimes.

10:18 20

10:17 10

The most serious crimes deserve the most serious punishment. It's difficult here to talk about general deterrence or even unwarranted sentencing disparities in a case that I hope is sui generis, but if there is to be any deterrent effect, it must be for me to mete out a sentence that recognizes the seriousness of your crimes; any less would not show sufficient respect for the law or the rule of law.

I have also struggled with what would ever be just punishment for the unfathomable harm that you have caused, and I know that any sentence I impose will be cold comfort for the losses that so many have suffered.

Much ink has been spilled about you, Mr. Bulger, your impact on the city, on South Boston in particular, your flight, and this trial. I imagine in the wake of this judgment and the close of this criminal case that there will be much more ink written about you, some of which you may solicit and some of which you won't. You have over time and in certain quarters become a face of this city. That is regrettable. You and

others may be deluded into thinking that you represent this city, but you, sir, do not represent this city.

10:19 20

10:19 10

This year, 2013, with all that's happened in this city, the City of Boston, both tragic and triumphant, you and the horrible things that were recounted by your cohorts during the course of this trial do not and should not represent this city.

If anything represents this city from this trial it's that after an orderly proceeding in which both parties were very well represented by counsel, a jury did the hard work that jurors do and rendered a fair and just verdict that reflected careful review of the evidence and application of the law.

And in the wake of that, Mr. Bulger, and after careful weighing of all of the factors under Section 3553(a) and in the exercise of my discretion, I shall impose a sentence of life imprisonment, plus five years, plus life imprisonment for the counts of the third superseding indictment for which you were convicted.

For all of the reasons that I previously stated, I believe this sentence is reasonable under the facts and circumstances of this case and is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to accomplish the goals of sentencing.

I note for the record that I understand that I'm not bound by the advisory guideline sentencing range and that I have discretion to vary from that range, but I choose not to

exercise my discretion in that manner.

10:21 10

10:21 20

Mr. Bulger, I now just want to announce for the benefit of counsel the sentence I will impose, and then I will finally ask you to rise for the formal imposition of sentence.

The sentence I will impose will be life imprisonment on Counts One and Two; 240 months, which is the statutory maximum, on Counts Three, Five through Twenty-Seven to be served concurrently; a statutory maximum of 120 months on Counts Forty-Two and Forty-Five to be served concurrently; a statutory maximum of 60 months on Count Forty-Eight to be served concurrently; plus five years on-and-after on Count Thirty-Nine, the gun 924(c) charge, to be served consecutively; and plus life imprisonment on-and-after on Count Forty, the machine gun 924(c) conviction, consecutive to the other sentences.

I will impose five years of supervised release on Counts One, Two, Thirty-Nine, and Forty, and three years supervised release to be concurrent on the other acts.

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ will not impose a fine in light of the restitution that I will impose.

I will impose the mandatory special assessment of \$3,100, \$100 for each of the counts of which you were convicted.

I will order restitution in the amount of \$19,510,276.43.

1 As to restitution, I make a note that there was one estate that filed a claim for which there was reference made to 2 3 other materials and which I couldn't make a reasonable estimation of the loss of income, and I'm going to allow that 5 one estate a week's time to file any supporting documentation to decide whether or not I'll amend the restitution order in 7 that respect. 8 And as I previously indicated, I'll enter the 9 preliminary orders of forfeiture that were requested by the 10:22 10 government, both in the form of a money judgment and the 11 forfeiture of specific assets. 12 Counsel, any objections that you want noted for the 13 record? 14 Mr. Carney? 15 MR. CARNEY: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Kelly? 16 MR. KELLY: No objection. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 Mr. Bulger, at this point I would ask you to please 10:23 20 rise. 21 Mr. Bulger, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 22 1984 and having considered the sentencing factors under Title 23 18, United States Code, 3553(a it is the judgment of this Court 24 that you are hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of 25 Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of life, followed by a

consecutive minimum mandatory term of five years, and a consecutive minimum mandatory term of life.

This term consists of life imprisonment on Counts One and Two; 240 months on Counts Three, Five and Six through Twenty-Seven; terms of 120 months on Counts Forty-Two and Forty-Five; and a term of 60 months on Count Forty-Eight, all to be served concurrently. This also consists of a term of 60 months on Count Thirty-Nine, and life imprisonment on Count Forty to be served consecutively to all of the other terms of imprisonment imposed and consecutively to each other.

Upon release from prison the defendant will be placed on a term of supervised release for a term of five years. This term consists of five years, as I said, on Counts One, Two, Thirty-Nine, and Forty, and a term of three years on the other counts of conviction to be served concurrently.

And any term of supervised release will be pursuant to the mandatory and standard conditions and special conditions that were contained in the presentence report at page 40, which I know your counsel had the opportunity to review, and which will all be reflected, Mr. Bulger, in the judgment that I will issue.

It is further ordered that you shall make restitution in the amount that I had previously announced, and that amount will be made to the clerk of the U.S. District Court for transfer to the identified victims, the claimants that made

10:24 10

10:25 20

1 restitution claims. I'm not imposing a fine in light of the restitution 2 that I have ordered here, again, \$19,510,276.43. 3 4 Given that I have imposed a term of imprisonment, 5 payment of the restitution shall be ordered immediately according to the requirements of the Bureau of Prisons' 7 Financial Responsibility Program while you're incarcerated. 8 I shall also impose, Mr. Bulger, as I said before, the 9 mandatory special assessment of \$3,100, which shall be due 10:27 10 immediately. 11 As I said before, the judgment will also incorporate and include the orders of forfeiture, which I did yesterday on 12 13 the record. 14 That shall be the order of the Court, and you may be 15 seated. Counsel, anything else before I advise Mr. Bulger of 16 his appellate rights? 17 18 MR. KELLY: Not at this moment, no, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Counsel? 10:27 20 MR. CARNEY: No, your Honor, thank you. 21

THE COURT: Mr. Bulger, I do need to advise you of your appellate rights. You may appeal your conviction and your sentence. If you're unable to pay the costs of appeal, you may ask permission to have those costs waived and appeal without paying. You must file any notice of appeal within 14 days

22

23

24

25

```
1
     after the entry of judgement. If you request, the clerk will
 2
    prepare and immediately file a notice of appeal on your behalf.
 3
              Do you understand, sir?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
              THE COURT: Counsel, anything else at this time?
 5
 6
              MR. KELLY: Yes, your Honor. There is one matter we'd
 7
     like to approach sidebar about.
              THE COURT: Okay. You may.
 9
              (Discussion at sidebar has been sealed and is omitted
     from this transcript.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```