Recommended at Proposed Location sorted by county						
DPH #	Applicant Name	Expert Score	Town	County	Population by County	
9	Medical Marijuana of Massachusetts, Inc	160	Mashpee	Barnstable	215,888	
75	William Noyes Webster Foundation, Inc	147	Dennis	Barnstable		
10	Medical Marijuana of Massachusetts, Inc.	160	Taunton	Bristol	548,285	
12	Brighton Health Advocates Inc. d/b/a Compassionate Car	152	Fairhaven	Bristol		
13	Alternative Therapies Group, Inc.	149	Salem	Essex	743,156	
21	Healthy Pharms, Inc.	149	Haverhill	Essex		
17	Debilitating Medical Condition Treatment Centers, Inc	142	Holyoke	Hampden	463,490	
62	New England Treatment Access, Inc	155	Northampton	Hampshire	158,080	
3	Patriot Care Corp.	141	Lowell	Middlesex	1,503,085	
23	Central Ave Compassionate Care, Inc	143	Ayer	Middlesex		
43	Garden Remedies, Inc.	149	Newton	Middlesex		
53	The Greeneway Wellness Foundation, Inc.	144	Cambridge	Middlesex		
61	New England Treatment Access, Inc.	155	Brookline	Norfolk	670,850	
99	Ermont	148	Quincy	Norfolk		
8	Medical Marijuana of Massachusetts, Inc.	160	Plymouth	Plymouth	494,919	
27	In Good Health, Inc.	146	Brockton	Plymouth		
44	Good Chemistry of Massachusetts, Inc.	145	Boston	Suffolk	722,023	
100	Green Heart Holistic Health & Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	138	Boston	Suffolk		
7	Bay State Relief, Inc	137	Milford	Worcester	798,552	
45	Good Chemistry of Massachusetts, Inc.	144	Worcester	Worcester		

Berkshire	131.219		
	101,210		
IFranklin	71.372		
Turikiit	11,512		
Dukos	16.525		
Dukes	10,525		
Nontuokot	10 170		
nantucket	10,172		
	Berkshire Franklin Dukes Nantucket		

The selection of dispensaries was based on the quality of the applications. This was an objective, merit-based process guided by state procurement principles. The selection committee was supported by contracted, independent experts in subject matter (ICF International) and in background investigations (Creative Services, Inc. "CSI"). An expert review by ICF International scored the applications in areas including public health, security and strength of business plan. The expert review determined the score for each applicant, based on a scale of 0 to 163 possible points. Scores of qualifying applicants were all 137 or higher. The score was not the only factor in making the final recommendations. The Department's Selection Committee considered the scores, along with geographic diversity, local support, and a strong focus on the ability to meet patient needs, while ensuring public safety. The Management Team, Board of Directors and investors of dispensaries were also subject to extensive civil and criminal background checks by CSI. Based on this process some applicants were not selected at this time. Page two (2) lists qualified applicants who were not granted their original proposed location, but will be invited to amend their application and seek a change of location to one of the counties that lacks a dispensary. Pages 3-4 list applicants who were not selected at this time.